



Fareham Borough strategic gap review.

***A review of the strategic gap policy designation:
Illustrations and Appendices Part 2
Appendix 4 Gap analysis matrices.***

Prepared for Fareham Borough Council October 2012

David Hares Landscape Architecture
4 Northgate Chichester PO19 1BA
Email david@hareslandscape.co.uk

GAP ANALYSIS MATRICES

Area : 1 Locks Heath Local gap	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 2 Kites Croft Local gap	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area 3 : Upper Meon Valley	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area 4 : Tichfield Park	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES				NO	

Area 5: Tichfield Abbey	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 6 Catisfield	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area 7 :Titchfield/ West Hill park	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
-------------------------------	-----	----

Area :8 Titchfield north west	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
-------------------------------	-----	----

Area : 9.Titchfield Meon River	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings	■					
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point	■					
Few past planning applications	■					
Coherent apparent ownership pattern			■			
Clearly defined coherent boundary	■					
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character	■					
Density of vegetation screening urban edge		■				
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap	■					
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access			■			
Amount of recreational facilities					■	
Intactness / integrity of landscape character		■				
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality		■				
Tranquillity		■				
Cultural heritage / Historic association value		■				
Nature conservation / Earth science value		■				
Flood attenuation	■					
Agricultural productivity				■		
Prominence of area within wider landscape		■				
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area 10: Titchfield East Meon Valley	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area :11 Abshot	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area :12 Woodland strip Brownwich Valley	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings	■					
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point			■			
Few past planning applications	■					
Coherent apparent ownership pattern		■				
Clearly defined coherent boundary		■				
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character			■			
Density of vegetation screening urban edge	■					
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap	■					
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access				■		
Amount of recreational facilities			■			
Intactness / integrity of landscape character	■					
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality	■					
Tranquillity	■					
Cultural heritage / Historic association value			■			
Nature conservation / Earth science value		■				
Flood attenuation	■					
Agricultural productivity				■		
Prominence of area within wider landscape		■				
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 13 Titchfield south west	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
-------------------------------	-----	----

Area : 14 Meon Village	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
-------------------------------	-----	----

Area :15 Lower Posbrook	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area : 16 Titchfield South east	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area : 17 Meon Valley South	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings	■					
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point		■				
Few past planning applications	■					
Coherent apparent ownership pattern	■					
Clearly defined coherent boundary		■				
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character	■					
Density of vegetation screening urban edge		■				
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap		■				
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access		■				
Amount of recreational facilities		■				
Intactness / integrity of landscape character		■				
Landscape designation		■				
Scenic beauty/quality	■					
Tranquillity	■					
Cultural heritage / Historic association value	■					Canal etc
Nature conservation / Earth science value	■					
Flood attenuation	■					
Agricultural productivity				■		
Prominence of area within wider landscape		■				
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 18 Tichfield road glass houses	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
-------------------------------	-----	----

Area 19 : Newlands farm	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings	■					
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point		■				
Few past planning applications			■			
Coherent apparent ownership pattern	■					
Clearly defined coherent boundary	■					
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character	■					
Density of vegetation screening urban edge		■				
Sense of separation due to density of vegetation across gap				■		
Green infrastructure value						
Levels of permitted public access	■					
Amount of recreational facilities				■		
Intactness / integrity of landscape character			■			
Landscape designation					■	
Scenic beauty/quality			■			
Tranquillity			■			
Cultural heritage / Historic association value			■			
Nature conservation / Earth science value				■		
Flood attenuation				■		
Agricultural productivity	■					
Prominence of area within wider landscape		■				
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area :20 Sewage works	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area :21 Newgate lane North	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area :22 Woodcot North	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES				NO	

Area 23 : Peel Farm	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area :24 Peel Common	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective	BECOMES TARGET AREA FOR ENHANCEMENT		

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area : 25 Chark Common	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 26 Shoot Farm	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
---------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area :27 Stubbington North West	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES				NO	

Area : 28 Stubbington West Meon View	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 29 Brownwich Farms	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 30 Hook & Abshot	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area :31 Warsash Common	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 32 Hook and Warsash nature reserve	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings	■					
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point		■				
Few past planning applications	■					
Coherent apparent ownership pattern	■					
Clearly defined coherent boundary			■			
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character	■					
Density of vegetation screening urban edge			■			
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap		■				
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access	■					
Amount of recreational facilities			■			
Intactness / integrity of landscape character	■					
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality		■				
Tranquillity		■				
Cultural heritage / Historic association value			■			
Nature conservation / Earth science value	■					
Flood attenuation	■					
Agricultural productivity					■	
Prominence of area within wider landscape		■				
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 33 Hook Park Estate	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						Sense of being countryside
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 34 Solent Breezes	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective	BECOMES TARGET AREA FOR ENHANCEMENT		

MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area : 35 HMS Daedalus	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
3Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
---------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area : 36 Warsash Gap	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			

MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES	NO
---------------------------------------	------------	-----------

Area : 37 Lower Swanwick/ Sarisbury Gap North	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 38 Lower Swainswick/ Sarisbury Gap South	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 39 Portsmouth Hill	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 40 Cams Hall West	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						Conservation area
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		

Area : 41 Cams Hall East	Major/ Very high	Substantial/ Good High	Moderate/ Medium	Slight/ Low	Negligible/ Poor	Comment
Prevention of coalescence						
Low density of existing buildings						
Significance of distance across gap at narrowest point						
Few past planning applications						
Coherent apparent ownership pattern						
Clearly defined coherent boundary						
Visual separation						
Separation of areas of distinctive settlement character						
Density of vegetation screening urban edge						
Sense of separation due to topography and density of vegetation across gap						
Green space value						
Levels of permitted public access						
Amount of recreational facilities						
Intactness / integrity of landscape character						
Landscape designation						
Scenic beauty/quality						
Tranquillity						
Cultural heritage / Historic association value						
Nature conservation / Earth science value						
Flood attenuation						
Agricultural productivity						
Prominence of area within wider landscape						
Overall contribution to gap effectiveness						
Broad Rating *	Highly effective	Moderately effective	Less effective			
MEET CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION?	YES			NO		