

# **HAZARD LOG REPORT**

for the IFA2 Interconnector at Solent Airport 35588103/RP/080917/3 Addendum 1

**NOVEMBER 2017** 



## **CONTACTS**

# JANE WILSON Principal Safety Consultant

e jane.wilson@arcadis.com

Arcadis. 34 York Way London, N1 9AB United Kingdom

# **TIM ROWE**Aviation Safety Consultant

e timothy.rowe@tgrsafety.co.uk

TGR Safety Management Ltd

## **VERSION CONTROL**

VEITOIOI	CONTINUE				
Issue	Revision No.	Date Issued	Description of Revision: Page No.	Description of Revision: Comment	Reviewed By:
1	1	04/09/2017	Draft Report issued for comment.		S Scannali
1	2	06/10/2107	Comments provided on Revision 1 addressed	Various comments throughout	S Scannali
	3	10/11/2017	Comments provided by FBC on Revision 2 addressed	Various comments throughout	S Scannali

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	FUNCTIONAL HAZARD ANALYSIS PROCESS	6
3	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	8
3.1	"Accident" Severity	
3.2	"Serious Incident" Severity	8
3.3	"Major Incident" Severity	8
3.4	"Significant Incident" Severity	8
3.5	Severity not Assigned	9
4	CONCLUSIONS	10
APF	PENDIX A HAZARD RECORD SHEETS	11
APF	PENDIX B CAUSAL FACTOR FORMS	53
APF	PENDIX C CONTROLS. MITIGATIONS AND ACTIONS	92

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

National Grid Interconnector Holdings (NG) is developing and implementing an electricity interconnector facility. The facility (referred to as IFA2) is being developed jointly with Reseau de Transport d'Electricite (RTE), the French transmission system owner and operator. It links the United Kingdom's electricity transmission network with France's, and helps to enhance the security, affordability and sustainability of energy supply to both countries.

The facility consists of two converter stations, one sited in each country. It is to be sited to the northeast of Solent Airport, with high-voltage direct current (HVDC) and high-voltage alternating current (HVAC) cables proposed to be routed in the same cable corridor to the west and north of the main runway.

Over 2016 and 2017, NG, in agreement with Fareham Borough Council (FBC) and Regional and City Airports Management (RCAM); the airport operator, commissioned analysis and assessment to determine whether the siting of the converter station at Solent Airport could impact the airport's operations. These assessments also help to address local concerns over the proposals to site the converter station at Solent Airport and have been provided as supporting information to the planning and public consultation process being led by FBC.

As part of this work, NG jointly with FBC commissioned Arcadis to undertake technical assessment of the converter station to support the planning and land acquisition process. The assessment includes a functional hazard assessment (FHA), in accordance with Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) standard CAP 760 [1] and the development of a hazard log. The hazard log is used as a tool to track the risk management process as the project lifecycle progresses.

This addendum documents in detail the results of the FHA and the status of the hazard log at this point in time when the project is a significant way through the detailed design process. This document, therefore supports the interim safety justification for the IFA2 facility at Solent Airport [2].

The hazard log continues to be used to track hazards as the project progresses. For ease of ongoing management, all the risk mitigation measures in Appendix C of this hazard log are being tracked as dependencies required to be fulfilled to meet safety requirements, together with a risk mitigation plan which is presented in the safety justification document [2]. The risk mitigation plan is being updated regularly throughout the hazard management phase. At the end of the testing and commissioning phase and prior to the commencement of operation, all the dependencies will be confirmed as complete and hazards confirmed as closed with risks acceptable and ALARP.

The scope of the safety assessment and the hazard log considers the effects of the IFA2 facility upon Solent Airport's operations, and has identified 28 hazards arising from 36 causal factors. Some of the hazards identified initially have been merged or closed; 16 hazards remain open. However, completion of the dependencies as discussed above will close these and ensure that any potential safety risks presented by the IFA2 facility upon Solent Airport's operations are considered acceptable. With the robust body of evidence in place so far as described in the safety justification document [2], there is a high level of confidence that the potential safety effects presented by the IFA2 facility on Solent Airport's operations can all be successfully managed to closure.

## **REFERENCES**

Ref No	Reference Identifier	Title
1	CAP 760	Civil Aviation Procedure (CAP 760) Guidance on the Conduct of Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment and the Production of Safety Cases.
2	35588103/RP/080917	Safety Justification for the IFA2 Interconnector at Solent Airport Daedalus.
3	35588100/NT/300916/2	Technical Assessment (Hazard Log) of the possible impact of the IFA2 Interconnector at Solent Airport Daedalus.
4	CIMS/RCA/DA/GT 11.0 & CIMS/RCA/DA/GT 12.0	Regional & City Airports Management: Daedalus: Safety Management System (SMS) Incorporating the Aerodrome Manual/
5	-	IFA2 Interconnector: Daedalus FHA Briefing Note, Arcadis, August 2016.
6	BS 5489-1:1203	Code of practice for the design of road lighting: lighting of roads and public amenity areas Part 1 Lighting of roads and public amenity areas.
7	-	Draft Daedalus Masterplan – 12 October 2016
8	25-7-17 MoM	Minutes of meeting on 25 July 2017 at Lee-on-Solent Airport to discuss IFA2 – MCA Interface and Equipment.
9	35588102/RP/080517/2	Hazard Log Report Technical Assessment of the possible impact of the IFA2 Interconnector at Solent Airport Daedalus.

## **TERMS AND DEFINITIONS**

Term/Abbreviation	Definition
AC	Alternating Current
AFTN	Aeronautical Fixed Telecommunications Network
AGL	Airfield Ground Lighting
Airport, the	Solent Airport at Daedalus
CAA	(UK) Civil Aviation Authority
CAP	Civil Aviation Publication
CDM	Construction (Design and Management)
Control Tower	The Daedalus control tower
DC	Direct Current
DI	Direction Indicator
EMI	Electromagnetic Interference
FBC	Fareham Borough Council
FHA	Functional Hazard Assessment
FIS	Flight Information Service
FISO	Flight Information Service Officer
HIRA	Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment
HV	High Voltage
IFA2	Interconnexion France-Angleterre 2
ILS	Instrument Landing System
kV	Kilovolt
LED	Light-Emitting Diode
LSH	Lambert Smith Hampton
LV	Low Voltage
MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency
NATS	National Air Traffic Services
NG	National Grid Interconnector Holdings Limited
RCAM	Regional and City Airports Management
RF	Radio Frequency
RFI	Radio Frequency Interference

Term/Abbreviation	Definition
SMS	Safety Management System
Solent Airport	Solent Airport at Daedalus
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UHF	Ultra-High Frequency
VHF	Very High Frequency

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

National Grid Interconnector Holdings (NG) is proposing to develop and implement a new electricity interconnector facility, the Interconnexion France-Angleterre 2 (IFA2). The facility is being developed jointly with Réseau de Transport d'Electricité (RTE), the French transmission system owner and operator. It will link the United Kingdom's electricity transmission network with France's, and is expected to help enhance the security, affordability, and sustainability of energy supply to both countries.

The facility consists of two converter stations, one sited in each country. The UK converter station is to be sited to the north-east of Solent Airport at Daedalus ("Solent Airport"). National Grid proposes to route high-voltage direct current and high-voltage alternating current cables in a shared cable corridor to the west and north of the Solent Airport main runway.

This is a report of the hazard identification and risk assessment process, including an update of the hazard log at this point in time when the project is a significant way through the detailed design process. The hazard log will be used to track hazards as the project progresses and hazards confirmed as closed when all of the risk mitigation is complete and prior to operation.

All hazards identified are shown on the hazard log forms in Appendix A of this report. The causal factors giving rise to those hazards are shown in the causal factor record sheets in Appendix B. The controls, mitigations, and actions identified in the FHA meetings are shown in Appendix C

#### 2 FUNCTIONAL HAZARD ANALYSIS PROCESS

The Functional Hazard Analysis (FHA) is part of a systematic a process to:

- identify ways in which the proposed IFA2 installation might impair the safety of air traffic operations at Solent Airport (hazards);
- identify how severe such impairment might credibly be;
- estimate the approximate likelihood of such impairment where possible.

FHA has been carried out to consider any possible adverse effects of the proposed IFA2 facility upon the Airport, taking into consideration the likely future airport developments and the surrounding businesses as defined in the Masterplan [7].

The means of managing risk is identified through the overall risk management process as the design progresses through the project lifecycle, however, possible ways to manage risks identified during the FHA are recorded in the hazard log, which can be used to help manage the risks downstream.

Two FHA workshops were held as follows, both were coordinated by Arcadis and facilitated by TGR Safety Management Ltd:

- The first FHA workshop [3] was carried out on the 24th August 2016 and subject matter experts from National Grid, FBC, RCAM and Arcadis participated. This considered possible effects of the IFA2 facility on existing Airport operations.
- The second FHA workshop [9] was held on the 11th and 12th April 2017 to review the first assessment in the light of the likely future airport developments as well as developments in the control measures for hazards. This was attended by experts from National Grid, FBC, RCAM and Arcadis, the main IFA2 contractors and Lambert Smith Hampton (LSH) (the Commercial Agents) as listed in Appendix D. This ensured comprehensive coverage and representation from all the specialist areas necessary to identify hazards and assess risks.

A briefing note [5], describing the FHA process, was issued to participants before both workshops.

Subsequent to the workshops, a number of hazard review meetings have been held to develop the risk mitigation evidence as follows:

- Hazard Review Meeting on the 25/5/17 (attended by RCAM, NG, FBC, Arcadis)
- Hazard Review Meeting 27/6/17 (attended by NG, Arcadis)
- Review of mitigation plan 21/7/17 (attended by FBC, Arcadis).
- Review of MCA hazards 21/7/17 (attended by MCA, RCA, Arcadis).
- Hazard Review Meeting 10/8/17 (attended by RCAM, NG, FBC, Arcadis)
- Review of Hazard Log Actions 23/8/17 (attended by FBC, NG, Arcadis).

Liaison with the converter station Main Contractor (ABB) and HV cable contractor (Prysmian) has taken place through conference calls and NG/contractor liaison meetings as the design has developed.

The meeting held with MCA [8] on the 21/7/17 considered the possible effects of the IFA2 facility on that agency, and the hazard log has been updated to include the results of that meeting.

Risk is a combination of the likelihood and severity of hazards. At the time of the first workshop, lifecycle hazard mitigation measures were not fully defined, so in most cases the first FHA meeting identified only the severity and not the likelihood of hazards. As the mitigation measures were more firmly specified at the time of the second workshop, in most cases likelihoods have now been assigned.

Severity and likelihood classifications from Solent Airport's SMS [4] were used, which are identical to those of CAP760 (Section 4.7) but also include Solent Airport's processes for managing safety risk.

In cases where a need for further research or investigation is identified, the likelihood given is a worst-case estimate by the participants at FHA meeting, and the actions can be closed provided the research or investigation concludes that the likelihood is no worse than that identified. In cases where further design work is required, or controls and mitigations are still to be implemented, the likelihood given is the maximum

target likelihood that would ensure that the risks will be acceptable. The likelihood categories may be reviewed at the end of the design process by those who have responsibility for mitigating the risks.

This study is focussed on assessing the effects of the IFA2 facility upon airport operations only. It does not consider potential causes of hazards which could affect airport operations arising from sources within the Airport. Step 7 of CAP 760, "Claims, arguments and evidence that the safety requirements have been met and documenting this in a safety case" can only be fulfilled so far as the assumptions and boundaries of this study allow, that is, only in respect of the IFA2 facility and within the limits of the equipment and infrastructure on the agreed Masterplan [7]. The work reported in this document can be used to support, but will not itself provide, a safety case for the airport, as the safety case for the airport will need to address all hazards arising from all relevant equipment and operations

Within this document, all likelihoods assigned are those due to the effects of IFA2 only. It should be noted that the hazards might also be caused in ways that are independent of IFA2. All credible causes of hazards should be considered when assessing the overall airport risk. CAP760 Chapter 3 Section 5.8 describes the process by which allowance can be made for multiple possible causes of hazards.

Hazard record sheets developed to record all hazard related information are included in Appendix A and have assigned actions for the risk controls and mitigations to "owners", whom the participants in the FHA considered to be the organisations best placed to progress the actions. These assignments are:

- NG:
- FBC; and
- RCAM.

Owners of actions and mitigation measures have changed as the project progresses, the risk mitigation plan has been used to define the latest action and owner at any point in time. The airport operator, RCAM, retains ultimate responsibility for ensuring risk control and mitigation measures relating to airport operations are adequately implemented.

#### 3 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

This section of the report summarises the results of the FHA, listing hazards from highest to lowest consequence severity.

#### 3.1 "Accident" Severity

No hazards were identified as having the severity of Accident.

### 3.2 "Serious Incident" Severity

The definition of "Serious Incident" in CAP760 [1] and the Solent Airport SMS [4] is:

Serious Incident - as defined in Council Directive 94/56/EC1 for air traffic services.

For the aerodrome, an event where an accident nearly occurs. No safety barriers remaining. The outcome is not under control and could very likely lead to an accident. Damage to major aerodrome facilities. Serious injury to staff/members of public at the aerodrome.

One hazard HAZ20 (High 50Hz impressed voltages or touch potentials due to LV cabling or fencing) falls into this severity category. However, through the mitigation measures identified during the FHA, including detailed surveys being undertaken and any LV cables identified during excavations, the hazard and hence the risk is to be eliminated by design.

#### 3.3 "Major Incident" Severity

No hazards were identified as having the severity Major Incident.

### 3.4 "Significant Incident" Severity

The definition of "Significant Incident" in CAP760 [1] and the Solent Airport SMS [4] is:

Significant incident involving circumstances indicating that an accident, a serious or major incident could have occurred, if the risk had not been managed within safety margins, or if another aircraft had been in the vicinity.

A significant reduction in safety margins but several safety barriers remain to prevent an accident.

Reduced ability of the flight crew or air traffic control to cope with the increase in workload as a result of the conditions impairing their efficiency.

Only on rare occasions can the occurrence develop into an accident.

Nuisance to occupants of the aircraft or staff/members of public at the aerodrome.

The FHA identified the severity of the following open hazards to be Significant Incident:

- HAZ01: Distraction of aircrew:
- HAZ02: Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on);
- HAZ03: Bird strike:
- HAZ10: Distraction of control tower staff;
- HAZ11: Impaired ground to ground communications;
- HAZ17: Terrorist attack on IFA2:
- HAZ18: Exposure of public and workers to excessive magnetic fields;
- HAZ19: Incorrect magnetic compass reading;
- HAZ21: Loss of control of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV);
- HAZ22: Fire and smoke;
- HAZ24: Incorrect ground lighting intensity; and
- HAZ25: Wrong or no altimeter reading.

The acceptable likelihood for hazards with severity Significant Incident is Remote, defined in CAP760 and the Solent Airport SMS as:

Unlikely to occur during the total operational life of the system.

10<sup>-5</sup> to 10<sup>-7</sup> per hour.

Once in 10 years to once in 1000 years.

The FHA meetings did not anticipate any difficulties meeting a likelihood of Remote or better for any of these hazards. However, the meetings did not assign a likelihood to HAZ17 because that hazard is subject to a separate threat assessment.

#### 3.5 Severity not Assigned

The FHA meetings did not assign severities to the following hazards, which relate to the interface of IFA 2 with third party systems:

- HAZ26 Unknown effect on MCA;
- HAZ27: Unknown effects on Britten-Norman operations; and
- HAZ28: Unknown effect of NATS operations.

Liaison has taken place with all these agencies to understand any potential hazards related to IFA 2. These hazards are subject to the third-party safety management system, including their criteria for tolerable risk, hence they have not been ranked. For these hazards, the objective is to demonstrate with the highest level of confidence, based on CAP 760 guidelines, that there are no adverse impacts that would impact the third-party system from introducing the IFA 2 facility at Solent Airport.

#### 4 CONCLUSIONS

The safety assessment of the effect of the IFA2 facility on Solent Airport's operations identified 28 hazards arising from 36 causal factors. Some of the hazards have been merged or closed; 16 remain open. The hazard log continues to be used to track hazards as the project progresses. For ease of ongoing management, all the risk mitigation measures in Appendix C of this hazard log are being tracked as dependencies required to be fulfilled to meet safety requirements, together with a risk mitigation plan which is presented in the safety justification document [2]. The risk mitigation plan is being updated regularly throughout the hazard management phase. At the end of the testing and commissioning phase and prior to operation, all the dependencies will be confirmed as complete and hazards confirmed as closed with risks acceptable and ALARP.

The scope of the safety assessment and the hazard log considers the effects presented by the IFA2 facility upon Solent Airport's operations, and has identified 28 hazards arising from 36 causal factors. Some of the hazards identified initially have been merged or closed; 16 hazards remain open. However, completion of the dependencies as discussed above will close these and ensure that any potential safety effects presented by the IFA2 facility upon Solent Airport's operations is acceptable. With the robust body of evidence in place so far as described in the safety justification [2], there is a high level of confidence that the potential safety effects presented by the IFA2 facility on Solent Airport's operations can all be successfully managed to closure.

# APPENDIX A HAZARD RECORD SHEETS

### **A.1 HAZ01**

Identified By		Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action		Date of Last Update	
Revised	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption		
Distract	tion of aircrew		
Causal	Factors		
ID	Description	Category Likelihood	
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences	1	
ID	Description	Severity	
C01	Aircraft unintentionally deviates from normal in-flight parameters.	Significant Incident	
C04	Aircraft does not accelerate or take off as expected.	No Immediate Ef	fect
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incident	
C14	Runway overrun	No Immediate Ef	fect
Probab	pility	Severity	
Remote	9	Significant Incident	
Contro	ls, Mitigations and Actions	•	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section 12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Closed	NG
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a	Open	NG
	distraction to aircrew.		

M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed	FBC
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure radio frequency (RF) levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG
Propo	sed By	Planned Da	te
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	taken		
Date o	f Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	i
Open			
Comm	ent		
LSA R	FI assessment showed that emissions are below the levels at which in	nterference wo	ould occur, and the probability of

interference to radios is very low for current airfield operations. There is no credible risk of equipment damage.

## **A.2 HAZ02**

ldentif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	odate
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption		
Wind ir	npact.		
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF21	Heat generated from converter station - air density changes immediately above the facility impacts aircraft or gliders.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF24	Wind impact, caused by building or landscaping (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear, and so on).	Environmental Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF32	Tall trees	Environmental Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		1
ID	Description	Severity	
C01	Aircraft unintentionally deviates from normal in-flight parameters.	Significant Incident	
C02	Inability to make a stop within the expected distance requirements.	Significant Incident	
C03	Loss of directional control on the runway.	Significant Incident	
C05	Terrain separation deteriorating below normal requirements	Significant Incident	
Probal	bility	Severity	
Remote Significant Incident		ent	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	•	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M06	Wind assessment to determine the impact of the building on the wind patterns (including consideration of light aircraft and UAVs).	Closed	NG
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	Open	RCAM
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in case of increased traffic	Closed	FBC
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed	
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs are to be reviewed,	Closed	RCAM
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
A 41	taken	1	

Date of Action	
Status of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed
Open	
Comment	
Note that no direct impact of the IFA2 on the landing has been identified. Th	is hazard is related to wind phenomenon.

## **A.3 HAZ03**

Idontif	ind By	Date Created	
Identified By HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
	pdate Action and based on second FHA meeting.	Date of Last Up	odate
		12-Apr-17	
Descri			
Bird st	rike		
Causa	I Factors	_	
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF29	Future planning of landscaping - attracts birds near to airfield	Environmental Factors	Remote
CF30	Converter station warms air immediately above the converter station and attracts birds.	Environmental Factors	Remote
CF31	Building design - flat roof - attracts birds	Environmental Factors	Remote
Conse	quences	1	•
ID	Description	Severity	
C01	Aircraft unintentionally deviates from normal in-flight parameters.	Significant Incident	
Proba	bility	Severity	
Remote		Significant Incident	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	•	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M12	Building to provide appropriate access for bird management strategy.	Open	NG
M14	RCAM to discuss bird strikes with a wildlife expert and to seek the expert's advice on how to manage the bird activities in this area.	Closed	RCAM
M15	FBC to consider the risk of bird strike in future landscaping and choice of trees, and so on.	Closed	FBC
_	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	taken		
Date o	f Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open	<u> </u>		
Comm	ent		
	···		

35588102RP080917/3 -	<ul> <li>Hazard Log</li> </ul>	Report
----------------------	--------------------------------	--------

## **A.4 HAZ04**

		1		
Identif	Identified By Date Created			
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16		
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Update		
Closed	1	12-Apr-17		
Descr	iption			
	mpact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wird rst case at the airport is wind from north-east.	nd patterns, wind s	hear and so on). Note that	
Causa	I Factors			
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood	
CF21	Heat generated from converter station - air density changes immediately above the facility impacts aircraft or gliders.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable	
CF24	Wind impact, caused by building or landscaping (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear, and so on).	Environmental Factors	Extremely Improbable	
Conse	quences	•		
ID	Description	Severity		
C02	Inability to make a stop within the expected distance requirements.	Significant Incident		
Proba	pability Severity			
Extrem	nely Improbable	Significant Incident		
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	Description	Status	Assigned	
M06	Wind assessment to determine the impact of the building on the wind patterns (including consideration of light aircraft and UAVs).	Closed	NG	
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	Open	RCAM	
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in case of increased traffic	Closed	FBC	
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed		
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM	
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs is to be reviewed,	Closed	RCAM	
Propo	sed By	Planned Date		
HIRA 24-8-16				
Action	taken			
Hazard	d merged with HAZ02			
Date o	f Action			
Status of this Hazard Log Entry  Date Closed				

Closed 24-Apr-17	
Comment	

## **A.5 HAZ05**

- 110 1		T	
Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Update	
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption		
	mpact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wirest case at the airport is wind from north-east.	nd patterns, wind s	hear and so on). Note that
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF21	Heat generated from converter station - air density changes immediately above the facility impacts aircraft or gliders.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF24	Wind impact, caused by building or landscaping (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear, and so on).	Environmental Factors	Extremely Improbable
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C03	Loss of directional control on the runway.	Significant Incident	
Probal	pility	Severity	
Extrem	ely Improbable	Significant Incident	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M06	Wind assessment to determine the impact of the building on the wind patterns (including consideration of light aircraft and UAVs).	Closed	NG
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	Open	RCAM
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in case of increased traffic	Closed	FBC
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed	
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs are to be reviewed.	Open	RCAM
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	HIRA 24-8-16		
Action	taken	L	
Merge	d with HAZ02		
Date o	f Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Closed		24-Apr-17	

Comment

## **A.6 HAZ06**

Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	A 24-8-16 24-Aug-16		
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption	1	
Distrac	tion of aircrew or control tower staff.		
Causa	Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C04	Aircraft does not accelerate or take off as expected.	No Immediate Ef	fect
Probak	pility	Severity	
Remote	9	No Immediate Et	ffect
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	•	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section 12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Open	NG
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open	NG
	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures	Open	RCAM
M16	are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.		

M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM		
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG		
Propos	sed By	Planned Date			
HIRA 2	HIRA 24-8-16				
Action	Action taken				
Mergeo	Merged with HAZ01				
Date o	Date of Action				
24-Apr	17				
Status	Status of this Hazard Log Entry  Date Closed				
Closed		24-Apr-17			
Comment					

## **A.7 HAZ07**

Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Closed	I 24-Apr-17		
Descri	ption		
	mpact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wird rst case at the airport is wind from north-east.	nd patterns, wind s	shear and so on). Note th
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF21	Heat generated from converter station - air density changes immediately above the facility impacts aircraft or gliders.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF24	Wind impact, caused by building or landscaping (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear, and so on).	Environmental Factors	Extremely Improbable
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C05	Terrain separation deteriorating below normal requirements	Significant Incident	
Proba	bility	Severity	
Extrem	nely Improbable	Significant Incident	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M06	Wind assessment to determine the impact of the building on the wind patterns (including consideration of light aircraft and UAVs).	Closed	NG
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	Open	RCAM
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in case of increased traffic	Closed	FBC
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed	
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs is to be reviewed.	Closed	RCAM
Proposed By		Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	taken		
Merge	d with HAZ02		
Date o	f Action		
24-Apr	-17		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	

Closed	24-Apr-17
Comment	

## **A.8 HAZ08**

	dentified By Date Created		
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Closed		12-Apr-17	
Descri	-		
Distrac	tion of aircrew or control tower staff.		
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C05	Terrain separation deteriorating below normal requirements	Significant Incide	ent
Probab	bility	Severity	
Remote	е	Significant Incide	ent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section 12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Open	NG
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open	NG
M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.	Open	RCAM
M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed	FBC

M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM		
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG		
Propos	sed By	Planned Date			
HIRA 2	HIRA 24-8-16				
Action	Action taken				
Merge	d with HAZ01				
Date o	f Action				
Status	Status of this Hazard Log Entry  Date Closed				
Closed	Closed				
Comm	Comment				

## **A.9 HAZ09**

Intentionally blank.

## **A.10 HAZ10**

Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption		
Distrac	tion of control tower staff.		
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).		
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences	l	
ID	Description	Severity	
C06	Incorrect presence of aircraft, people, or vehicles in the protected area.	Significant Incide	ent
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incide	ent
C14	Runway overrun	No Immediate E	ffect
Probal	bility	Severity	
Remot	0	Significant Incide	ent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	•	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] 12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes. Section	Open	NG
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open	NG
M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.	Open	RCAM

M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed	FBC	
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM	
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG	
Propos	Proposed By		Planned Date	
HIRA 2	HIRA 24-8-16			
Action	taken			
Date o	f Action			
Status	Status of this Hazard Log Entry		Date Closed	
Open	Open			
Comm	Comment			

## **A.11 HAZ11**

Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16 24-Aug-16		
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Update	
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption	1	
Impaire	ed ground to ground communications.		
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF09	Ground-ground communications (UHF) impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF10	Interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility delays Emergency Services communication	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C09	Delay to emergency services response	No Immediate	Effect
C06	Incorrect presence of aircraft, people, or vehicles in the protected area.	Significant Incident	
Probal	bility	Severity	
Extrem	nely Improbable	Significant Inc	ident
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	<b>.</b>	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M24	FIS procedures to take into account the possibility of impairment to ground- ground communications.	Open	RCAM
M34	Lighting signals can be used if RF levels are exceptionally sufficiently high to cause interruption to radio communications systems	Open	RCAM
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 24-8-16			
Action	taken	•	
Date o	f Action		
Status of this Hazard Log Entry Date Closed			
Open			
Comm	ent	L	

## **A.12 HAZ12**

	1	
ied By	Date Created	
24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
pdate Action	Date of Last Update	
ption		
ed ground to ground communications.		
l Factors		
Description	Category	Likelihood
Ground-ground communications (UHF) impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
quences		
Description	Severity	
Incorrect presence of aircraft, people, or vehicles in the protected area.	Significant Incident	
pility	Severity	
ely Improbable	Significant Incident	
ols, Mitigations and Actions		
Description	Status	Assigned
Lighting signals can be used if RF levels are exceptionally sufficiently high to cause interruption to radio communications systems	Open	RCAM
All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG
sed By	Planned Date	
24-8-16		
taken		
d with HAZ11		
f Action		
-17		
of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
	24-Apr-17	
	i contract of the contract of	
	ption ad ground to ground communications.  I Factors  Description  Ground-ground communications (UHF) impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.  quences  Description  Incorrect presence of aircraft, people, or vehicles in the protected area.  bility  ely Improbable  bis, Mitigations and Actions  Description  Lighting signals can be used if RF levels are exceptionally sufficiently high to cause interruption to radio communications systems  All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference  sed By  4-8-16  taken  d with HAZ11  f Action  -17  of this Hazard Log Entry	potate Action  Date of Last  potion  ad ground to ground communications.  I Factors  Description  Ground-ground communications (UHF) impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.  Technical Factors  Description  Severity  Incorrect presence of aircraft, people, or vehicles in the protected area.  Significant Incorect, Mitigations and Actions  Description  Severity  Significant Incorect, Mitigations and Actions  Description  Status  Open  Lighting signals can be used if RF levels are exceptionally sufficiently high to cause interruption to radio communications systems  All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference  sed By  Planned Date  14-8-16  taken  d with HAZ11  f Action  17  Of this Hazard Log Entry  Date Closed

# **A.13 HAZ13**

Identified By		Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Closed	I	12-Apr-17	
Descri	iption	1	
Distrac	ction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace operations)		
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incide	ent
Probal	bility	Severity	
Remot	e	Significant Incide	ent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Open	NG
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open	NG
M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.	Open	RCAM
M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed	FBC
		L	

M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM	
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG	
Propos	Proposed By			
HIRA 2	4-8-16			
Action	Action taken			
Mergeo	Merged with HAZ01			
Date of Action				
24-Apr-17				
Status of this Hazard Log Entry Date			Date Closed	
Closed	Closed			
Comment				

# **A.14 HAZ14**

Identified By Date Crea		Date Created		
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16		
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Upo	date	
Closec	I	12-Apr-17		
Descri	ption			
Distrac	ction of aircrew caused by reflection from building structure and clade	ding (procedural non	radar operations)	
Causa	l Factors			
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood	
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable	
Conse	quences			
ID	Description	Severity		
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incident		
Proba	bility	Severity		
Extrem	nely Improbable	Significant Incide	nt	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned	
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open	NG	
Propo	sed By	Planned Date		
HIRA 2	24-8-16			
Action	taken	-		
Merge	d with HAZ01			
Date of Action				
12-Apr	-17			
Status of this Hazard Log Entry Date Closed		Date Closed		
Closed	I	24-Apr-17		
Comm	ent	_ 1		

# **A.15 HAZ15**

4.15	ПАСТЭ		
Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	4-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Update	
Closed		12-Apr-2017	
Descri	ption	•	
	tion of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircredding (procedural non-radar operations)	ew caused by refle	ction from building structur
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incide	ent
Probal	pility	Severity	
Remot	9	Significant Incide	ent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Open	NG
M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.	Open	RCAM
M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed	FBC
	I	1	<u> </u>

M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM		
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG		
Propos	sed By	Planned Date			
HIRA 24-8-16					
Action	Action taken				
Mergeo	Merged with hazards HAZ01 and HAZ10				
Date of Action					
12-Apr	12-Apr-17				
Status	Status of this Hazard Log Entry  Date Closed				
Closed	Closed				
Comment					

# **A.16 HAZ16**

Identified By		Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	t Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Closed		24-Apr-17	
Descri	ption	1	
Distrac	tion of aircrew or control tower staff		
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF01	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - building and security lighting	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF02	Distraction of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structure and cladding	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF04	Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF05	Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF06	Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
CF08	Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C14	Runway overrun	No Immediate Ef	fect
Probal	pility	Severity	
Remot	е	No Immediate Ef	fect
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open	NG
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Open	NG
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open	NG
		·	

M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.	Open	RCAM	
M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed	FBC	
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM	
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG	
Proposed By		Planned Date		
HIRA 24-8-16				
Action	taken			
Mergeo	d with HAZ01 and HAZ10			
Date of	f Action			
24-Apr	-17			
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed		
Closed		24-Apr-17		
Comment				

# **A.17 HAZ17**

Identif	ied By	Date Created		
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16		
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Update		
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17		
Descri	ption			
Terrori	st attack on IFA2			
Causa	l Factors			
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood	
CF36	Terrorist attack on IFA2	Terrorist Incident		
Conse	quences			
ID	Description	Severity		
C01	Aircraft unintentionally deviates from normal in-flight parameters.	Significant Incident		
Probal	bility	Severity	Severity	
Signific	cant	Incident		
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned	
M37	A threat assessment to be conducted to determine the threat levels, using input from NG and FBC.	Open	RCAM	
Propos	sed By	Planned Date		
HIRA 2	24-8-16			
Action	Taken			
Date o	f Action			
	Status of this Hazard Log Entry			
Open				
Comm	ent			

# **A.18 HAZ18**

Identified By Date Created			
HIRA :	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last L	Jpdate Action	Date of Last Update	
Revise	ed based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descr	iption	•	
Expos	ure of public and workers to excessive magnetic fields		
Causa	al Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF03	Human (public and workers) exposure to excessive magnetic fields (see 8.2 for impact on equipment)	Human Factors	Extremely Improbable
Conse	equences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C08	Harm to health	Significant Incide	ent
Proba	bility	Severity	
Extren	nely Improbable	Significant Incident	
Contr	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M38	Project documentation to show that alternating current (AC) and direct current (DC) fields comply with requirements.	Open	NG
M41	This risk of public exposure to electromagnetic fields is eliminated provided the planning constraint for emissions is met.	Closed	NG
Propo	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA :	24-8-16		
Action	n taken	ı	
Date o	of Action		
Status of this Hazard Log Entry Date Closed			
_			
Open			

# **A.19 HAZ19**

		D. (	
HIRA 24-8-16		Date Created	
		24-Aug-16	
	pdate Action	Date of Last Update	
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descri			
Incorre	ect magnetic compass reading		
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF07	Magnetic compass/magnetometer deviation caused by magnetic fields from HV cables.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C05	Terrain separation deteriorating below normal requirements	Significant Inci	dent
Probal	bility	Severity	
Remot	е	Significant Inci	dent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed	
M19	RCAM, in collaboration with NG, to confirm that the magnetic fields at the compass base could not credibly lead to incorrect calibration of magnetic compasses.	Open	RCAM, NG
M20	Pre-flight check area to be assessed for effect of magnetic fields on the setting of aircraft direction indicators.	Open	RCAM
M21	RCAM to promulgate instruction to calibrate magnetic compasses only at compass base.	Open	RCAM
M22	General airmanship provides a mitigation because aircrew should quickly identify incorrect calibration by reference to visual landmarks.	Closed	
M23	RCAM to promulgate instruction not to set direction indicators against magnetic compasses in zones likely to be subject to magnetic interference.	Open	RCAM
Propo	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	taken		
Date o	f Action		

Status of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed
Open	
Comment	

# **A.20 HAZ20**

Identified By		Date Created	
HIRA 2	4-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	ast Update Action Date of Last Update		Update
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-2017	
Descri	ption	•	
High 50	OHz impressed voltage or touch potentials due to fences or LV cablin	g.	
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF19	Touch potential from HV cable layout or impressed potential in fences (planned or existing) and existing LV cables with the risk of impressed voltages.	Technical Factors	
Conse	quences		·
ID	Description	Severity	
C11	Electric shock / electrocution	Serious Incide	ent
Probal	pility	Severity	
		Serious Incide	ent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M30	Detailed surveys for existing services are to be undertaken before excavation of a trench to lay the cables. Any existing cables will either be revealed by the survey or exposed on excavation and moved/dealt with appropriately. Thus, subject to this being completed, the risk of electric shock from impressed voltage and touch potentials will be eliminated by design.	Open	NG
M43	Cable protection system to ensure power is promptly removed in the event of an insulation failure.	Open	NG
M45	If any high-power AC cables run parallel or near-parallel to any metal fences or similar structures and run alongside for a significant distance, those structures are to be sufficiently earthed, and that earthing maintained sufficiently, to eliminate the risk of dangerous impressed and touch potentials.	Open	
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	4-8-16		
Action	taken		
Date o	f Action		
Status of this Hazard Log Entry  Date Closed			

Open	
Comment	

# **A.21 HAZ21**

		1	
Identif	ied By	Date Created	ı
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action		Date of Last Update	
Revise	d based on second FHA meeting.	12-Apr-17	
Descr	ption		
Loss o	f control of UAV.		
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF20	Emissions/RFI from HV cables/facility cause malfunctioning of UAV (e.g. drones).	Technical Factors	Remote
CF21	Heat generated from converter station - air density changes immediately above the facility impacts aircraft or gliders.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incident	
Probability		Severity	
Remote		Significant Incident	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	Open	RCAM
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed	
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open	RCAM
M31	The communication strategy in place for flying UAVs to be studied further to determine possible risk.	Open	RCAM, FBC
M39	NG to Review RFI impact on UAVs.	Open	NG
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs are to be reviewed.	Closed	RCAM
Proposed By		Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	taken		
Date o	f Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			
Comm	ent		

# **A.22 HAZ22**

Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Revise	ed based on second FHA meeting.	22-Apr-17	
Descri	iption		
Smoke	e impeding vision of aircrew.		
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF23	Equipment within the converter station catches fire and generates smoke impeding vision of aircrew	Fire and Smoke	Extremely Remote
Conse	equences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C05	Terrain separation deteriorating below normal requirements	Significant Incide	ent
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incident	
Probal	bility	Severity	
Extrem	nely Remote	Significant Incide	ent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M32	Design specifications to require fire protection systems to ensure that fire is controllable.	Open	NG
Propo	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	Taken	1	
Date o	of Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			
Comm	nent		
system	sk is unlikely to be significantly worse than any other building near the as such as the proposed fire deluge system are expected to offset any and the materials present.		

# **A.23 HAZ23**

		I	
Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last U	pdate
Closed	l.	22-Apr-17	
Descri	ption		
Smoke	impeding vision of aircrew		
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF23	Equipment within the converter station catches fire and generates smoke impeding vision of aircrew	Fire and Smoke	Extremely Remote
Conse	quences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C07	Aircraft in close proximity with another aircraft such that their safety is or may be compromised.	Significant Incident	
Probal	bility	Severity	
Extrem	nely Remote	Significant Incid	dent
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M32	Design specifications to require fire protection systems to ensure that fire is controllable. Open	NG	
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 2	24-8-16		
Action	Taken	•	
Merge	d with HAZ22		
Date o	f Action		
24-Apr	-17		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Closed	I	24-Apr-17	
Comm	ent		
system	sk is unlikely to be significantly worse than any other building near the as such as the proposed fire deluge system are expected to offset any and the materials present.		

# **A.24 HAZ24**

Identif	fied By	Date Created	
HIRA '	12-4-17	12-Apr-17	
Last U	Ipdate Action	Date of Last	Update
Create	od .	12-Apr-17	
Descr	iption		
Incorre	ect ground lighting intensity		
Causa	Il Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF26	Interference from high-voltage cables affects ground lighting.	Technical Factors	Remote
Conse	equences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C01	Aircraft unintentionally deviates from normal in-flight parameters.	Significant Incident	
Proba	bility	Severity	
Remot	е	Significant Inc	cident
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M40	Any future Airfield Ground Lighting (AGL) system to be designed to ensure interference from HV cables cannot credibly affect the lighting.	Open	RCAM
Propo	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA '	12-4-17		
Action	n Taken		
Date o	of Action		
Status	s of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			
Comm	nent		
There	is no AGL system at the airport. This hazard relates to possible future	e development.	

# **A.25 HAZ25**

Identif	fied By	Date Created	I
HIRA '	12-4-17	12-Apr-17	
Last U	Ipdate Action	Date of Last	Update
Create	d	12-Apr-17	
Descr	iption		
Wrong	or no altimeter reading		
Causa	Il Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF11	Altimeters (UHF) impacted by emissions from HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	Extremely Improbable
Conse	equences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C05	Terrain separation deteriorating below normal requirements	Significant Incident	
Proba	bility	Severity	
Extremely Improbable		Significant Incident	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M25	If aircraft using radio altimetry are likely to use the airport, the effect of the IFA2 on radio altimetry is to be assessed.	Open	RCAM
Propo	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA '	12-4-17		
Action	n Taken	II.	
Date o	of Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			

For MCA Equipment altimetry is part of the flight management / terrain awareness system which uses a GPS interface (no ground based systems). Pilots are particularly reliant on this equipment during bad-weather approaches. Impact to be assessed to ensure the likelihood of the hazard is extremely improbable.

# **A.26 HAZ26**

Identif	ied By	Date Created	i
	12-4-17	12-Apr-17	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last	Update
Review	ved and updated following meeting with MCA on 25 July 2017.	23-Aug-17	
Descri	ption		
Unkno	wn effect on MCA operations		
Causa	l Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF15	Interference with Maritime Coastguard Agency communications caused by RFI /emissions from/HV cables/facility.	Technical Factors	
CF25	Emissions from HV cables/facility interfere with meteorological instruments.	Technical Factors	
Conse	quences	1	
ID	Description	Severity	
C12	Unknown effect on MCA		
Probal	bility	Severity	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	1	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M27	Liaise with MCA to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed	FBC, RCAM
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 1	2-4-17		
Action	taken		
Date o	f Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			
Comm	ent		
	d with HAZ01, HAZ06, HAZ08, HAZ10, HAZ13, HAZ15, and HAZ16		

# **A.27 HAZ27**

		T	
Identif	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 1	12-4-17	12-Apr-17	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Up	date
Create	d	12-Apr-17	
Descri	iption		
Unkno	wn effects on Britten-Norman operations		
Causa	I Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF16	Emissions from HV cables and facilities impacts Britten-Norman activities involving complex avionics and military aircraft.	Technical Factors	
Conse	quences	•	
ID	Description	Severity	
C10	Unknown effect on Britten-Norman operations		
Probal	bility	Severity	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M28	Liaise with Britten-Norman to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed	RCAM, FBC
Propos	sed By	Planned Date	
HIRA 1	12-4-17		
Action	n Taken	•	
Data	of Action		
Date 0	n Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			
Comm	nent		

# **A.28 HAZ28**

Identif	fied By	Date Created	I
HIRA 12-4-17 12-Apr-17			
Last U	Ipdate Action	Date of Last	Update
Create	ed	12-Apr-17	
Descr	iption		
Unkno	wn effect of NATS operations		
Causa	Il Factors		
ID	Description	Category	Likelihood
CF17	Impact on Radar due to emissions from HV cables/facility	Technical Factors	
Conse	equences		
ID	Description	Severity	
C13	Unknown effect on NATS		
Proba	bility	Severity	
Contro	ols, Mitigations and Actions	•	
ID	Description	Status	Assigned
M29	Liaise with NATS to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed	RCAM, FBC
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	Open	NG
Propo	sed By	Planned Date	е
HIRA '	12-4-17		
Action	ı taken		
Date o	of Action		
Status	of this Hazard Log Entry	Date Closed	
Open			
Comm	nent		

# APPENDIX B CAUSAL FACTOR FORMS B.1 CF01

8-16	24-Aug-16	
HIRA 24-8-16 24-Aug-16		
Last Update Action Date of Last Update		
d and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
ion		
n of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - build	ding and security lighting	
,		
actors		
ence		
ry reduction in vision caused by glare		
ity		
y Improbable		
, Mitigations and Actions		
Mitigation		
Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.		
The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section 12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.		
ed Hazards		
Description		
Distraction of aircrew.		
Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.		
Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.		
Distraction of control tower staff.		
Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace	e operations).	
Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by disbuilding structure and cladding (procedural non-radar opera		
Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.		
i i y ,	In of aircrew at night caused by lighting from the facility - build actors  ence  by reduction in vision caused by glare  ity  / Improbable  Mitigations and Actions  Mitigation  Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight runway. This requirement is to be included in the design special actors.  The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 aerodromes.  ed Hazards  Description  Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.  Distraction of control tower staff.  Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace)  Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.	

# **B.2 CF02**

Identifie	ed By	Date Created
HIRA 24	-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Up	date Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewe	ed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17
Descri	ption	
Distracti	on of aircrew at night caused by reflection from building structu	ure and cladding
Catego	гу	
Human	Factors	
Conseq	uence	
Tempor	ary reduction in vision caused by glare	
Probab	ility	
Extreme	ely Improbable	
Control	s, Mitigations and Actions	
ID	Mitigation	
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a	a distraction to aircrew.
Associa	ated Hazards	
ID	Description	
HAZ01	Distraction of aircrew.	
	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.	
HAZ06		
HAZ06 HAZ08	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.	
	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.  Distraction of control tower staff.	
HAZ08		e operations).
HAZ08 HAZ10	Distraction of control tower staff.	

# **B.3 CF03**

Identifie	ed By	Date Created	
HIRA 24	-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Up	date Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewe	d and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
Descrip	tion		
Human (	public and workers) exposure to excessive magnetic fields (s	see 8.2 for impact on equipment)	
Categor	у		
Human F	actors		
Conseq	uence		
Health ha	azard.		
Probabi	lity		
Extreme	ly Improbable		
Controls	s, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Mitigation		
M38	Project documentation to show that AC and direct current (DC) fields comply with requirements.		
M41	This risk of public exposure to electromagnetic fields is eliminated provided the planning constraint for emissions is met.		
Associa	ited Hazards		
ID	Description		
HAZ18	Exposure of public and workers to excessive magnetic field	ds	
	nts		

# **B.4 CF04**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

### **Description**

Communication interference, impacting the workload of the staff in control tower or aircrew (e.g. dealing with instrumentation and radio problems).

#### Category

**Human Factors** 

#### Consequence

Interference impacts radio or causes damage to communication or navigation equipment. Increased workload dealing with this causes distraction of tower personnel or aircrew.

#### **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

# **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.

#### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ01	Distraction of aircrew.
HAZ06	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ08	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ10	Distraction of control tower staff.
HAZ13	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace operations).
HAZ15	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircrew caused by reflection from building structure and cladding (procedural non-radar operations).
HAZ16	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.

#### **Comments**

There may be a localised impact on communication, but this can be identified and managed.

The likelihood and significance could increase with the introduction of new equipment (such as visiometers and cloud base recorders) and introduction of FIS, but the second HIRA 24-8-16 did not consider such changes would be sufficient to change the risk categories of the associated hazards.

If communications fail during the final phase of approach, it is extremely unlikely that the aircrew would be sufficiently distracted by the failure to affect their handling of the landing.

# **B.5 CF05**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

## Description

Noise from IFA2 facility causes a distraction.

#### Category

**Human Factors** 

#### Consequence

Distraction to aircrew due to noise from the facility.

## **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

# **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).

## **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ01	Distraction of aircrew.
HAZ06	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ08	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ10	Distraction of control tower staff.
HAZ13	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace operations).
HAZ15	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircrew caused by reflection from building structure and cladding (procedural non-radar operations).
HAZ16	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.

#### Comments

Noise levels from the IFA2 facility are low. Unlikely to be heard by aircraft, possibly could be heard by glider pilots. Noise levels unlikely to be higher than the background noise. To be considered as part of the design specifications.

# **B.6 CF06**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

## **Description**

Pilots under training who are not accustomed to any impacts from converter station - e.g. as they have undergone training before the converter station is operational.

## Category

**Human Factors** 

#### Consequence

Distraction to aircrew

#### **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

#### **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.

#### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ01	Distraction of aircrew.
HAZ06	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ08	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ10	Distraction of control tower staff.
HAZ13	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace operations).
HAZ15	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircrew caused by reflection from building structure and cladding (procedural non-radar operations).
HAZ16	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.

## Comments

For Pilots under training, the onus is on the instructor. It is expected to take 4 years to build the facility, with plenty of publicity, so trainees have time to adjust. Communications required on switching on of the facility.

# **B.7 CF07**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

### **Description**

Magnetic compass/magnetometer deviation caused by magnetic fields from HV cables.

## Category

**Technical Factors** 

#### Consequence

Wrong compass reading or heading indication.

#### **Probability**

Remote

#### **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.
M19	RCAM, in collaboration with NG, to confirm that the magnetic fields at the compass base could not credibly lead to incorrect calibration of magnetic compasses.
M20	Pre-flight check area to be assessed for effect of magnetic fields on the setting of aircraft direction indicators.
M21	RCAM to promulgate instruction to calibrate magnetic compasses only at compass base.
M22	General airmanship provides a mitigation because aircrew should quickly identify incorrect calibration by reference to visual landmarks.
M23	RCAM to promulgate instruction not to set direction indicators against magnetic compasses in zones likely to be subject to magnetic interference.

#### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ19	Incorrect magnetic compass reading.

## Comments

More information was available at the second HIRA 24-8-16 than at the first HIRA 24-8-16.

HAZ19 has been expanded to cover all magnetic compass deviation caused by fields from HV cables

LSA RFI assessment demonstrated only a localised impact on magnetic fields for compass and magnetometers on the ground in certain locations.

Provided the compass is calibrated correctly, reading will revert to correct reading once outside the zone.

The compass base is an area allocated for compass calibration, away from the cable routes. The distance between the compass base and the cable routes should reduce the probability of IFA2 affecting compass calibration to Remote or better.

Within the pre-flight checks, the compass will be used to set direction indicators, which introduces a potential risk because the area might be close to the cables.

Magnetic checks shall be conducted after the cables are installed under the ground to identify whether it will be a suitable area for pre-flight checks including compass calibration.

It is anticipated that in the worst case, there may belocalised deflection within ±12m of the cables.

Compass checks shall not take place in the vicinity of the cables.

The direction indicator is not directly affected by EMI. The direction indicator should be reset in flight every 10-15min by the aircrew, which should correct any incorrect Direction Indicator (DI) setting.

Multiple failures would be needed for this hazard to be realised:

- The aircrew conducts the pre-flight checks in a location in which the magnetic compass is misaligned;
- The aircrew fails to notice that the DI does not align with the runway direction;
- The aircrew fails to reset the DI as required by normal procedures;
- The aircrew fails to notice (by reference to ground features) that the aircraft is deviating from the intended course.

## **B.8 CF08**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

## **Description**

Air-ground communications impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.

#### Category

**Technical Factors** 

#### Consequence

Delayed air-ground communication.

## **Probability**

Remote

# **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference.

### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description	
HAZ01 Distraction of aircrew.		
HAZ06	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.	
HAZ08	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.	
HAZ10	Distraction of control tower staff.	
HAZ13	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace operations).	
HAZ15	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircrew caused by reflection from building structure and cladding (procedural non-radar operations).	
HAZ16	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.	

#### Comments

LSA RFI assessment concludes low probability of interference for current operations.

Risk of interference for additional equipment introduced for future operations would be assessed as part of the safety management of the introduction of that equipment.

With the introduction of an FIS, there is the potential for safety impact in the event of interference/disruption in air - ground communications.

In events when main radio communication is lost FISO will make a decision to change radio and communicate with the

pilot. Furthermore, Air traffic control may use light signals to communicate with the pilots.

## **B.9 CF09**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

#### Description

Ground-ground communications (UHF) impacted by interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility.

#### Category

**Technical Factors** 

#### Consequence

None

## **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation	
M34	Lighting signals can be used if RF levels are exceptionally sufficiently high to cause interruption to radio communications systems.	
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference.	

## **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ11	Impaired ground to ground communications.
HAZ12	Impaired ground to ground communications.

## Comments

No mechanism has been Identified By which ground-ground communication problems can impair the safety of operations.

No mechanism has been Identified By which ground-ground communication problems can impair the safety of operations.

Equipment introduced for future operations will be subject to its own risk management.

Trained staff stop at a safe place when the communication fails. If main radio communication is lost FISO can make a decision to change radio and communicate with the pilot or can use light signals to communicate with the pilots/ people on the ground/ vehicles on the ground.

Trained staff stop at a safe place when the communication fails. If main radio communication is lost FISO can make a decision to change radio and communicate with the pilot or can use light signals to communicate with the pilots/ people on the ground/ vehicles on the ground.

# **B.10 CF10**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

## Description

Interference caused by emissions from HV cables/facility delays Emergency Services communication

#### Category

**Technical Factors** 

#### Consequence

Delay in response from Emergency Services

## **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

# **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

II	D	Mitigation	
Ν	Л24	FIS procedures to take into account the possibility of impairment of ground-ground communications.	
Ν	/35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	

#### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ11	Impaired ground to ground communications.

# Comments

Amended for the introduction of an FIS.

Equipment introduced for future operations will be subject to its own risk management.

Emergency services have agreed response procedures with fire zones defined.

In the event of a fire/emergency, all air traffic would be directed to an alternative airport until it is safe to land.

Several communication channels as options under FISO. Emergency communications prioritised (radio silence). If necessary, emergency services can be directed to the incident by other means.

# B.11 CF11

Identifie	d By	Date Created	
HIRA 24	-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Upo	date Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.		12-Apr-17	
Descript	Description		
Altimeter	Altimeters (UHF) impacted by emissions from HV cables/facility.		
Categor	у		
Technica	Technical Factors		
Consequ	sequence		
Wrong o	ong or no altimeter reading		
Probabil	Probability		
Extremel	Extremely Improbable		
Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	Mitigation		
M25	If aircraft using radio altimetry are likely to use the airport, the effect of the IFA2 on radio altimetry is to be assessed.		
Associated Hazards			
ID	Description		
HAZ25	Wrong or no altimeter reading		

# **B.12 CF12**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16.	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
Description		
Instrument Landing System (ILS) impacted by emissions from HV cab	les/facility	
Category		
Technical Factors		
Consequence		
Incorrect ILS guidance.		
Probability		
Controls, Mitigations and Actions		
Associated Hazards		
Comments		
No ILS currently.		
Confirmed as no longer applicable.		

# **B.13 CF13**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16.	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

## Description

GPS impacted by emissions from HV cables/facility (note current aircraft have their own GPS).

#### Category

#### Consequence

Wrong or no position information from GPS.

#### **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

#### **Associated Hazards**

#### Comments

MCA Helicopter Pilots rely on a GPS based flight management system for navigation and depend on this at low altitudes for bad weather approaches.

The MCA GPS based system is augmented and is subject to its own safety management.

Pilots of other aircraft do not depend on GPS for navigation; At low altitude (below 600ft) aircrew perform a visual approach.

The landing area is a flat area. It does not affect minimum safe altitude.

GPS is always vulnerable to multipath and dropouts, which are dealt with the in the existing procedures.

If GPS augmentation is introduced, it will be subject to its own safety management.

# **B.14 CF14**

Identifi	ied By	Date Created	
HIRA 2	4-8-16.	24-Aug-16	
Last U	pdate Action	Date of Last Update	
Review	ed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
Descri	ption		
Impact	Impact from RFI/emissions on power supply system in aircraft.		
Catego	Category		
Technical Factors			
Consequence			
Damage to or loss of power supply in aircraft.			
Probability			
Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	ID Mitigation		
M26	M26 LSA RFI assessment concluded that this is not a credible effect.		
Associated Hazards			
Comm	Comments		

# **B.15 CF15**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated following meeting with MCA on 25 July 2017.	23-Aug-17

# Description

Interference with Maritime Coastguard Agency communications caused by RFI /emissions from/HV cables/facility.

## Category

**Technical Factors** 

## Consequence

## **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation	
M27	Liaise with MCA to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	

## **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ01	Distraction of aircrew
HAZ06	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ08	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff.
HAZ13	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff (class G airspace operations)
HAZ15	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff, other than by distraction of aircrew caused by reflection from building structure and cladding (procedural non-radar operations)
HAZ16	Distraction of aircrew or control tower staff
HAZ25	Wrong or no altimeter reading
HAZ26	Unknown effect on MCA operations
Comments	

The station, mast and tower are on the airfield.

MCA plans to install a MEOSAR satellite system (Medium Earth Orbit Search and Rescue), for search and rescue (SAR) distress alerting, have been assessed for EMC.

# **B.16 CF16**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16	12-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	

# Description

Emissions from HV cables and facilities impacts Britten-Norman activities involving complex avionics and military aircraft.

# Category

**Technical Factors** 

## Consequence

Unknown effect on Britten-Norman activities.

## **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M28	Liaise with Britten-Norman to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.

# **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ27	Unknown effects on Britten-Norman operations

### Comments

Status of actions updated.

A detailed study has been conducted to evaluate the potential impact on Britten-Norman activities.

This study is being reviewed as part of the Phase 1 technical assessment to determine whether there are any gaps.

# **B.17 CF17**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

# Description

Impact on Radar due to emissions from HV cables/facility

### Category

**Technical Factors** 

### Consequence

Temporary loss of radar.

## **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation	
M29	Liaise with NATS to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference	

### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ28	Unknown effect of NATS operations

## Comments

Status of actions updated.

No impact at the airport. The radar service is provided by Solent Radar.

LSA RFI assessment concludes that it is unlikely that the facility would cause RFI to future radar.

Needs to be confirmed that the NATS Radar system is only used for training purposes. A related hazard is retained until that is determined.

# **B.18 CF18**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16.	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
Description		
Ionising radiation from HV cables.		
Category		
Technical Factors		
Consequence		
Fire		
Probability		
Controls, Mitigations and Actions		
Associated Hazards		
Comments		
No credible mechanism for this causal factor has been identified.		

# **B.19 CF19**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	

#### Description

Touch potential from HV cable layout or impressed voltage in fences (planned or existing) or existing LV cables.

## Category

**Technical Factors** 

### Consequence

Electric shock/electrocution from touch potential.

## **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation	
M30	Detailed surveys for existing services are to be undertaken before excavation of a trench to lay the cables. Any existing cables will either be revealed by the survey or exposed on excavation and moved/dealt with appropriately. Thus, subject to this being completed, the risk of electric shock from impressed and touch potentials will be eliminated by design.	
M43	Cable protection system to ensure power is promptly removed in the event of an insulation failure.	
M45	If any high-power AC cables run parallel or near-parallel to any metal fences or similar structures and run alongside for a significant distance, those structures are to be sufficiently earthed, and that earthing maintained sufficiently, to eliminate the risk of dangerous impressed and touch potentials.	

### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ20	High 50Hz impressed and touch potentials in fences or LV cabling.

#### Comments

The power should be designed to trip out within 80ms if there is earth leakage. There should also be a backup system to force a power trip out within 500ms.

There are no fences that runs parallel and near to the cable route. There is no effect if cables cross the conductor at 90°. Note this is an AC not a DC issue so only relates to the AC circuits.

To be checked that is the southwest corner where the cables cross under the fencing whether the fencing is non-conductible. However, the fencing is earthed locally to ensure there is no step or touch potential problem.

# **B.20 CF20**

Identifie	d By	Date Created	
HIRA 24	-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Up	date Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.		12-Apr-17	
Description			
Emission	Emissions/RFI from KV cables/facility cause malfunctioning of UAV (e.g. drones).		
Categor	у		
Technica	nnical Factors		
Consequence			
Loss of control of UAV.			
Probability			
Remote			
Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	Mitigation		
M31	The communication strategy in place for flying UAVs to be studied further to determine possible risk.		
M39	NG to Review RFI impact on UAVs.		
Associated Hazards			
ID	Description		
HAZ21	Loss of control of UAV.		

Note that the risk might be dependent on the location UAVs are permitted to fly in and controls on their operation.

# **B.21 CF21**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	

# Description

Heat generated from converter station - air density changes immediately above the facility impacts aircraft or gliders.

# Category

**Technical Factors** 

## Consequence

Loss of control of aircraft/glider.

## **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

#### **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation	
M07	M07 Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	
M18	8 Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs are to be reviewed.	

### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ02	Wind impact.
HAZ04	Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on). Note that the worst case at the airport is wind from north-east.
HAZ05	Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on). Note that the worst case at the airport is wind from north-east.
HAZ07	Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on). Note that the worst case at the airport is wind from north-east.
HAZ21	Loss of control of UAV.

### Comments

Any change in temperature should be slight change (a few degrees) and is a localised. Aircraft/gliders will fly over the converter station on take-off but there should, therefore, be no material impact.

Potential for this to be notified to glider pilots, so they are aware of possible slight effects

# **B.22 CF22**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Merged with CF07	12-Apr-17	
Description		
Compass miscalibrated due to calibration taking place in zone impacted by magnetic fields.		
Category		
Technical Factors		
Consequence		
Probability		
Controls, Mitigations and Actions		
Associated Hazards		
Comments		

# **B.23 CF23**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

# Description

Equipment within the converter station catches fire and generates smoke impeding vision of aircrew

### Category

Fire and Smoke

### Consequence

Aircrew vision impeded.

## **Probability**

Extremely Remote

# **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M32	Design specifications to require fire protection systems to ensure that fire is controllable.

## **Associated Hazards**

	ID	Description
	HAZ22	Smoke impeding vision of aircrew.
	HAZ23	Smoke impeding vision of aircrew

## Comments

Powered aircraft can divert from smoke; gliders would need to avoid or land.

The proposed runway extension could mean that aircraft are at a lower altitude than at present when passing over the IFA2 site.

# **B.24 CF24**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	24-Aug-16	

# Description

Wind impact, caused by building or landscaping (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear, and so on).

## Category

**Environmental Factors** 

## Consequence

Loss of control of aircraft/glider.

### **Probability**

Extremely Improbable

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation	
M06	Wind assessment to determine the impact of the building on the wind patterns (including consideration of light aircraft and UAVs).	
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in the case of increased traffic.	
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	

### **Associated Hazards**

ID	Description
HAZ02	Wind impact.
HAZ04	Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on). Note that the worst case at the airport is wind from north-east.
HAZ05	Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on). Note that the worst case at the airport is wind from north-east.
HAZ07	Wind impact, caused by building (turbulence and unexpected changes in wind patterns, wind shear and so on). Note that the worst case at the airport is wind from north-east.

#### Comments

Note that changes in wind could cause distraction initially for glider pilots in particular, i.e. until they become familiar with the changed wind patterns.

# **B.25 CF25**

Deleted after discussion with MCA. The "Unknown effect on MCA operations" is now known, and the effects addressed by other causal factors.

# **B.26 CF26**

Identifie	ed By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
Last Up	date Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewe	ed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
Descrip	tion		
Interfere	ence from high-voltage cables affects ground lighting.		
Categor	у		
Technica	al Factors		
Consequence			
Malfunction of lighting (AGL) impacts aircraft landing at night.			
Probability			
Remote			
Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	Mitigation		
M40	Any future AGL system to be designed to ensure interference from HV cables cannot credibly affect the lighting.		
Associa	Associated Hazards		
ID	Description		
HAZ24	Incorrect ground lighting intensity		

# **B.27 CF27**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17

### Description

Insulation failure of HV cables - impacts another system (e.g. AGL)

### Category

**Environmental Factors** 

#### Consequence

Damage / malfunction of equipment.

## **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

ID	Mitigation
M43	Cable protection system to ensure power is promptly removed in the event of an insulation failure.

### **Associated Hazards**

## Comments

Updated for cable protection system assumptions as recorded at the workshop on the 11&12th April 2017.

Based on the discussion at the workshop on the 11&12th April 2017, the power will trip out quickly (~80ms). There is also a backup system which would force a full power trip out in 500ms. The assumption to be verified by design specifications.

# **B.28 CF28**

Identified By	Date Created
HIRA 24-8-16.	24-Aug-16
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update
Closed based on the conclusion of that meeting that the risk is not specific to IFA2.	12-Apr-17

### Description

Future construction works (once converter station in operation) - digging in the vicinity of HV cables.

### Category

**Environmental Factors** 

## Consequence

Electrocution / electric shock to future construction workers

## **Probability**

## **Controls, Mitigations and Actions**

#### **Associated Hazards**

### Comments

Managed by a separate process not relevant to this assessment.

Cable location records to be retained within the Health and Safety File as required by Construction (Design and Management) (CDM) Regulations.

A safe digging procedure shall be in place. All operation and future activities are subject to aerodrome regulations.

No longer considered relevant to IFA2.

# **B.29 CF29**

Identifie	d By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
Last Update Action		Date of Last Update	
Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017. 12-Apr-17		12-Apr-17	
Descript	Description		
Future pl	lanning of landscaping - attracts birds near to airfield		
Category	у		
Environm	nental Factors		
Consequence			
Bird strik	ce		
Probabil	lity		
Remote			
Controls	s, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Mitigation		
M14	RCAM to discuss bird strikes with a wildlife expert and to seek the expert's advice on how to manage the bird activities in this area.		
M15	FBC to consider the risk of bird strike in future landscaping	and choice of trees, and so on.	
Associa	ited Hazards		
ID	Description		
HAZ03	Bird strike		

The airport is close to the sea and green spaces that already attract birds.

# **B.30 CF30**

Identifie	ed By	Date Created		
HIRA 24	I-8-16	24-Aug-16		
Last Update Action Date of Last Update		Date of Last Update		
Reviewe	Reviewed and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017. 12-Apr-17			
Descrip	tion			
Converte	er station warms air immediately above the converter station and	l attracts birds.		
Catego	гу			
Environr	mental Factors			
Consequence				
<b>Probabi</b> Remote	Probability			
	Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	Mitigation			
M14	RCAM to discuss bird strikes with a wildlife expert and to seek the expert's advice on how to manage the bird activities in this area.			
Associated Hazards				
ID	Description			
HAZ03	Bird strike			
Comme	Comments			

# **B.31 CF31**

Identifie	d By	Date Created		
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16		
Last Up	date Action	Date of Last Update		
Reviewe	d and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17		
Descrip	Description			
Building	Building design -flat roof - attracts birds			
Categor	у			
Environn	Environmental Factors			
Consequence				
Bird strik	Bird strike.			
Probabi	Probability			
Remote				
Controls, Mitigations and Actions				
ID	Mitigation			
M12	Building to provide appropriate access for bird management strategy.			
Associated Hazards				
ID	Description			
HAZ03	Bird strike			
Comme	Comments			

# **B.32 CF32**

Identified	d By	Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		24-Aug-16	
Last Upo	date Action	Date of Last Update	
Reviewed	d and updated at HIRA workshop 11&12th April 2017.	12-Apr-17	
Descript	ion		
Tall trees			
Category	/		
Environm	nental Factors		
Consequ	ience		
Tree growth impacts the obstacle limitation surface.			
Probability			
Remote	Remote		
Controls	s, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Mitigation		
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.		
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in the case of increased traffic.		
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.		
Associated Hazards			
ID	Description		
HAZ02	Wind impact.		

# **B.33 CF33**

Identifie	<b>I</b> Ву	Date Created	
HIRA 12-4-17.		12-Apr-17	
Last Upo	ate Action	Date of Last Update	
Closed b	ecause not considered a credible hazard.	12-Apr-17	
Description			
Fire as a	Fire as a result of fuel installation facility or fuel mobile bowsers being near the cable routes.		
Category			
Environmental Factors			
Consequence			
Fire on the airfield, smoke affects visibility for pilots.			
Probability			
Extremely Remote			
Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
ID	Mitigation		
M44	The location of the fixed fuel installation and filling points for mobile bowsers is not near the HV cables.		
Associated Hazards			
Comments			

The cabling cannot credibly cause ionising levels of radiation.

# **B.34 CF34**

Identified By	Date Created	
HIRA 12-4-17	12-Apr-17	
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update	
Closed because no credible hazard was identified.	12-Apr-17	
Description		
RFI / interference with Aeronautical Fixed Telecommunication Network (AFTN) causing loss of data.		
Category		
Technical Factors		
Consequence		
AFTN is not currently used. However AFTN is related to FIS, might be used in future. AFTN is not mandatory. No significant effect.		
Probability		
Controls, Mitigations and Actions		
Associated Hazards		
Comments		

New causal factor - not considered to result in a credible hazard.

# **B.35 CF35**

Identified By	Date Created		
HIRA 12-4-17	12-Apr-17		
Last Update Action	Date of Last Update		
Closed because no credible hazard identified	12-Apr-17		
Description			
Insufficient drainage causing water ingress and flooding.			
Category			
Consequence			
No safety impact identified; operational issues only.			
Probability			
Controls, Mitigations and Actions			
Associated Hazards			
Comments			

# **B.36 CF36**

Identified By		Date Created	
HIRA 24-8-16		12-Apr-17	
Last Update Action		Date of Last Update	
Created		12-Apr-17	
Descript	ion		
Terrorist	attack on IFA2		
Category	у		
Terrorist	Incident		
Consequ	uence		
Unknown	n effect - needs results of threat assessment.		
Probabil	ity		
Controls	s, Mitigations and Actions		
ID	Mitigation		
M37	A threat assessment to be conducted to determine the threat levels, using input from NG and FBC.		
Associat	ted Hazards		
ID	Description		
HAZ17	Terrorist attack on IFA2		

# **APPENDIX C CONTROLS, MITIGATIONS AND ACTIONS**

The "Assigned to" column is intentionally blank. Those mitigations that are not complete are taken through as dependencies in the safety justification [2] and tracked through the risk management plan.

		oned unbugit the flox management plan.		
ID	Description	Status	Comments	Assigned To
M01	Building lighting to be directed downwards, away from flight paths and control tower, and not towards the runway. This requirement is to be included in the design specifications.	Open		
M02	The design of all road lighting to be compliant with BS 5489 [6] Section 12.2: Lighting in the vicinity of aerodromes.	Closed		
M03	External surfaces of building to be designed not to present a distraction to aircrew.	Open		
M04	Noise levels to be managed to ensure they are not distracting to pilots, particularly glider pilots.	Open		
M05	Aircrew and airport ground operators to be kept up-to-date with changes and likely effects.	Open		
M06	Wind assessment to determine the impact of the building on the wind patterns (including consideration of light aircraft and UAVs).	Closed		
M07	Publicity and training to include awareness of possible wind effects.	Open		
M08	Obstacle clearance surfaces to be protected.	Open		
M09	Effects of wind to be kept under review in the case of increased traffic.	Closed		
M10	Airmanship provides mitigation.	Closed		
M11	RCAM to ensure an effective bird management strategy.	Open		
M12	Building to provide appropriate access for bird management strategy.	Open		
M13	The building design to discourage a significant increase in the bird activities or detrimental changes in bird behaviour in this area.	Open		
M14	RCAM to discuss bird strikes with a wildlife expert and to seek the expert's advice on how to manage the bird activities in this area.	Closed		
M15	FBC to consider the risk of bird strike in future landscaping and choice of trees, and so on.	Closed		
M16	If communications dead spots are found, appropriate procedures are to be put in place to manage the resulting risk.	Open		

ID	Description	Status	Comments	Assigned To
M17	Planning Constraints to limit permitted noise from IFA2 (taking the proposed runway extension into account).	Closed		
M18	Airport authority to publicise the start of operations of the IFA2 in advance to airfield users.	Open		
M19	RCAM, in collaboration with NG, to confirm that the magnetic fields at the compass base could not credibly lead to incorrect calibration of magnetic compasses.	Open		
M20	Pre-flight check area to be assessed for effect of magnetic fields on the setting of aircraft direction indicators.	Open		
M21	RCAM to promulgate instruction to calibrate magnetic compasses only at compass base.	Open		
M22	General airmanship provides a mitigation because aircrew should quickly identify incorrect calibration by reference to visual landmarks.	Closed		
M23	RCAM to promulgate instruction not to set DIs against magnetic compasses in zones likely to be subject to magnetic interference.	Open		
M24	FIS procedures to take into account the possibility of impairment of ground-ground communications.	Open		
M25	If aircraft using radio altimetry are likely to use the airport, the effect of the IFA2 on radio altimetry is to be assessed.	Open		
M26	LSA RFI assessment concluded that this is not a credible effect.	Closed		
M27	Liaise with MCA to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed		
M27	Liaise with MCA to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed		
M28	Liaise with Britten-Norman to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed		
M28	Liaise with Britten-Norman to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed		
M29	Liaise with NATS to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed		
M29	Liaise with NATS to identify possible hazards specific to its operation arising from IFA2.	Closed		

ID	Description	Status	Comments	Assigned To
M30	Detailed surveys for existing services are to be undertaken before excavation of a trench to lay the cables, any existing cables will either be revealed by the survey or exposed on excavation and moved/dealt with appropriately. Thus, subject to this being completed, the risk of electric shock from impressed and touch potentials will be eliminated by design.	Open		
M31	The communication strategy in place for flying UAVs to be studied further to determine possible risk.	Open		
M31	The communication strategy in place for flying UAVs to be studied further to determine possible risk.	Open		
M32	Design specifications to require fire protection systems to ensure that fire is controllable.	Open		
M34	Lighting signals can be used if RF levels are exceptionally sufficiently high to cause interruption to radio communications systems.	Open		
M35	All electrical systems to be designed to ensure RF levels are too low for significant interference.	Open	LSA RFI assessment showed that emissions are below the levels at which interference would occur, and the probability of inference to radios is very low for current airfield operations. There is no credible risk of equipment damage.	
M36	Intentionally blank.			
M37	A threat assessment to be conducted to determine the threat levels, using input from NG and FBC.	Open		
M38	Project documentation to show that AC and direct current (DC) fields comply with requirements.	Open		
M39	NG to Review RFI impact on UAVs.	Open		
M40	Any future AGL system to be designed to ensure interference from HV cables cannot credibly affect the lighting.	Open		
M41	This risk of public exposure to electromagnetic fields is eliminated provided the planning constraint for emissions is met.	Closed	The limit is ~10uT which is sufficiently below the regulations limit for the public (~100uT) and workers (~500uT).	
M42	The possible effects of heat from the facility on UAVs are to be reviewed.	Open		

ID	Description	Status	Comments	Assigned To
M43	Cable protection system to ensure power is promptly removed in the event of an insulation failure.	Open		
M44	The location of the fixed fuel installation and filling points for mobile bowsers is not near the HV cables.	Open		
M45	If any high-power AC cables run parallel or near-parallel to any metal fences or similar structures and run alongside for a significant distance, those structures are to be sufficiently earthed, and that earthing maintained sufficiently, to eliminate the risk of dangerous impressed and touch potentials.	Open		

# **Arcadis UK**

34 York Way London N1 9AB

T: +44 (0) 20 7812 2000

arcadis.com