

12th September, 2014

Dear Mr Hogger,

REPRESENTATION CONCERNING LOCAL PLAN PART 2: DEVELOPOMENT SITES AND POLICIES, FAREHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL, FEBRUARY 2014

This plan is not 'positively prepared', but has been rushed and with little consideration for the environment and the residents of Green Lane. This plan is not 'justified' as there is no right of way over Green Lane. Green lane is not compliant with the governments Manual for Streets and therefore this plan is not 'consistent with national policy.'

Fareham Council have placed on their map, the words 'Potential access' for H7 via Green Lane, SO31 9JJ. I want this removed from the map.

1) Green Lane is a private road, it is not a public highway and there is no right of way over the road. It is owned under the rights of presumption by the residents of Green Lane who have to have a right of way over the road written into their deeds. There is no right of way for additional houses on the lane and therefore, there can be no potential access.

2) In August 2014 planning application p14/0341/fp for **two** additional dwellings on Green Lane was refused on two conditions:

a) "By virtue of its restricted width, condition, lack of passing bays and absence of lighting, Green Lane is unsuitable in its present form to accommodate the additional vehicle movements associated with the two proposed houses. The proposed development would therefore, be harmful to the safety and convenience of users of Green Lane." Therefore, how can a large development use Green Lane for access.

b) " there would be additional recreational pressure upon the internationally designated nature conservation sites including the Portsmouth Harbour Site of Special Scientific Interest, the Portsmouth Harbour Special Protection Area and RAMSAR SITE. In the absence of an appropriate assessment it is considered that the proposed development would result in signification harm to the nature conservation interests of these important sites." H7 will have a significant effect on these nature conservation sites and I would like to know why a designated conservation site is being considered for development.

3) When Fareham's Highway officer, Mr McMahon, visited Green Lane, he stated that by putting 'Potential Access' on the map, that it may force others who are preventing access to the site (The owners of the Jolly Farmer I believe) to be more reasonable. **THE RESIDENTS OF GREEN LANE WILL NOT BE HELD HOSTAGE** for the use of the Council.

4) Fareham Council Planning Department did not follow fully their own 'Statement of Community Involvement, 2011' in consulting the residents of Green Lane about this very specific change to the Local Plan Part 2. It was noticed only by a resident of the lane, who was researching the planning application for the two dwellings.

5) Fareham Borough Council has no plans to adopt Green Lane as it is too dangerous (Their words) and it would be a significant cost to bring the road up to the standards as mentioned in the 'Manual for Streets', adopted by the council from the Government in 2009. This would involve a widening of the road encroaching on private property and gardens, resurfacing, drainage considerations, lighting, flooding considerations, lowering of the utility pipes etc.

With these 5 arguments Green Lane is unsuitable for access for additional houses for H7 and for construction traffic. Therefore, I would like the words 'potential access' removed from the attached map from Local Plan Part 2.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs L Stevens