

## 7 Regulation 19 (Publication Draft Plan) (2014)

---

- 7.1 The Local Plan Part 3: The Welborne Plan was published for a six week period of representations between 28<sup>th</sup> February 2014 and 11<sup>th</sup> April 2014 in accordance with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 ('the Regulations').
- 7.2 In accordance with regulation 19(a), a statement of the representations procedure was published on the Council's website, social media, a local newspaper and on 42 public notice boards across the borough (Appendix O). In addition, all of the proposed submission documents were made available at the Council's Civic Offices and the Publication Draft Plan made available at the four libraries across the borough.
- 7.3 In accordance with regulation 19(b), a letter (Appendix P) containing a statement of the representations procedure and a statement of the fact that the proposed submission documents are available for inspection and the locations and times at which they can be inspected was sent to all general and specific consultation bodies who were invited to make representations under regulation 18(1) (Appendix F). Furthermore, consultation 'packs' containing the Plan, Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment were sent to the prominent local community groups.
- 7.4 The Council received a total of 635 representations on the Welborne Plan made under regulation 20 of the Regulations. A complete list of the representations received on the publication Welborne Plan is presented in Appendix O (Annex 1). The full representation from each of the persons/bodies listed in Appendix O (Annex 1) has been submitted to the Secretary of State and will be available on the Council's website.
- 7.5 In accordance with regulation 22.(1)(c)(v) of the Regulations, a summary of the main issues raised by these representations has been produced (Appendix Q). The summary is divided into themes (as listed in 7.9). For reference, the name and representation number of each person/body to submit a representation on a particular theme is provided at the start of that theme.
- 7.6 Where representations requested modifications to be made to the Welborne Plan, these have been considered and where these are deemed to be acceptable are listed within the Council's schedule of proposed minor amendments – a supporting document to the submission version of the Welborne Plan.
- 7.7 Of the total 635 representations (including 1 late representation), 502 consisted of a standard letter or a standard 'aide memoir' prepared by a local residents' group and which only needed respondents to insert their name, address and signature before submitting. These 502 representations are listed in Appendix Q (Annex 2) and were received as follows;
- 436 consisted of only the standard letter with no additional comment;

- 47 consisted of the standard letter alongside additional comments;
- 8 consisted of only the aide memoir; and
- 11 consisted of both the standard letter and aide memoir with no additional comment.

7.8 A total of 132 respondents submitted individual representations, covering the range of issues contained in the Publication Plan. These representations have been divided into main issues (themes) and summarised. The themes developed below largely mirror the sections of the Welborne Plan, with the exception of some issues (e.g. themes 4, 5 and 6) which have been separated out from within the same Welborne Plan section.

7.9 The themes into which the representations have been summarised are as follows;

Theme 1	Vision, Objectives and Development Principles
Theme 2	Site and Setting
Theme 3	Character Areas
Theme 4	Economy and Employment
Theme 5	District Centre, Local Centre and Community Hub
Theme 6	Education, Community and Health Facilities
Theme 7	Homes and Affordable Housing
Theme 8	Transport
Theme 9	Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity and Landscape
Theme 10	Energy, Water and Waste
Theme 11	Phasing and Delivery, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, Viability and Monitoring
Theme 12	Sustainability Appraisal & Habitats Regulation Assessment

## Theme 1

### Vision, Objectives and Development Principles

- 1.1 This theme covers all representations relating to the vision, objectives and development principles of Welborne (WEL2). The overall principal of the Welborne new community, although already set by Policy CS13 of the adopted Fareham Local Plan Part 1 Core Strategy, received a significant number of representations and as such, is also considered in this theme.
- 1.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP005	Michael Berridge	WP324	The Society of St. James
WP007	Wickham Parish Council	WP339	John Codling
WP009	Ian Dean	WP358	Malcolm Shillabeer
WP010	Adele Kane	WP361	Tony Elvery
WP012	Nicholas Cunningham	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP016	Mike Burbridge	WP369	John Hale
WP018	Environment Agency	WP395	Welborne Standing Conference
WP030	Doug & Penny Barnard	WP410	Sally Donophy
WP031	Shaun Cunningham	WP421	Geoffrey Newbold
WP039	Albion Water	WP440	David & Lynda Sutton
WP040	Mike Allen	WP443	I J Downing
WP041	Winchester City Council	WP451	Lynda and Steve Grenyer
WP047	Susan Hobbs	WP452	George Newton
WP070	Paul & Sarah Barnard	WP457	P Davies
WP089	Barry Hirst	WP461	Hampshire County Council
WP095	John Hale	WP463	A T Ediss
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP467	Rod McMillan
WP150	Piers Austin	WP468	Hallam Land Management
WP153	Anne-Marie Causer	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehouses Ltd
WP158	Helen Coker	WP473	English Heritage
WP167	Katie Chamberlain	WP480	Norman & Joyce Baust
WP223	M B Williams	WP484	Graham & Ryth Crosby
WP224	A R Williams	WP564	<i>Anonymous</i>
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP565	R Edmunds
WP254	Mr & Mrs J Mulholland	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP258	Edward Tuckley	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant (FBC)
WP277	Cllr Mrs Katrina Trott (FBC)	WP588	Harvey Griffiths
WP278	Andrew Ransom	WP590	Ken Neely
WP293	James Palmer	WP597	K J Westcott
WP299	Caren Ransom	WP629	Ruth Saunders
WP304	Mr & Mrs A J Bath	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP318	Mr & Mrs Mills	WP633	Partnership for Urban South Hampshire (PUSH)
WP319	John Newman	SL	Standard Response

### Principle of Developing a New Community at Welborne

- 1.3 Opposition and lack of justification for the amount of housing being planned for (WP089, WP153, WP304, WP361, WP463, WP467, WP566, WP588)
- 1.4 Only half the amount (3000) of houses being planned for are required to meet Fareham's local need (WP223, WP224, WP278, WP299, WP339, WP484, WP565, WP597)

- 1.5 Uncertainty as to where future Welborne residents will come from (WP223, WP224)
- 1.6 Size of development will mean an in-migration of residents from outside of the Fareham area (WP304)
- 1.7 Objection to green field development (WP319, WP443, WP452, WP590, WP597) and the resultant loss of agricultural land (WP009, WP484)
- 1.8 Opposition to the size of development (WP005, WP012, WP304, WP590) and concern over how new infrastructure will be provided (WP153, WP457, WP484) or existing infrastructure will cope (WP005, WP012, WP443). Concern that infrastructure for such a big development has not been thought through and so it is not legally compliant or sound (WP451)
- 1.9 Support for developing Welborne (WP010, WP468)
- 1.10 The need for more housing is recognised but the location of the proposed new community creates insurmountable problems that cannot be overcome (WP277, WP566)
- 1.11 Concern that Welborne is not only for Fareham's growth but for wider Hampshire area (WP009, WP012)
- 1.12 Opposition to development due to impact on existing residents quality of life, the likely traffic congestion that will result, the impact on health facilities and the loss of green space (WP016, WP030, WP040, WP047, WP070, WP153, WP254, WP304, WP318, WP410)

### **Consultation**

- 1.13 No proper consultation has been undertaken to date and a referendum is required (WP009, WP012, WP030, WP089)
- 1.14 Consultation process inadequate (WP363, WP629, WP630)

### **Local Policy Context**

- 1.15 Evidence for the number of houses at Welborne taken from the South East Plan which is no longer adopted and was based on economic predictions for the next 10-20 years (WP630)
- 1.16 Self-containment not a realistic aim (WP630)
- 1.17 Piecemeal and proposed new developments are happening across the borough despite the position of CS13 (WP630)
- 1.18 Concern that an appropriate level of affordable homes are provided which balance need against over supply which causes social problems (WP630)

### **Wider Planning Context**

- 1.19 Validity of the South Hampshire Strategy and its role in informing the Plan is

questionable (WP630)

1.20 Sustainable local growth not demonstrated (WP630)

### **Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulation Assessment<sup>1</sup>**

1.21 Appropriate assessment not sound due to issues of water discharge, downstream flooding and impact on supporting habitats (WP630).

### **Development Deliverability<sup>2</sup>**

1.22 Concern over viability and delivery of infrastructure (WP630)

### **Policies Map<sup>3</sup>**

1.23 Buffer zones need to be a minimum of 200m with no permanent structures, with bordering areas having the lowest density of housing (WP630)

### **Structuring Plan and Comprehensive Masterplanning<sup>4</sup>**

1.24 A much higher level of detail should be included within the Welborne Plan and should not have to wait until a comprehensive masterplan is produced; whilst each detail proposed must be fully costed and funding in place (WP630)

### **Vision**

1.25 It would be appropriate to define the term 'renewable energy needs' (WP461)

1.26 It is not possible to apply garden city principles to a village concept, particularly one with high density and which is closely linked (WP167)

1.27 Garden city principles will help deliver a more attractive development (WP630)

1.28 Vision commendable, but soundness and viability not proven, particularly regarding a fully costed and tested transport plan (WP630)

### **WEL1 - Sustainable Development**

1.29 Principle of development is contrary to sustainable development (WP150)

1.30 Development will have a negative impact as very little mitigation is possible (WP564)

1.31 Policy is in accordance with the NPPF (WP633)

### **WEL 2 - High Level Development Principles**

1.32 Support for principles of sustainable water consumption (WP039)

---

<sup>1</sup> These issues are covered more fully under Themes 12 and 13 respectively.

<sup>2</sup> This issue is covered more fully under Theme 11.

<sup>3</sup> This issue is covered more fully under Theme 2.

<sup>4</sup> This issue is covered more fully under Theme 2.

- 1.33 Policies support sustainability of Welborne by creating high degree of self-containment and are consistent with South Hampshire Strategy (WP633)
- 1.34 Strategy needs to accept significant proportion of trips will be out of Welborne to jobs and destinations elsewhere (WP021, WP395, WP470, WP589, WP611, WP630)
- 1.35 Self-containment principal insufficiently evidenced and unlikely to succeed as it cannot be controlled and people will always need to travel elsewhere (WP031, WP095, WP149, WP150, WP158, WP223, WP224, WP293, WP324, WP358, WP369, WP421, WP440, WP484, WP572, SL)
- 1.36 Support for green buffers principle (WP041)
- 1.37 All green buffers should be within Fareham and not within Winchester (WP248, WP564)
- 1.38 Transport solutions should be southwards facing, due to concern over the amount of northward travelling traffic (WP041)
- 1.39 Support for transport strategy (WP258)
- 1.40 Support the principles in the policy, especially regarding SuDS which are an integral part of the development and high standards of sustainable design. However reference should be made to the SuDS management train and incorporating site control features into the network of open spaces (WP018)
- 1.41 Paragraph 3.12 of the Plan refers to fluvial flooding but does not mention other sources of flooding and therefore it is unclear what level of risk there is to the site from these sources (WP461)
- 1.42 Concern over the loss of valuable rainfall storage land and the potential for worse air quality (WP304)
- 1.43 Support for the high level objectives (WP471) and the need to deliver Welborne to the highest possible standard (WP395)
- 1.44 Uncertainty over what the final proportion of affordable housing will be (WP421)
- 1.45 Support for the development principle to protect historic features on the site (WP473)
- 1.46 Policy is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policies 1 and 3 (WP633) and reduces many of the potential transport congestion problems that may have arisen from options using junction 11 as the primary means of access for Welborne.
- 1.47 The proposed level of affordable housing (30%) is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 12 and the proposed green infrastructure strategy is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 14 (WP633)

## Theme 2

### Site and Setting

2.1 This theme covers all aspects from Chapter 3 'The Welborne Site' including all supporting text and policies WEL3, WEL4 and WEL5.

2.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP005	Michael Berridge	WP326	Cllr Mrs Angela Clear (WCC)
WP007	Wickham Parish Council	WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association
WP008	Christopher Arnold	WP355	E Webb
WP012	Nicholas Cunningham	WP361	Tony Elvery
WP017	Wallington Village Community Association	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP018	Environment Agency	WP365	Sheila Collins
WP030	Doug & Penny Barnard	WP395	Welborne Standing Conference
WP031	Shaun Cunningham	WP421	Geoffrey Newbold
WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP423	Stuart M Tennent
WP041	Winchester City Council	WP435	Mrs Stevens
WP089	Barry Hirst	WP464	Mr Graham Moyse
WP142	R A Downing	WP466	The Hastings Family
WP145	R J Warren	WP467	Rod McMillan
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP468	Hallam Land Management
WP150	Piers Austin	WP470	George Hollingbery MP
WP153	Anne-Marie Causer	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehouses Ltd
WP158	Helen Coker	WP473	English Heritage
WP167	Katie Chamberlain	WP484	Graham & Ryth Crosby
WP223	M B Williams	WP488	Alasdair Ewing
WP224	A R Williams	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant (FBC)
WP277	Cllr Mrs Katrina Trott (FBC)	WP588	Harvey Griffiths
WP278	Andrew Ransom	WP590	Ken Neely
WP284	Cllr Mrs Therese Evans (WCC)	WP597	K J Westcott
WP289	Christine Westcott	WP614	Michael Stephenson
WP299	Caren Ransom	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP304	A J Bath	WP633	Partnership for Urban South Hampshire (PUSH)
WP310	Michael Stevens	SL	Standard Letter
WP324	The Society of St. James	AM	Aide Memoire

### Site and Setting

2.3 The development will result in the loss of agricultural land for food production (WP008, WP304, WP365, WP590, WP614, WP630) the loss of green space (WP030, WP142, WP355, WP597, WP614) and the loss of wildlife (590).

2.4 There will be the loss of rural footpaths which currently cross the site (WP488).

2.5 Development will result in the loss of rural character for Knowle and ruin lives for people living in these communities (WP153).

2.6 Development will result in the loss village characteristic for Wickham (WP167, WP361) and the loss of market town characteristic for Fareham (WP365).

2.7 All open space, green infrastructure and green buffers should be within Fareham

Borough with none within Winchester District (WP007, WP248).

- 2.8 Welborne is located in a traditional gap between Fareham Borough and Winchester District and its development will see the coalescence of Fareham with Wickham and Knowle (WP470, WP597).

### **Constraints, Capacity and Opportunities**

- 2.9 Development shown too close to the high pressure gas mains located diagonally across the site – unsafe. No building heights mentioned with regards to the overhead power lines and there is a flood risk for Funtley as a result of the diversion works required on the mains water (WP630).
- 2.10 Additional clarity required over the location of the SINC on Fareham Common and whether part of it is required for improvements to junction 10 (WP630).
- 2.11 Both the SINC and archaeological assets on the site should be afforded greater protection (WP630).
- 2.12 Clays underlying both Welborne and Funtley are subject to movement and subsidence – testing of ground conditions prior to development is imperative and may lead to the requirement for expensive foundations (WP630).

### **The Plan Boundary**

- 2.13 The flexibility of final housing and employment numbers creates uncertainty (WP630).

### **Determining Overall Capacity**

- 2.14 The total site area is considered to be far too large – the number of houses that are planned for are not required (WP005, WP363), particularly based on population trends which suggest greatest need in Fareham is for industry and employment (WP588).
- 2.15 There should be greater flexibility of the final housing capacity as the detailed design process is undertaken (WP471).
- 2.16 The total number of houses being planned for (6000) does not equate to the expected rise in population in Fareham over the next 20 years (5.4%) (WP597).
- 2.17 There needs to be a reduction in the total number of houses, as 6000 is too many (WP467) when considered alongside other local housing developments (WP289) and it will lead to residents moving to Fareham from other areas (WP304).

### **WEL3 - Allocation of Land**

- 2.18 Allocation of secondary school playing fields on Knowle Triangle likely to lead to the urbanisation of the Knowle Triangle through features such as fencing, car parking and lighting. This is inconsistent with Winchester City Council's policy for settlement gap (as the Knowle Triangle is designated as such in the Winchester City Local Plan Part 1 – Policy SH4) and as such WEL3 is unsound (WP041, WP284, WP310, WP324, WP326, WP327, WP395).
- 2.19 A need to protect the tree belt along boundary of Welborne with the Knowle



Triangle (WP041, WP395).

- 2.20 Secondary school playing fields should be moved from Knowle Triangle to within the Welborne Plan area (WP041, WP326, WP327). This conflicts with the aims of the City Council and would represent an unacceptable risk and cost to the site promoters (WP471).
- 2.21 It is premature to fix the location of the Secondary School and alternative site options should be maintained (WP395). A more central location near to the District Centre is essential to the creation of a cohesive new community (WP471) which would also create significant footfall for the retail units at the District Centre, thus enhancing the viability of uses at the District Centre (WP471).
- 2.22 Housing east of the A32 should be deleted due to their separation from the main development, the excessive noise they are likely to experience and the landscape impact of any noise abatement bund (WP566).
- 2.23 Support for the delivery of employment space, a secondary school, district and local centres, the community hub and a central park, which are all in accordance with the South Hampshire Strategy (Policy 11) (WP633).
- 2.24 Number of houses will need to be reduced to 5000 or less rather than encroach on Knowle triangle and compromise on green infrastructure and settlement separation (AM)

#### **WEL4 - Comprehensive Approach**

- 2.25 Support for the requirement that Welborne is taken forward on a comprehensive basis in accordance with the principles of the Strategic Framework Diagram (WP473, WP633).
- 2.26 The potential to bring forward complementary masterplans for parts of the site, rather than a single masterplan is welcomed (WP471).
- 2.27 Approach should be to integrate market and affordable housing throughout each phase (WP149).

#### **WEL5 - Maintaining Settlement Separation**

- 2.28 The settlement buffers with existing communities, particularly Funtley are insufficient (WP031, WP158, WP248, WP277, WP278, WP299, WP327, WP421, WP630). Funtley buffer should be 500m (SL)
- 2.29 Support for the requirement to maintain physical and visual separation of Welborne from the surrounding communities (WP473, WP484, WP633).
- 2.30 The settlement buffers need increasing which would require either an increase in housing densities (WP395) or a reduction in the total number of houses being planned for (WP017, WP278, WP299).
- 2.31 All settlement buffers need increasing to 500m and need to adjoin the lowest densities of housing (WP145, WP310, WP324, WP435, WP484) in order to prevent coalescence (WP327, WP395). In particular a 500m buffer from Funtley

to the Knowle Triangle needs implementing (WP150, WP223, WP224, WP278, WP299, AM) and could potentially consist of community woodland (WP277, WP278, WP299, WP395).

- 2.32 Settlement buffers with existing communities need increasing to 100m with a further 100m band within Welborne where only low density development is permitted adjacent to both Funtley and Knowle (WP630).
- 2.33 Insufficient buffer with Wickham; the land north of Heytesbury Farm should be re-designated as green infrastructure (WP036).
- 2.34 Use of Knowle Triangle as playing fields for the secondary school should not be permitted as this will lead to an insufficient settlement buffer with Knowle and the likely coalescence of Welborne and Knowle (WP036, WP150, WP284, WP326, WP395, WP435).
- 2.35 Support for the use of Knowle Triangle as school playing fields, SANGS and settlement buffer and the availability of this land by the landowner (WP464).
- 2.36 Size of the settlement buffer with Blakes Copse is inadequate and unsound, due to existing landscape sensitivities on the ridge line. A substantially larger woodland buffer is required, which would protect visually sensitive areas and help secure a substantial woodland block in the north to link Dash Wood and Blakes Copse (WP041).
- 2.37 The section of WEL5 that deals with Wickham is unduly prescriptive and should be made more flexible in order to respond to the outcomes from the detailed design process (WP471).
- 2.38 Potential for buffer with north Fareham (Fareham Common) to be eroded through the planned improvements to M27 junction 10 (WP310, WP435, WP572(the Plan is therefore inconsistent and unsound (WP423)), although buffer with north Fareham is insufficient in size anyway (WP572).
- 2.39 Welborne fails to take into account the Winchester Core Strategy policy CP18 on gaps (WP470).
- 2.40 There is a need to establish a woodland 'shelterbelt' of English native species, to enhance the visual buffer between Funtley and the western edge of the employment area, which would also act as a wildlife corridor (WP484).
- 2.41 Support for the requirement to assess local drainage patterns around Funtley as part of a site wide Flood Risk Assessment and Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS) Strategy. A particular need to ensure that drainage is assessed on a catchment wide basis, not just the area adjacent to the settlement buffer (WP018).

## **Theme 3**

### **Character Areas**

3.1 This theme covers all of chapter 4 including policies WEL6, WEL7 and WEL8.

3.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP149	The Wickham Society	WP473	English Heritage
WP395	The Welborne Standing Conference	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP461	Hampshire County Council	WP630	The Funtley Village Society
WP471	Buckland Development and BST	WP633	PUSH

### **WEL6 - General Design Principles**

3.3 The design principles should set out the density range, indicating where the higher or lower densities would be appropriate (WP149)

3.4 The Design Guidance SPD should be adopted after an inclusive process of community involvement, before the adoption of the Welborne Plan ( WP395)

3.5 The requirement that the Council prepares a Design Guidance SPD is entirely unnecessary, and only duplicates what is required by the landowners in policy WEL 7, with the potential for delays. This requirement therefore fails the test of effectiveness (WP471)

3.6 English Heritage support this policy (WP473)

3.7 The character of Welborne will be significantly influenced by views from the M27, therefore the employment area will need to be well designed and landscaped (WP566).

3.8 The design policies do not adequately take into account or address the issue of noise (WP566)

3.9 Support for the policy changes proposed by the Standing conference (WP566)

3.10 The analysis of the character areas which underpin the design principles are unsound. Questions are also raised regarding the reference to woodland clearings, the nature of the sub-soil, the gas-pipeline under the proposed central park, the use of a part of Fareham Common to provide an all moves junction 10, whether any development can reduce the risk of crime, and the potential loss of trees and hedgerows (WP630)

3.11 Support for polices WEL 6, 7 & 8, which are consistent with the South Hampshire Strategy (WP633)

### **WEL7 - Strategic Design Codes**

3.12 It should be made clearer that the site promoters should prepare their Strategic Design Codes after a process of consultation, in a timescale which will allow the final version to be taken into account in any planning applications (WP395)

- 3.13 The Design Guidance should provide a strong urban structure with higher densities at the district centre with lower densities around the edge; recognise the importance of views from the motorway; and the interface with the adjoining countryside and communities (WP395)
- 3.14 The policy is endorsed but the policy should be amended slightly to take into account that if an early phase is limited in scale then the requirement for a design code might not be necessary (WP471)
- 3.15 Reference should be made that the Strategic Design Codes should include the treatment of the historic assets on the site and their buffers (WP473)

### **WEL8 - Protection and Enhancement of the Historic Environment**

- 3.16 Support for this policy which should exploit the potential to provide a better understanding of the industrial heritage of the local area (WP395)
- 3.17 The County Council has already provided advice on the archaeological content of this policy (WP461).
- 3.18 Generally support but want the policy amended so that the requirement for a Heritage Strategy is at the detailed rather than outline stage (WP471)
- 3.19 English Heritage welcomes and supports Policy WEL8 and considers that this policy is critical to the Plan's soundness in respect of the historic environment. The heritage strategy and historic environment management plan should also consider how access to and understanding of heritage assets by the public can be enhanced. (WP473)
- 3.20 More emphasis should be made of the importance of the heritage assets on the site, particularly Dean Farm and the Neolithic long barrow, both of which should be identified on the Strategic Framework diagram (WP473)
- 3.21 English Heritage welcome and support this policy but would request that the archaeological investigations are undertaken at an early stage to inform the Structuring Plan (WP473)
- 3.22 English Heritage also make the point that "mitigation" should only be considered where harm is unavoidable; in the first instance planning proposals should seek to avoid harm, then, if harm is unavoidable, reduce that harm, and only then seek to mitigate any residual harm (WP473)
- 3.23 The archaeological investigations should have been carried out before Welborne was allocated. The Neolithic long-barrow should be retained (WP630)

## **Theme 4**

### **Economy and Employment**

4.1 This theme covers the section of chapter 5 on self-containment and the economy and employment up to and including WEL9.

4.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP040	Mike Allen	WP465	Lalys
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP466	The Hastings Family
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd and BST Warehouses Ltd
WP286	Nicholas Guy	WP475	Bovis Homes South East
WP323	M Hix	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association	WP614	Michael Stephenson
WP365	Sheila Collins	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP395	Welborne Standing Conference	WP633	PUSH
WP421	Geoffrey Newbold		

### **WEL9 – Employment**

#### **Self-Containment**

- 4.3 The objective of self-containment is not realistically achievable because of the way the labour market operates - employers will hire people from outside Welborne and a lot of Welborne residents will not work on site, as occurred with Knowle Business Park (WP286, WP630). As a result there will be increased travelling to work which will put pressure on the transport network (WP327; WP395; WP421; WP630).
- 4.4 To minimise pressure from commuting on the transport network, a more ambitious sustainable travel package is needed and more should be done to encourage residents to work locally and from home (WP395).
- 4.5 Less warehousing should be planned because it does not employ a lot of people and will not help to achieve self-containment (WP248; WP421).
- 4.6 There is a need to develop a strong link between employment provision for start-up businesses and the opportunities being developed in local further and higher education (WP395).
- 4.7 Uncertain as to where employers will come from – cannot be planned for (WP323, WP630).
- 4.8 Very unlikely that even a low level of self-containment will be achieved due to the proposed phasing of the jobs being behind housing delivery – this is likely to have a detrimental impact on traffic movements and is not in accordance with the principal of self-containment set out in para 5.2 (WP566).

#### **Location and Quantum of employment development**

- 4.9 Land on site in Laly ownership should be shown as residential rather than employment use on the Strategic Framework Diagram (SFD) because it is

already in residential use so is of a higher value than employment. As such it is unlikely to come forward for employment development. In order to keep the level of employment provision up, it has been suggested the Dean Farm is retained as employment rather than converted to residential (WP465).

- 4.10 Land on site owned by the Hastings Family should be shown as employment rather than a landscape buffer on the SFD because it would not form a good landscape buffer due to its constraints. Its proximity to junction 10 affords it good access suitable for employment purposes (WP466).
- 4.11 Provision of employment at Welborne conflicts with cities first principle for South Hampshire (WP248).
- 4.12 Employment should not be proposed to the east of the A32 due to the visual prominence of this location, the location of listed buildings at Roche Court, probable traffic congestion and lack of integration with remainder of Welborne (WP566).
- 4.13 Additional office space not required due to existing empty office space (WP630).
- 4.14 Impact of employment development on surrounding villages, in particular Funtley, has not been considered (WP630).

#### **Type and Mix of Employment Floorspace**

- 4.15 Increase in the amount of B8 type employment will give rise to an increase in HGV movements (WP566).
- 4.16 Welborne not the best location in South Hampshire for large format warehousing or a large distribution hub, particularly in shaping the perception of Welborne. Furthermore this amount of B8 development is not in accordance with PUSH South Hampshire Strategy (WP566).

#### **Phasing and Flexibility**

- 4.17 Chapter 10 indicates there will be 500 houses in phase 1 with only 1000 sq m of employment floorspace so there will not be enough jobs provided and residents will have to travel off site. Early provision of jobs will be crucial to enabling self-containment and sustainability (WP149; WP327; WP365; WP421).
- 4.18 Employment is unlikely to be delivered as there is a lot of vacant floorspace locally (WP040, WP327, WP614) and the evidence demonstrates that demand is low. Furthermore the evidence identifies an over provision of 50% across the PUSH area. The plan should introduce greater flexibility so that 20 hectares of land on site will not be sterilised (WP475).
- 4.19 The noise contours could change as a result of any proposed junction 10 upgrade or additional barrier measures so land identified for employment may be suitable for other uses. The plan should introduce greater flexibility to accommodate this (WP475).
- 4.20 WEL9 could be interpreted as preventing offices from coming forward in early phases (WP471).

4.21 Recognition that the policy is in line with South Hampshire Strategy policies 6, 7 and 8, understanding that the plan recognises the need to respect the Cities First policy and complement the Solent Enterprise Zone (WP633).

## **Theme 5**

### **District Centre, Local Centre and Community Hub**

5.1 This theme covers the section of chapter 5 on Welborne's Centres; District Centre (WEL10), Local Centre (WEL11) and the Community Hub (WEL12) as well as retail and leisure services and Community Buildings (WEL13).

5.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehouses Ltd
WP037	Christopher Cook	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant
WP395	Welborne Standing Conference	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP461	Hampshire County Council	WP633	PUSH

#### **WEL10 - The District Centre**

5.3 Support for the size, integration of uses, shared parking and early phasing of the District Centre (WP395).

5.4 Concern that the take-up of the retail units at the District Centre will be much slower than envisaged (WP572).

5.5 Concern over the uncertainty of the location of the District Centre (WP630).

5.6 Retail offering is not sufficient for Welborne to be self-contained (WP630).

5.7 Policy is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

#### **WEL11 - The Local Centre**

5.8 Requirement for an assessment to ensure the Local Centre proposals do not adversely impact on Wickham is supported (WP036).

5.9 Support for the potential to design-in underground parking in order to free up valuable land for other uses (WP037).

5.10 Local centre critical in developing a focused community centre of retail, education and community uses for the north of Welborne and to help establish it as a new location (WP395).

5.11 Policy is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

#### **WEL12 - Community Hub**

5.12 Uncertainty as to exactly what the community hub will comprise (WP630).

5.13 Policy is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

#### **WEL13 - Community Buildings**

5.14 A multi-purpose community building that shares costs, potentially through commercial link-ups (e.g. cafés) is thoroughly supported (WP395).



- 5.15 Support for the provision of library facilities within the community building (WP461).
- 5.16 No gym or swimming provision at Welborne – although Fareham Leisure Centre is close-by, it is constantly at full capacity (WP572).
- 5.17 Uncertainty over the need for a church at Welborne (WP630).
- 5.18 Policy is in accordance with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

## Theme 6

### Education, Community and Health Facilities

6.1 This theme covers the section of chapter 5 on Healthcare services (WEL14), Primary and Pre-School provision (WEL15) and the Secondary School (WEL16).

6.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP002	Fred Lettice	WP298	Caroline Perry
WP003	Services for Young Children (HCC)	WP304	A J Bath
WP013	Amanda Guest	WP308	Nigel Perry
WP014	Martin Furlonger	WP324	The Society of St. James
WP031	Shaun Cunningham	WP326	Cllr Mrs A Clear (WCC)
WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association
WP040	Mike Allen	WP356	Ann Burr
WP041	Winchester City Council	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP048	Alastair Meads	WP365	Sheila Collins
WP080	Fareham Youth Council	WP395	Welborne Standing Conference
WP088	Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust	WP440	David & Lynda Sutton
WP100	Mary Abraham	WP451	Lynda & Steve Grenyer
WP141	Mr & Mrs D Grant	WP461	Hampshire County Council
WP142	R A Downing	WP464	Graham Moyse
WP144	Geoffrey Hillam	WP466	The Hastings Family
WP153	Anne-Marie Causer	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehousing Ltd
WP158	Helen Coker	WP484	Graham & Ryth Crosby
WP172	James Fullarton	WP564	<i>Anonymous</i>
WP223	M B Williams	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant (FBC)
WP224	A R Williams	WP589	John Saunders
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP590	Ken Neely
WP277	Cllr Mrs K Trott (FBC)	WP593	P Hymers
WP279	Jane Tandy	WP614	Michael Stephenson
WP284	Cllr Mrs T Evans (WCC)	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP293	James Palmer	WP633	PUSH
WP297	Christopher Nixon	SL	Standard Letter

#### WEL14 - Healthcare Services

6.3 Concern over the lack of healthcare provision at Welborne (WP031; WP142;)

6.4 Concern over lack of evidence of discussions with local healthcare/hospital trusts over the spare capacity at Queen Alexandra Hospital (WP002; WP031; WP141; WP144; WP153; WP223; WP224; WP279; WP293; WP324; WP327; WP356; WP451; WP589; WP614; WP630) especially for acute needs for another 15,000 residents (S

6.5 No future capacity issues at QA Hospital are expected, which will be able to meet the increase in healthcare needs that will arise from Welborne (WP088).

6.6 Concern over the impact on existing local healthcare services (WP100; WP144; WP158; WP304; WP308; WP440; WP451; WP484; WP590; WP593; WP614).

6.7 Uncertainty as to what provision has been made for ambulance, fire and police

services (WP297).

- 6.8 Uncertainty/concern over the phasing of the health centre at Welborne (WP365; WP440; WP451; WP484; WP614)
- 6.9 Policy is in line with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

#### **WEL15 - Pre-School Provision**

- 6.10 Support for the approach to pre-school provision (WP003).
- 6.11 First Pre-School should be constructed shortly after construction begins to ensure that young children do not need to be transported in and out of Welborne to nurseries elsewhere (WP440).
- 6.12 All pre-school provision, although potentially being provided on the three primary school sites will need to be delivered and operated by third parties – though liaison with HCC will be required as to the design of the facilities (WP461).
- 6.13 Policy is in line with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

#### **WEL15 - Primary Schools**

- 6.14 Concern that the first primary school will not be built until 5000 homes have been completed and the impact that this will have on existing schools, which have little spare capacity to cope (WP141; WP172; WP298; WP308; WP356; WP589; WP614) Also concern about managements of safe travel to alternative schools(SL)
- 6.15 Primary School provision needs to anticipate, not respond to the new population (WP158).
- 6.16 Concern that the first primary school will not be available until the end of main phase 1 as there is no spare capacity in existing local primary schools (WP277; WP297; WP451; WP630).
- 6.17 Concern that if the first primary school will not be available until the end of main phase 1 it will hold back the reputation and success of Welborne; additional infrastructure spend on temporary school buildings would be required, but would likely be worthwhile (WP395).
- 6.18 First Primary School should be constructed shortly after construction begins to ensure that school age children do not need to be transported in and out of Welborne (WP440).
- 6.19 There is a need for three 3-form entry primary schools at Welborne with a site of 3.0ha being provided for each of these (WP461).
- 6.20 Policy is in line with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

#### **WEL16 - Secondary School Provision**

- 6.21 Concern that the secondary school will not be built until 2026 and as such,

whether existing schools have the spare capacity to cope (WP141; WP172; WP297; WP298; WP308; WP327; WP630 SL)

- 6.22 Secondary School provision needs to anticipate, not respond to the new population (WP158).
- 6.23 Access to the Secondary School for pupils from Knowle should be restricted (WP461).
- 6.24 The size of the secondary school should be increased to a 9 form-entry school which will open for the 2026/27 academic year and have a site area of 10.5 ha (WP461).
- 6.25 Policy is in line with South Hampshire Strategy Policy 3 (WP633).

### **Timing of Delivery**

- 6.26 Lack of delivery of the secondary school until 2025 will result in additional travel to/from Welborne for school journeys as well as requiring temporary classroom facilities at existing local secondary schools (WP031).
- 6.27 Secondary school should be constructed during main phase 2 and designed so that it can run with a reduced entry number, with potential to increase in size (WP440).

### **Location of Secondary School**

- 6.28 Opposition to the location of the Secondary School playing fields in the Knowle Triangle (WP013; WP014; WP036, WP040, WP048; WP284; WP326; WP327; WP564; WP630).
- 6.29 Locating the secondary school in the Knowle Triangle is in conflict with the adopted Winchester District Local Plan Part 1 (WP041; WP248).
- 6.30 Uncertainty over whether housing numbers will have to be reduced if the secondary school playing fields are moved within the Welborne boundary (WP080)
- 6.31 Due to the phasing of the secondary school, fixing the location now is premature (WP395; WP471).
- 6.32 Support for the location of the secondary school playing fields on Knowle Triangle (WP464).
- 6.33 Support for the location of the secondary school away from the east of the A32 – also gives a potential location for a community swimming pool (WP572).

### **All-through School**

- 6.34 Policy should include the option for an all-through school close to the District Centre where its facilities could be better shared with the community and 'front office' costs could be shared with a primary school (WP395).

- 6.35 An all-through school should be given much greater consideration (WP440) and County Council happy to explore (WP461).

**Access to Secondary School**

- 6.36 The community use of school facilities (buildings and playing pitches) is supported, though this will only be available outside of school opening hours (WP461).

## **Theme 7**

### **Homes and Affordable Housing**

7.1 This theme covers all of chapter 6 on Homes, this includes policies on Market Housing (WEL17), Affordable Housing (WEL18), Specialist Accommodation for Older People (WEL19), Wheelchair Adapted Homes (WEL20), Custom Build Homes (WEL21) and Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople (WEL22).

7.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP462	Homes and Communities Agency
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP470	George Hollingbery MP
WP277	Cllr Mrs K Trott (FBC)	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehouses Ltd
WP278	Andrew Ransom	WP564	<i>Anonymous</i>
WP299	Caren Ransom	WP590	Ken Neely
WP395	Welborne Standing Conference	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP421	Geoffrey Newbold	WP633	PUSH
WP461	Hampshire County Council	AM	Aide Memoire

### **General Points**

7.3 Plan is not positively prepared: not convinced it sets out an “objectively assessed development”. Housing numbers are excessive for the site. (WP470)

7.4 Figures for numbers of people working from home are overstated. (WP470)

7.5 Object to Plan as it is not legally compliant or sound: public have never been asked as part of consultation exercise if they want 6500 houses and 20ha of industrial development foistered on town. Council should build more homes as there are just over 1,000 people on social housing waiting list but question building of 6,500 homes on greenfield site. Council leader has driven this development through but states on ward website that he is keen to keep tight rein on extra housing in Sarisbury/Swanwick/BurrIDGE/Whiteley area (WP590)

7.6 Policies WEL 17 – WEL 21 are consistent with the South Hampshire Strategy. (WP633)

7.7 Plan emphasises strong demand for family homes but is no secondary school in Plan (WP630)

### **WEL 17 - Market Housing**

#### **General Comments**

7.8 Policy is too prescriptive: there are a whole range of possible delivery mechanisms for private market rental housing and it is therefore unnecessary for policy to be specific as: i. Joint Venture Housing Company has not yet been completed; ii. Scale and order of phases of development are yet to be determined. This element of the policy should therefore be removed. (WP471)

7.9 Just because rate of private rented homes is lower than rest of South, does not mean there is a lack of this housing: may mean people here don't want to rent. (WP630)

- 7.10 Population is set to grow by 5.4% over next 20 years. This equates to 6,000 people or need for approx. 3,000 houses over next 20 years. Therefore why are 6,000 houses planned for this site alone? (AM)

### **Housing mix**

- 7.11 Housing mix proposed could not be implemented using private sector construction industry models. Where will subsidy come from to make Plan viable? Are we heading for massive low cost housing estate, prominent and disastrous in previous government attempts to solve need for social housing. (WP421)

### **Accessibility standards**

- 7.12 FBC state "We promote the Social Model of Disability". However, Plan makes small percentage provisions, only if it is economically viable. This is an unlawful, discriminatory policy. (WP278, WP299)

### **WEL 18 - Affordable Housing**

- 7.13 Support Plan as will help to address housing need and deliver economic growth: hope provision for affordable housing is maintained through to planning application stage. (WP462)
- 7.14 Support policy as will bring benefits to Fareham and helps support viability by bringing early investment by Housing Associations. (WP395)
- 7.15 Drop from 40% to 30% requirement for affordable housing undermines raison d'être for new town (WP277) and Plan is therefore unsound. (564) If percentage further lowered because of viability, this is not what public accepted as the quid pro quo for loss of this green site. Plan is not justified as no reasonable alternatives given, or positively prepared as does not meet need as reported at Core Strategy Examination. (WP248)
- 7.16 Policy is too prescriptive as does not take into account viability challenges and so suggest sentence in first paragraph of policy to state that Council will accept reduced percentage where targets threaten viability and funding of development. Policy needs to give effective mechanism to ensure proportion of affordable housing is subject to proper viability testing.(WP471)
- 7.17 Commitment to 30% (rather than more common 40%) of affordable housing suggests plan has not been prepared with needs of local people primarily in mind calling sustainability into question. Therefore is not positively prepared. (WP470)
- 7.18 As building costs rise, how can FBC be sure percentage of affordable homes will not decrease further: unpredictable measure of number of affordable homes to be built does not support objective of development of affordable homes for those on low income presently on housing list (WP630)
- 7.19 No guarantee that Joint Venture Housing Company would be possible (WP630)
- 7.20 Object to imposition of initial tenure split of affordable or social rent and

intermediate provision. (WP471)

7.21 Core strategy labels Welborne as sub-regional resource: Plan does not fulfil unmet requirements of neighbouring authorities and therefore is not sound. (WP470)

7.22 Plan should make clear that affordable housing in Welborne is a sub-regional resource; if not Plan falls short of soundness test. (WP248)

### **WEL 19 - Specialist Accommodation for Older People**

7.23 Support policy as will bring benefits to Fareham and helps support viability by bringing early investment by registered providers and extra care investors. (WP395)

7.24 Number of homes for people with dementia appears to be huge underestimate. Nationally, rate of dementia sufferers is increasing rapidly: Plan does not include rest or nursing home, only individual “sheltered” units. (WP630)

7.25 HCC supports extra care schemes with minimum viable unit size of 42: range 60-120 is scale of provision likely to be provided in Welborne, not unit size. (WP461)

### **WEL 22 - Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople**

7.26 Welborne provides an opportunity to address the repeated problems of travellers by providing a dedicated site. (WP036)



## Theme 8

### Transport

8.1 This theme covers all of chapter 7 including policies on Transport Principles for Welborne (WEL23), Strategic Road Access (WEL24), Local Road Transport and Access (WEL25), Public Transport (WEL26), Encouraging Sustainable Choices (WEL27) and Walking and Cycling (WEL28).

8.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP011	Cliff Williams	WP304	Mr & Mrs Bath
WP012	Nicholas Cunningham	WP308	Nigel Perry
WP017	Wallington Village Community Association	WP310	Michael Stevens
WP019	Barrie Thomasson	WP311	Piers Austin
WP021	Kate Ryan	WP318	Mr & Mrs Mills
WP026	Sarah Harwood	WP323	M Hix
WP031	Shaun Cunningham	WP324	The Society of St. James
WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association
WP037	Christopher Cook	WP355	E Webb
WP038	Mr & Mrs Wood	WP356	Ann Burr
WP040	Mike Allen	WP361	Tony Elvery
WP041	Winchester City Council	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP059	Maureen & Vic Kimber	WP369	John Hale
WP070	Paul & Sarah Barnard	WP395	Welborne Standing Conference
WP071	Cedric Colwell	WP398	P T & L C Docherty
WP078	Brian & Celia Green	WP410	Sally Donophy
WP079	Richard Humphries	WP421	Geoffrey Newbold
WP080	Fareham Youth Council	WP423	Stuart M Tennent
WP089	Barry Hirst	WP424	John Hounslow
WP095	John Hale	WP429	Rosemary Billett
WP100	Mary Abraham	WP435	Mrs Stevens
WP141	Mr & Mrs Grant	WP440	David & Lynda Sutton
WP142	R A Downing	WP451	Lynda & Steve Grenyer
WP144	Geoffrey Hillam	WP453	Keith Sandy
WP145	R J Warren	WP461	Hampshire County Council
WP148	Michael Parsons	WP470	George Hollingbery MP
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehouses Ltd
WP150	Piers Austin	WP475	Bovis Homes South East
WP153	Anne-Marie Causer	WP476	Andrew Griffin
WP158	Helen Coker	WP477	Ed Morell
WP163	Anne Plunkett	WP484	Graham & Ryth Crosby
WP167	Katie Chamberlain	WP488	Alasdair Ewing
WP172	James Fullarton	WP564	<i>Anonymous</i>
WP214	Helen Thorpe	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP223	M B Williams	WP571	Cllr John Bryant (FBC)
WP224	A R Williams	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant (FBC)
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP588	Harvey Griffiths
WP258	Edward Tuckley	WP589	John Saunders
WP262	Richard Dickson	WP590	Ken Neely
WP273	William Samuel	WP593	Mr & Mrs Hymers
WP277	Cllr Mrs K Trott (FBC)	WP597	K J Westcott
WP278	Andrew Ransom	WP611	Helen Stansby
WP279	Jane Tandy	WP614	Michael Stephenson
WP284	Wickham Parish Council	WP622	Mr & Mrs Wilmot

WP286 Nicholas Guy  
WP293 James Palmer  
WP297 Mr Christopher Nixon  
WP298 Caroline Perry  
WP299 Caren Ransom

WP630 Funtley Village Society  
WP633 PUSH  
SL Standard Letter  
AM Aide Memoire

### **General Points**

- 8.3 Principal transport issues remain unanswered in Plan (WP488, WP611) plan is therefore unsound (WP031). Omission of finalised road provision means Plan is not ready for submission (WP423).
- 8.4 HA state there are no overriding highways and transport objections to the Looks as if (majority of (WP461)) transport issues previously raised have now been taken into account (WP258, WP461)
- 8.5 No overriding highways objections to the legal compliance or soundness of the Welborne Plan. (WP461)
- 8.6 Location, numbers and transport infrastructure poorly thought out: pays only lip service to "Duty to Co-operate" (WP470)
- 8.7 Plan does not include package of mitigation measures to demonstrate how impact on local and strategic road network will be managed (WP630), as promised in Core Strategy Paragraph 5.123 (WP566)
- 8.8 Strategic and local roads and junctions are severely stressed especially at peak times (WP017, WP089, WP095, WP145, WP163, WP172, WP223, WP311, WP324, WP398, WP421, WP476, WP590, WP597)(Proposal is therefore unsound (WP040, WP355, WP356)) Concern about resulting congestion from greatly increased traffic.(WP012, WP017, WP059, WP070, WP080, WP095, WP100, WP142, WP167, WP277, WP278, WP279, WP299, WP304, WP318, WP323, WP398)
- 8.9 Plan proposals will add to noise and pollution (WP323, WP410)
- 8.10 No mention of impact of traffic or light on South Downs National Park (WP488) or villages within and Portsdown Hill. (WP470) Likely that it will be contrary to National Park Purposes under Section 62 of the Environment Act 1995. (WP248)
- 8.11 Road assessment incomplete as is no mention of accident figures (WP273)
- 8.12 Traffic caused by building process will add to noise levels and dust and air pollution (WP318)
- 8.13 Council can't maintain state of A32 at present, so will not be able to, with more traffic on road (WP163)
- 8.14 Huge questions over infrastructure/traffic (WP588) and whatever is chosen will have massive impact on environment and existing community. (WP363)
- 8.15 Extra traffic caused by school runs because of phasing of school in Welborne, will affect schools in north Fareham (WP298)

## **WEL23 - Transport Principles for Welborne**

- 8.16 Proposals do not support this policy (WP017)
- 8.17 iii should demand junction improvements to include west bound access onto the motorway and east bound traffic to an exit on A32 (WP037)
- 8.18 No evidence that funding for road and motorway improvements can be found. (WP163) Therefore Plan is unsound (WP017, WP398)
- 8.19 Support requirement for TA but not for Transport Framework as transport and access issues will be addressed by TA and Design and Access Statement accompanying outline planning application (WP471)
- 8.20 Transport assessment on impact on local roads needs to accompany Plan, not wait for planning application.(WP566)

## **WEL24 - Strategic Road Access**

## **WEL25 - Local Road Transport and Access**

### **General Points**

- 8.21 WEL 24 hasn't been shown to be sound (WP393)
- 8.22 Plan is unsound unless improvements to M27 are identified and included: if not, peak hour traffic jams will result (WP019)
- 8.23 Diversion of some traffic to J11 by link road is unsound as junction is at capacity and Park Road and Kiln Lane are unsuitable for more traffic. Is a need to break up traffic into smaller flows and could be done by creation of new Junction 9A on M27 on East Bank of Meon to direct Welborne traffic from Junction 10 which would no longer need development, and ease overloaded Junction 9. Four junction from M27 rather than 3 ( or two and a half) would be better (WP424)
- 8.24 M27 frequently virtually stationary during busy periods in both directions indicating local population movement? Pollution bound to increase bringing speed controls similar to those proposed for M3 J2 and 4.(WP297)

### **Phasing**

- 8.25 Infrastructure must be provided from the outset (WP012, WP476)
- 8.26 Plan is unclear as to how and when major infrastructure will be delivered and therefore there is uncertainty (WP475)
- 8.27 Phasing shows J10 to be completed around 2022 when nearly 2000 homes completed (WP277, WP564) FBC have stated nothing can be built unless infrastructure matters dealt with.(WP248) Concern re phasing of work to Junction 10. (WP421) Must be well advanced before house building starts (WP149)
- 8.28 Improvements should be implemented immediately, not in 2018. (WP440)

### **Funding**

- 8.29 Unlikely that J10 improvement could be financed from Welborne site: pooled contributions necessary. (WP475)

### **Modelling**

- 8.30 SRTM modelling analysis (Nov 2013) shows an improvement in performance of M27, and 8 junctions to experience similar problems in 2036 with and without Welborne development. These results are counter intuitive: an independent model should be used to verify results. Plan unsound if just the one model is relied upon. (WP148)
- 8.31 Uncertainties of J10 design has meant HA cannot endorse new layout or model rest of network (including M27 where accidents can cause grid lock on surrounding roads. (WP614)) (WP398)
- 8.32 Modelling: must be finalised before development can begin (WP564); is inadequate because cannot be used for local transport assessment (WP566)
- 8.33 Decision for access from J10 based on early drafts of modelling: options therefore have not been subject to SA. Plan is therefore unsound. (WP566)
- 8.34 HCC M27 capacity study (RJ568171) showed M27 at capacity at peak times 2010 and recommended traffic management measures required. What is the proposed traffic management solution to ensure traffic is not backed up on motorway? (WP021)

### **Junction Design**

- 8.35 Public have not been told of preferred choice for the junction. (WP395, WP031, WP141, WP145, WP158, WP248, WP262, WP355, WP564, WP588) (and so the Plan is unsound (WP095, WP172, WP248, WP278, WP299, WP477, WP630, AM)): they are therefore not in a position to comment (WP031, WP298, WP324, WP327, WP435, WP611, SL) This is despite the HA telling FBC of their preferred junction (WP477)
- 8.36 Option 3 is only viable alternative for J10 and can be implemented as part of phase 1.(WP440)
- 8.37 Has link to junction 11 been investigated as means of minimising congestion at J10? (WP421)
- 8.38 Design of J10 needs to be re-assessed to take into account Peter Brett Associates review and to overcome problem of conflict between development traffic, BRT, and through traffic between A32 and M27. (WP475)
- 8.39 Current junction 10 format is dangerous (WP021)
- 8.40 Junction 10 must provide access to and from motorway in all directions (11)before work starts (WP026)
- 8.41 No viable or preferable option for J10 proposed. (WP308)

- 8.42 Redesigned J10 will have catastrophic effect on property values due to noise, pollution and traffic closer to existing dwellings. (WP590)
- 8.43 Traffic pressure on local communities will only be relieved if A32 is connected to M27 by 2 way junction 10 to be completed before development begins. (WP149)
- 8.44 Only logical solution to M27 J10 problem is to relocate further to west and make full access junction. Has been assured M27 would be first part of development and in place before works commence (WP297)
- 8.45 Eventual J10 option will have huge impact on where it is located eg. Option 4 on Funtley, Options 1 and 3 on Fareham Common (AM). This contradicts statement that "Fareham Common is a prescribed settlement buffer". Plan is therefore inconsistent and unsound (WP423)

### **Proposed all moves Junction 10**

- 8.46 Welcome proposal: should be provided early in Plan period (WP036)
- 8.47 Impact on reducing use of J11 will be negligible; (WP327, WP398);
- 8.48 Will increase traffic on A32 (WP327, WP398) and (North Hill (WP611) Kiln Road (WP476) Park Lane, Old Turnpike Road, Highland Road etc. (WP318): Plan is therefore unsound (WP572)
- 8.49 Will encourage road use (WP484)
- 8.50 All moves junction 10 will not ease congestion (WP440) on M27 already at capacity (WP572)
- 8.51 M27 at full capacity in peak hours and all moves junction 10 will not ease congestion caused by Welborne vehicles in surrounding roads (SL)
- 8.52 Increasing functionality north and south from A32 will offset benefit of "all Moves junction" (WP017)
- 8.53 Proposals for all directions junction lack detail needed to assess impact on local roads (WP079) Not enough consideration been taken of Welborne residents travelling south (WP277)
- 8.54 Relying on J10 for personal traffic and freight is unsound. (WP424)
- 8.55 Planners have not a funding source, or information on the impact that traffic from the development will have on surrounding roads if junction is upgraded (WP012, WP031, WP059, WP395)

### **Direction of traffic**

- 8.56 Assumptions of directions of Welborne traffic are flawed and it is almost impossible to predict (WP327)
- 8.57 Plan fails to specify key principle that access arrangements should be "southwards facing" (via A32 and M27): northbound traffic will impact on

important conservation interests, results of modelling showing only small percentage of traffic traveling northwards are overly optimistic: This should be reflected in policies WEL23 and WEL 25. Plan is therefore unsound and doesn't have adequate regard to evidence of impacts of development (WP041)

### **Impact on Local Roads**

- 8.58 Peak time traffic will overflow into local road network adding to congestion, causing local road to be rat runs especially in Central Fareham, feeder roads to junction 11, and A27. (WP031) North Fareham will be greatly affected (WP214)
- 8.59 Proposed J10 will increase vehicles using junctions and local roads, eg. Kiln Road, North Hill, Highlands Road, (WP070) Wickham Road, (WP323) (Old Turnpike, Park Lane, (WP079)) which are not suited to additional traffic (WP223, WP224, WP564, WP614, WP572) Will be made worse by Stubbington by-pass. and resultant air and noise pollution likely to be contrary to legislation (WP622) and likely to become worse (WP277) No evidence that this traffic can be handled or that there is funding for infrastructure. (WP571) This is predicted by Parsons Brinkerhoff Jan 2014: third party land will be needed to achieve operational effectiveness of these local roads (WP611). Will be detrimental to residential character (WP144)
- 8.60 Traffic diverts to local roads if there is an accident (WP327)
- 8.61 How will cars access local schools, especially Uplands Primary and Harrison's Road with additional congestion? (WP172)
- 8.62 Resulting congestion would cause rat running (WP071) particularly through Meon Valley and north, west and east of Fareham to Junction 10 of M27. (WP089) and delay of emergency vehicles (WP071)
- 8.63 Support proposed closure of Pook Lane (WP017, WP398)
- 8.64 Specific local improvements risk being overshadowed by large flows east-west M27/A27 and north – south to Gosport. Therefore progressing HA Smart Motorways scheme is important. (WP395)
- 8.65 Plan is unsound in not giving details of infrastructure improvements to protect environment of local residents living on locally affected road network (WP622)
- 8.66 Paragraph 7.36 needs to differentiate between measures needed without the development and those resulting from it. Policy should limit off site road improvements to those generated by site (WP471)
- 8.67 Disruption to northern edge of town undesirable (WP429)
- 8.68 J9, J10 and J11 are gridlocked at peak traffic hours. M27 expected to reach capacity by 2016. To add 12,000 cars and commercial and local traffic to congested traffic system would exacerbate already overwhelmed local road transport system (AM)

### **Local road junctions**

- 8.69 Plan isn't sound unless detailed studies have identified possible designs for junction improvements listed in policy: these junctions are already frequently congested at peak times (WP019)
- 8.70 Plan unsound because no road infrastructure (a few roundabouts are not infrastructure) to cope with traffic generated by Welborne. (WP026)
- 8.71 Paragraph 7.27,1 should not include detailed reference to signals as is not consistent with evidence base(WP041)
- 8.72 Paragraph 7.24: overly prescriptive to prescribe number of junctions in Plan (WP471)
- 8.73 Existing bus services are not accurately taken into account in list of junctions. Last 2 are only appropriate if BRT is funded. (WP273)

### **A32**

- 8.74 In absence of agreed J10, cannot define improvements needed for A32 and so cannot establish if satisfactory access to site can be achieved. (WP475)
- 8.75 Proposals will add to Gosport congestion (WP100).
- 8.76 Even if A32 remodelled no guarantees in place for systems to service south and west sides. (WP429)
- 8.77 Road improvements to A32 and narrow roads in north Fareham need completing before housing built. (WP421)
- 8.78 A32 to Delme roundabout does not cope well with present needs (WP424)

### **Impact on Wickham**

- 8.79 Proposal will add to traffic (and buses (WP021)) in Wickham (WP144, WP593) , especially as phasing proposed to start on north of site when main access routes are to south: there is inadequate transport infrastructure proposed to deal with this. (WP149, WP293)
- 8.80 Additional junctions on A32 and A334 should be included, particularly A32/Southwick Road and A334/Titchfield Lane to discourage through traffic in Wickham (WP041)
- 8.81 Major road improvements needed in Wickham, particularly to take into account construction and commuter traffic, to make development viable: for these reasons Plan is not positively prepared (WP470)

### **Impact on Knowle**

- 8.82 Policy should include Knowle within list of other roads that many need improvement.(WP041): major road improvements needed to take into account construction and commuter traffic (WP470)
- 8.83 Use of Knowle Road to access Welborne from north will impact road in and out

of village and reduce rural character of Knowle (WP327): will also create potentially high accident risk on this road (WP286).

- 8.84 Proposal may result in more buses passing through Knowle en route to Wickham: potential danger to children playing on Knowle Avenue(WP021)

### **Impact on Funtley**

- 8.85 Will have massive impact on Funtley which is surely not legally compliant or sound: no evidence of bus routes travelling through Funtley (WP630)

### **Impact on Mayles Lane**

- 8.86 Development may cause congestion and delays on Mayles Lane (WP327). Therefore policy should include additional mitigation: should be clear no access to Lane from Welborne except for buses and emergency vehicles. (WP036, WP293)

### **Pollution**

- 8.87 Increased traffic will cause noise, (light (WP172, AM)) and air (WP095, WP141, WP318, WP327, WP361, WP435, WP571, WP611, WP630) pollution adversely affecting health (WP327) and make development unviable. (WP311) Plan does not address this problem and is therefore unsound (WP571) Residents of Somerville Drive already are subject to monotonous drone from motorway.(WP078)
- 8.88 Noise and light pollution effects have not been assessed (WP145, WP611). These will affect protected sites (Habitat Regs) (WP327). Resultant light pollution will impact on invertebrate biodiversity (WP158, WP262)
- 8.89 Additional traffic and junction 10 design will have negative impact on visual and physical character of area (WP318, WP327), especially Fareham common (a SINC) (WP571)

### **WEL26 - Public Transport**

#### **General Points**

- 8.90 Question assumption that large proportion of residents will not use cars to get to work or will work at home. (WP031, WP095, WP141, WP279, WP298, WP318, WP327, WP484, WP564, WP588, WP590, WP630)
- 8.91 Need bus and car (WP476). Statistics show trend for out commuting and 80% trips by Fareham residents made by car. (WP327)
- 8.92 BRT and bus will need to be stronger to divert significant proportion of car users. Attracting users will depend on quality of passenger facilities and shorter journey times. (WP395)
- 8.93 Policy would be easier to monitor if split in two: i. bus, ii. Train(WP248)
- 8.94 Public transport will not assist majority of Welborne residents: they will use cars



as initially public transport will not be viable and they will be isolated from existing buses (WP572)

- 8.95 Local accessibility issues especially affect people experiencing social exclusion.(WP150, WP327) In context of funding cuts, communities are reliant on commercially viable services: Whiteley new community relies on old diverted services. (WP273)

### **BRT: General points**

- 8.96 Unfunded BRT is not a proven system (AM) so may not meet targets (WP095, WP278, WP299, WP630): at best, it will only slightly decrease traffic after 2026 (WP318)
- 8.97 Evidence shows BRT will not lead to reduction in traffic congestion(AM): private vehicle usage decreased by just 3% in last 3 years and FBC plans to cut bus routes which will slow reduction further (SL)

No logical path for rapid bus transport system between Welborne and town centre: any attempt to create such a route will have adverse impact on traffic flow. (WP297)

- 8.98 There is no evidence that BRT is viable (WP095)
- 8.99 Many using buses outside peak hours use Concessionary Travel cards and so do not contribute towards running costs and arguably do not need high speed service. (440) Existing buses E1 and E2 appear to be mainly used by pensioners enjoying free bus passes (WP323)

### **Prioritising BRT**

- 8.100 Plan refers to junction improvements south of M27 and intention to prioritise BRT. These roads are already congested, and in parts narrow (WP223) and hard to see how to cope with additional traffic. Plan gives no solutions (WP435) Plan will reduce rather than increase road capacity (WP017, WP149, WP398, WP440). BRT will not solve local transport congestion (WP095, WP172, WP224, WP398, WP476) or meet need (WP484) and so will not be viable (WP145). Statement in paragraph 7.26 re strategy for Welborne is unsound.(WP148)
- 8.101 Bus Lane along Wallington Way will be of little benefit if A32 Wickham Road is congested between North Hill/Furze court junction and Wallington Way/Southampton Road junction due to legal on road parking. (WP079)

### **Phasing of BRT**

- 8.102 Initially little public transport will be available and residents will use cars, adding to congestion (WP279)
- 8.103 Paragraph 7.31 needs to clarify “first residents” as sustainable transport measures will not precede Phase 1. (WP471) Unfunded BRT isn’t planned until 2026 and this will encourage car use pre BRT(WP327) and existing congestion will be made worse.(WP095) (WP614)(SL)

## **Local Buses**

- 8.104 Plan needs to reflect that local bus services will be commercial operations without unlimited subsidy. Off-site BRT not to be funded by development (WP471): Hence, there is no clear funding for public transport (WP611)

## **Rail**

- 8.105 A train station and rail component is essential part of a sustainable transport strategy: importance of Welborne Halt must be elevated. (WP150, WP311, WP327)
- 8.106 Has decision been made about whether train station nearby will be re-opened? (WP080) Will a single line be viable? (WP488) No mention of funding (WP630)
- 8.107 Welborne residents may travel to Fareham to catch London train rather than use Welborne Halt (WP630)
- 8.108 Rail should be examined for site as whole: track should be safeguarded to allow for changes in government policy (WP248)

## **WEL27 - Encouraging Sustainable Choices**

- 8.109 Earlier and greater provision for alternatives to car usage for Welborne residents should have been considered (WP158, WP262)
- 8.110 Nothing on sustainable transport modes except vague reference to rapid transport system
- 8.111 Supported but firm proposals should be included (WP395)
- 8.112 Proximity of Welborne to junction 10 will encourage people to move to Welborne because of motorway access, thus encouraging car usage. (WP327, SL)

## **WEL28 - Walking and Cycling**

- 8.113 Support with regard to walking and cycling (WP293, WP395)
- 8.114 Cyclists and pedestrians will be locked into Welborne as created routes will stop at boundary. (WP630, WP041)
- 8.115 Cross boundary policy with Winchester City Council on green infrastructure is needed (WP395).
- 8.116 `Residence is close to pedestrian and cycle link to be routed via M27 underpass, across Fareham Common. Therefore, please can impregnable evergreen hedge to act as screen. be planted at early stage in development (WP038)
- 8.117 Concerned that lane at right angles to Kiln Road and parallel and to the west of Potters Avenue will be used as short cut to Welborne by cyclists: there is currently no right of vehicular access but is still used. (WP038)

- 8.118 Policy should include reference to link with former Meon Valley railway line and require links listed in paragraph 8.38 to provide Welborne with good countryside links.(WP041)
- 8.119 Walking and cycling will need to be stronger to divert significant proportion of car users (WP395)
- 8.120 Confusion as to what providing .a “direct link north-south through Welborne to Wickham” (para 7.49) means. (WP630)

## **Theme 9**

### **Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity and Landscape**

9.1 This theme covers all of chapter 8 including policies WEL29, WEL30, WEL31, WEL32, WEL33, WEL34 and WEL35.

9.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP022	New Forest National Park Authority	WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association
WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP039	Albion Water	WP393	Natural England
WP041	Winchester City Council	WP395	Welborne Standing Conference
WP070	Paul & Sarah Barnard	WP461	Hampshire County Council
WP144	Geoffrey Hillam	WP464	Graham Moyse
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP471	Buckland Development and BST
WP150	Piers Austin	WP472	RSPB
WP221	Richard Sibbald	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP248	CPRE	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant (FBC)
WP262	Richard Dickson	WP590	Ken Neely
WP280	Atherfold Ltd	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP284	Cllr T Evans (Winchester CC)	WP632	Hampshire Wildlife Trust
WP318	Mr & Mrs Mills	WP633	PUSH
WP326	Cllr A Clear (Winchester CC)	SL	Standard Letter

#### **WEL29 - On-site Green Infrastructure**

9.3 The policy requirement which effectively allocates up to 7 hectares of sports pitches in the Knowle Triangle in the Winchester District is basically unsound and contrary to the adopted Winchester Local Plan. (WP041; WP248)

9.4 The Standing Conference supports the policy particularly the requirement for a strong central feature. (WP395)

9.5 HCC broadly supports this and the following GI related policies but consider that a further policy is needed which requires the landowners to produce an integrated GI and open space strategy which pulls together all the different threads including the green corridors, and SUDS etc. (WP461)

9.6 The quantum and type of GI is inadequate, especially if the required 200m buffers are provided (WP 630)

9.7 The terminology is unclear throughout this section and required better definition and more certainty as to what is required. (WP630)

9.8 3 hectares of semi- natural green space is insufficient. (WP632)

9.9 PUSH broadly supports all the policies under this theme, which are consistent with the South Hampshire Strategy. (WP633)

#### **WEL30 - Avoiding and Mitigating the Impact on Internationally Protected Sites and Off-site Green Infrastructure**

9.10 The New Forest National Park Authority welcomes the commissioning of the

Welborne Green Infrastructure Strategy which seeks to ensure that any potential adverse effects on nationally and internationally protected sites (including those within the New Forest National Park) identified through the SA/HRA work are avoided. The NFNPA is also pleased to note that where adequate mitigation or avoidance measures cannot be achieved on site through the provision of Green Infrastructure, a financial contribution will be sought to provide off-site mitigation measures. (WP022)

- 9.11 Natural England notes the discrepancy in WEL 30 between the requirement for 84 hectares of SANGS in the policy and 84.8 in the supporting text (WP393)
- 9.12 The requirement for only 84 hectares of SANGS is inadequate to mitigate its potential impacts on the Solent. And in any event only 70.5 hectares has been identified. There are questions as to how effective this will be in mitigating potential impacts (WP144; WP248; WP566; WP632)
- 9.13 The Standing conference supports the policy but note that the creation of SANGS should not be at the expense of local biodiversity. (WP395)
- 9.14 The requirement for 84 hectares of suitable alternative natural green space (SANGS) is welcome but there appears to be no certainty that the preferred areas (Fareham Common, Knowle Triangle, Dash Wood) will be made available. (WP036)
- 9.15 Dash Wood is in itself environmentally sensitive so should not be used as SANGS. (WP248; WP566; WP632)
- 9.16 SANGS should not be created on any of the SINC's on or adjoining the site. (WP632)
- 9.17 Financial contributions in lieu of provision of land should not be acceptable. Land within the site boundary should be sequentially safeguarded to provide for SANGS until there is certainty of delivery of land outside of the site boundary. (WP036)
- 9.18 The provision of SANGS provides an opportunity to connect the Meon Valley Trail with the bridleway to the south west, consideration should be given to including this connection within the Plan. (WP036)
- 9.19 Part of the Knowle triangle is proposed as 'suitable alternative natural greenspace' (SANGS) to compensate for the impact of the development on areas of nature conservation interest. Winchester City Council would therefore support the use of the Knowle Triangle solely as SANGS. (WP 041)
- 9.20 The references in policy WEL30 to Fareham Borough Council working with the City Council to determine the appropriate uses of natural greenspace within the City Council's area and the management required, including financial contributions from the development are generally welcomed. (WP041)
- 9.21 The whole of the Knowle Triangle should be kept as natural green space. Fenced playing fields containing sports pavilions, tennis courts and artificial pitches are contrary to the SANGS principle and the Winchester LDF. (WP149; WP284; WP326; WP327)

- 9.22 The reference to car parks in a SANGS area should be removed, in particular to Dash Wood which is the largest component. Welborne residents should be encouraged to walk or cycle to these important natural sites in line with the general principle of the Welborne Plan. (WP149)
- 9.23 No mention is made of the potential impact on the South Downs National Park less than 2 miles north of Welborne. It includes a rich variety of wildlife and habitats including internationally important species. (WP149)
- 9.24 The current landowners of the Knowle Triangle confirm their support for the policy, including the provision of the school playing fields, and the availability of their land, with the exception of a small piece of land which is not currently available. (WP464)
- 9.25 The Joint Promoters of Welborne fundamentally disagree with the principle of applying SANGS standard for on and off-site GI. In the absence of a bespoke mitigation strategy it is not considered acceptable to apply a standard that has been developed for entirely different sites. In the absence of a bespoke strategy for Welborne this policy should allow the applicant the flexibility to complete a Habitat Regulations Assessment in consultation with Natural England and to provide a bespoke strategy to avoid or mitigate Welborne's impact on the internationally protected sites in accordance with the legislation. The principal landowners also want it clarified that it is not their responsibility to deliver third party land not currently under their control (WP471)
- 9.26 The RSPB supports the purpose of Policy WEL30, however, they are seriously concerned that the current measures may not be sufficient to avoid or mitigate recreational pressures on the key sites in the Solent and New Forest SPAs. The requirement to provide as little as 70% of the Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANGS) may not be sufficiently precautionary. As the proposed SANGS are likely to be less attractive than the coast, it is logical therefore that they are designed to a higher standard, in order to provide greater confidence of their effectiveness. This is particularly important given that the Welborne development has the potential to impact on both the Solent and the New Forest SPAs, a point that is not properly acknowledged in the Plan. (WP472)
- 9.27 The RSPB is further concerned that there is already an acknowledged shortfall on site in meeting the reduced SANG standard, which only has the potential to deliver up to 70.5ha , and this is before any capacity discounting to take account of existing recreational use, ecological sensitivity and impacts on attractiveness, such as disturbance from roads and other intrusive infrastructure. This factor may be particularly significant for Fareham Common, which lies alongside the M27 and may already be subject to some recreational use from adjacent residential areas. (WP472; WP566)
- 9.28 The Welborne development should contribute towards the strategic SDMP measures, as stated in the main policy wording of WEL30. (WP472)
- 9.29 To be effective and to comply with the statutory requirements the first area of SANGS needs to be in place before the first occupation. (WP632)

### **WEL31 - Conserving and Enhancing Biodiversity**

- 9.30 Natural England supports this policy but suggest additional wording in the supporting text to make it clear that any outline consent would include a condition which requires that a biodiversity management plan is prepared. (WP393)
- 9.31 There is insufficient evidence in respect of the potential impacts on biodiversity, and as a consequence Welborne will do nothing to conserve or enhance local biodiversity (WP 070; WP150; WP223; WP224; WP590; WP630) Mitigation strategies have been discussed but no evidence of actual plans to relocate flora/fauna and wildlife affected by development Finance as an alternative to mitigation if lack of suitable areas, as suggested by HRA, is not in keeping with aims of Plan(SL)
- 9.32 Financial contributions in lieu of on-site mitigation are not acceptable. (WP223; WP224)
- 9.33 The RSPB basically support this policy but suggest additional wording which gives guidance on the number of nesting/roosting boxes required (WP472)
- 9.34 The following nationally important species of bird, currently found on the site, will have to be taken into account;  
1) Buzzard: 2) Merlin the smallest falcon in UK winters nearby at the River Meon.3) Skylark: 4) Lapwing: these birds have all but disappeared in most of farmland UK.  
5) Other important species that are permanently seasonal visitors or are passing through on migration are stonechat, linnet, kestrel, grey partridge, species of corvids and black headed gulls (following the plough) green woodpecker and swallows. This list is based on my own observations only. (WP221)
- 9.35 No proper investigation has been undertaken of the species currently present within the development area, bats and barn owls have been observed, both having legal protection from interference. (WP262)
- 9.36 Atherfold Ltd propose that their land should be included within the development area to provide additional open space for the benefit of Welborne and Funtley and additional mitigation land (WP280)

### **WEL32 - Strategic Green Infrastructure Corridors and Connections**

- 9.37 The Standing Conference considers that this as currently set out is not sound on the basis that it is not effective, and in order to be deliverable it requires a joint policy with Winchester City Council. The policy as currently drafted is largely aspirational and contains no specific cross boundary deliverables. A requirement on Fareham and Winchester to develop a joint plan would provide greater certainty on delivery, for example by looking at providing routes west to the Meon Valley and north to South Downs. (WP395)
- 9.38 The policy to create strategic green links is sound but there is insufficient detail and no actual requirement for the delivery of these improvements. Without this and other important off-site pedestrian/cycle links there is a danger that Welborne will not have good countryside links and it will be either poorly connected or lead to unauthorised routes being created. (WP04; WP572)

9.39 Before any links to the countryside are created full account needs to be taken of the potential impacts on environmentally sensitive areas. (WP 632)

9.40 The principal landowners want it clarified that it is not their responsibility to deliver linkages and connections on or over third party land not currently under their control (WP471)

### **WEL33 - Structural Landscaping**

9.41 Natural England supports the policy but request that additional wording is included to WEL 33 and 34 to ensure that landscaping proposals are in accordance with the Welborne Green Infrastructure Strategy (WP393).

9.42 The policy should be strengthened to protect views from Portsdown Hill and the South Downs National Park (WP248).

9.43 The Standing Conference support this policy and would expect it to be used to address the “gateway to Welborne” issues and in particular the design of the area just north of the motorway and highly visible for North Fareham (WP395).

### **WEL34 - Detailed Landscaping**

9.44 No specific comment

### **WEL35 - Governance and Maintenance of Green Infrastructure**

9.45 No specific comments



## Theme 10

### Energy, Water and Waste

10.1 This theme covers all of chapter 9 on energy, water and waste including policies WEL36, WEL37, WEL38, WEL39 and WEL40.

10.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP004	OFWAT	WP308	Nigel Perry
WP006	Portsmouth Water	WP311	Piers Austin
WP009	Ian Dean	WP318	Mr & Mrs Mills
WP012	Nicholas Cunningham	WP324	The Society of St. James
WP017	Wallington Village Community Association	WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association
WP018	Environment Agency	WP332	Percy O'Dell
WP019	Barrie Thomasson	WP355	Mrs E Webb
WP031	Shaun Cunningham	WP356	Ann Burr
WP036	Wickham Parish Council	WP358	Malcolm Shillabeer
WP039	Albion Water	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP040	Mike Allen	WP365	Sheila Collins
WP051	Phillip Day	WP369	John Hale
WP059	Maureen and Vic Kimber	WP395	Welborne Standing Conference
		WP398	PT & LC Docherty
WP095	John Hale	WP421	Geoffrey Newbold
WP100	Mary Abraham	WP429	Rosemary Billett
WP141	Mr & Mrs D Grant	WP435	M A Stevens
WP142	RA Downing	WP440	David & Lynda Sutton
WP144	Geoffrey Hillam	WP451	Lynda & Steve Grenyer
WP145	RJ Warren	WP461	Hampshire County Council
WP148	Michael Parsons	WP471	Buckland Development Ltd and BST Warehouses Ltd
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP477	Edward Morell
WP150	Piers Austin	WP484	Graham & Ryth Crosby
WP157	John Thompson	WP488	Alasdair Ewing
WP158	Helen Coker	WP564	<i>Anonymous</i>
WP172	James Fullarton	WP565	R Edmunds
WP205	Jane and Mike Purden	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP220	Nicholas and Brenda Bates	WP570	Ian Whettingsteel
WP223	MB Williams	WP571	Councillor J Bryant
WP224	AR Williams	WP572	Councillor Mrs P Bryant
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	WP588	Harvey Griffiths
WP262	Richard Dickson	WP590	Ken Neely
WP273	William Samuel	WP593	Mr & Mrs P Hymers
WP277	Councillor Mrs Katrina Trott	WP614	Michael Stephenson
WP278	Andrew Ransom	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP298	Caroline Perry	WP633	PUSH
WP299	Caren Ransom	SL	Standard Letter
WP304	AJ Bath	AM	Aide Memoire

#### WEL36 – Energy

10.3 The Plan does not give consideration to available technologies which would increase the sustainability of the development; e.g. treating sewage on site, anaerobic digestion making biogas to feed a CHP station to generate electricity and provide heating for some of the major buildings (WP150; WP327).

- 10.4 Target of 10% homes to meet the Passivhaus standard is too low (WP564; WP630) and should be raised due to economies of scale, its ability to reduce residents' reliance on expensive fuel and the positive impact it could have on climate change (WP277).
- 10.5 The Plan notes that the Government is undertaking a review of Housing Standards Review including the Code for Sustainable Homes but remains unclear about whether the Plan will adhere to the indicative levels of CSH or revise the housing standard when the Government's review is completed (WP461).
- 10.6 It would be appropriate for the Plan to include a more general sustainability standard such as Code for Sustainable Homes, because although Passivhaus is a good starting point, the CSH or equivalent would be of benefit as it would contribute to the Plan's aspirations of minimising energy usage, water consumption and carbon emissions. It would be helpful to clarify what expectations of developers with regards to timescales for implementation of the standard, particularly with the 2016 deadline for CSH 6 being only 21 months away (WP461).
- 10.7 It would be appropriate to set renewable energy targets for the Welborne development in relation to the relevant technologies or as a percentage of total energy demand for the development; as suggested in the Core Strategy (WP461).
- 10.8 General support for WEL36 but reference to Passivhaus standards is too prescriptive at this stage so should be omitted (WP471).
- 10.9 Support for requirement for an energy strategy but it should be decided prior to planning application (WP564; WP630).
- 10.10 What will the criteria be if the developers feel 10% Passivhaus is unviable? (WP630).
- 10.11 Concern over the level of electricity that the final development will require and whether there will be sufficient supply (WP051; WP059, WP488).

### **Water**

- 10.12 Support inclusion of paragraphs 9.10-9.11 but they should be strengthened to acknowledge that opportunities to reduce the risk of downstream flooding should be explored (WP018).
- 10.13 There is a high probability of fluvial flooding (WP630).

### **WEL37 - Water Efficiency, Supply and Disposal**

- 10.14 Support for Code Level 4 for water efficiency and water meters (WP006; WP018; WP630, WP633).
- 10.15 The less water that is used, the less that has to be disposed of, therefore helping to free capacity at constrained works (WP018).

- 10.16 Concern over whether there'll be sufficient water supply and whether any grey water recycling is practical and/or viable (WP220; WP564).
- 10.17 Uncertainty about the wastewater solution needs to be resolved (WP009; WP017; WP018; WP019; WP031; WP036; WP095; WP145; WP148; WP149; WP150; WP172; WP220; WP223; WP224; WP248; WP311; WP324; WP327; WP332; WP363; WP365; WP369; WP395; WP398; WP421; WP440; WP488; WP564; WP565; WP566; WP572; WP590; WP614; WP630, SL)
- 10.18 There is insufficient evidence that a sustainable method of water provision and disposal has been proposed for the site. Both options have major flaws (WP223; WP224; WP429; WP451; WP564; WP630). Only suitable option (Albion Water) has not been fully assessed or funded. Self-contained option for Welborne must be found before building commences (SL)
- 10.19 Further work needs to be undertaken with Southern Water and Albion Water to fully assess the cost and technical implications of connecting to Knowle and Peel Common and to ensure that infrastructure can be delivered in a timely manner (WP018; WP311; WP440; WP565; WP566).
- 10.20 Disposal of waste water or sewage is critical and has yet to be decided. Location and construction of pipeline to Peel Common will have huge environmental and ecological impact on locality and significant levels of construction traffic. Peel Common is near capacity so how will sewage be pumped there? Idea of hundreds of lorries removing sewage daily (as in Knowle option) on congested roads does not bear thinking about (AM)
- 10.21 Southern Water option is not supported for a variety of reasons. It is considered unsustainable due to the environmental impact, technical difficulties and cost of constructing a large pipe, and requirement to pump sewage (WP031; WP095; WP150; WP172; WP248; WP277; WP327; WP630)
- 10.22 Albion Water option is not supported for a variety of reasons. It is considered unsustainable due to associated vehicle movements, particularly along Mayles Lane, the impact on the River Meon, cost of infrastructure and requirement to pump sewage. (WP031; WP036; WP095; WP149; WP150; WP172; WP248; WP277; WP324; WP327; WP395; WP590; WP630)
- 10.23 Feasibility, economic viability and safety of dual supply system has not been established (WP006; WP149; WP327).
- 10.24 Flexibility to accommodate both waste water options is welcomed. Albion Water confirmed they are in a position to serve phase 1 of the development within existing permits and within minimal infrastructure upgrades (WP039).
- 10.25 An environmental assessment of a detailed waste water management option should be submitted alongside outline applications (WP395)
- 10.26 Support for policy WEL37 (WP471).
- 10.27 Concern for overuse of aquifer causing environmental harm (WP564) and how aquifer levels relate to projected demand (WP564).

### **WEL38 - Aquifer Protection**

- 10.28 Support policy and supporting text (WP018).
- 10.29 Proposals not sufficiently detailed to ensure there will be no impact on water courses and water quality (WP144; WP158; WP262; WP564)
- 10.30 How water quality will be protected should be decided prior to planning application stage. A full environmental study is required (WP630).

### **WEL39 - Flooding and SuDS**

- 10.31 Strongly support policy and supporting text including objective to reduce risk downstream where possible which is in line with NPPF, intention to reduce run-off rates and volumes, reference to SuDS management train and recognition of multifunctional benefits of SuDs (WP018).
- 10.32 The Plan lacks detail on the effect of surface water runoff on downstream communities of Wallington, Funtley and Titchfield (WP017; WP031; WP141; WP142; WP144; WP145; WP158; WP159; WP172; WP220; WP248; WP262; WP273; WP277; WP278; WP298; WP299; WP308; WP311; WP324; WP356; WP358; WP363; WP369; WP395; WP398; WP435; WP440; WP451; WP477; WP565; WP570; WP571; WP572; WP588; WP590; WP593; WP630,SL, AM)
- 10.33 SuDS scheme has not been sufficiently developed (WP031; WP144; WP148; WP159; WP273; WP277; WP278; WP299; WP318; WP324; WP355; WP363; WP369; WP395; WP421; WP435; WP440; WP451; WP477; WP488; WP564; WP565; WP630, SL)
- 10.34 Leaving the requirement for a flood risk assessment until the planning application stage is too late (WP017; WP248; WP395; WP398, WP564)
- 10.35 Planning the SuDS to accommodate a 1 in 100 year event with a 30% allowance for climate change is going to be inadequate due to the acceleration of climate change (WP318; WP327)
- 10.36 Given the recent flooding events, it would be prudent to review the latest information available on flooding in the area in order to ensure that the plan policies are consistent with this (WP461, WP311, WP564).
- 10.37 The sub soil under the Fareham area is clay and is subject to movement and subsidence, of which there are numerous examples including the collapse of the rail track at Botley. Many local houses, including at Funtley, need underpinning to prevent movement and there is no evidence that this has been considered in the plan or supporting documents. A sub soil survey is needed to identify this potential risk. This is likely to increase cost of construction significantly (WP157; WP278; WP298; WP299; WP324; WP363; WP435; WP440; WP451; WP488; WP630,SL).
- 10.38 How flood risk will be managed should be decided prior to planning application stage (WP630).
- 10.39 Site and surrounding area are at risk of fluvial flooding (WP012; WP040; WP059;

WP100; WP205; WP630).

- 10.40 SuDS as a strategy for mitigating flooding for a development of this size is unproven and the most expensive mitigation option (WP630).
- 10.41 SuDS option promoted is most expensive: as cost will be presumably met by developers, what guarantee is there that this will be the flood mitigation option used?(AM)
- 10.42 Agree with paragraph 9.30 (WP630).
- 10.43 Too much drainage from the proposed SuDS could have a detrimental effect on the foundations of properties in Funtley due to clay shrinkage (WP484).

#### **WEL40 - Household Waste Recycling Centre**

- 10.44 Locating the HWRC just off the A32 will mean it attracts residents from a wider area and create traffic congestion (WP144).
- 10.45 To not deliver the HWRC on site until phase 3 will result in considerable vehicle movements until then, causing traffic challenges (WP421).
- 10.46 Support for changes made since the Draft Plan (WP461).
- 10.47 A specific plot for the HWRC should be identified and funding should be identified prior to submission of this Plan. Consultation with residents is needed on this issue to avoid adverse impacts on existing communities (WP630).
- 10.48 Access for recycling should not be overstretched. Funtley is already suffering due to rat-running to Segensworth HWRC (WP630).
- 10.49 An HWRC should not be located in an employment area due to the traffic, litter and dust that will impact on surrounding businesses (WP571).

## **Theme 11**

### **Phasing and Delivery, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, Viability and Monitoring**

11.1 This theme covers chapter 10 on Delivering the New Community which includes policies on Phasing and Delivery (WEL41), Safeguarding Land for Specific Development (WEL42) and Development Construction and Quality Control (WEL43). This theme also covers chapter 11 on Monitoring and Review and also associated issues such as viability and infrastructure delivery.

11.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP031	Shaun Cunningham	WP398	P T & L C Docherty
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP423	Stuart Tennent
WP158	Helen Coker	WP429	Rosemary Billett
WP223	M B Williams	WP451	Lynda & Steve Grenyer
WP224	A R Williams	WP476	Andrew Griffin
WP278	Andrew Ransom	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP297	Mr Christopher Nixon	WP572	Cllr Mrs P Bryant (FBC)
WP299	Caren Ransom	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP308	Nigel Perry		

#### **Phasing Plan**

11.3 The phasing plan needs revising in order to address the imbalance in the phasing of jobs and housing and ensure the much earlier provision of the first primary school and the supermarket (WP566)

11.4 Main phase is an unrealistic timeframe due to the amount of infrastructure that is required (WP630)

#### **Housing and Employment Trajectories**

11.5 Very little employment floorspace in phase 1 and 2, and even by end of phase 4 there is only half the total space provided – this will not meet self-containment (WP630)

#### **Flexible Approach to Phasing**

11.6 Concern over flexible approach (WP630)

#### **Phasing of Infrastructure**

11.7 Concern over flexible approach (WP630)

#### **WEL41 - Phasing and Delivery**

11.8 Concern that it will take far longer than envisaged for new businesses to be successful, due to a lack of residents in the early phases (WP572)

#### **Developer Contributions**

11.9 Concern over the use of Section 106 (s106) rather than the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) as the mechanism for securing funding for infrastructure

at Welborne (WP423)

- 11.10 Concern that s106 agreements will prove insufficient to deliver the required infrastructure (WP476)
- 11.11 Unclear whether the Council will use s106, CIL or a combination of both – this decision should already be firmly in place (WP630)

### **Viability and Funding**

- 11.12 Concern over how future infrastructure will be funded and secured and whether Welborne is viable (WP031, WP149, WP308, WP423, WP429)
- 11.13 Concern that the net present value (NPV) fails to match or exceed the input site value, based on infrastructure forecasts and development outputs (WP149, WP223, WP224, WP278, WP297, WP299, WP566, WP572).
- 11.14 Uncertainty over the funding amounts to be raised and spent, despite previous assurances that the details would be published (WP278, WP299)

Concern that upfront enabling infrastructure works will not be possible due to the high costs involved and the fact that they have to be implemented before any housing is built (i.e. before any income) (WP149, WP423)

- 11.15 The IDP has created an extremely onerous cost per dwelling (WP566)
- 11.16 Concern that viability of the scheme can only seemingly be improved through reducing the list of infrastructure or through reducing the level of developer contributions (WP566)
- 11.17 There is need to significantly increase housing quantities in the early years in order to ensure that necessary infrastructure can be funded (WP566)

### **Monitoring and Review**

#### **The Monitoring Framework**

- 11.18 Monitoring indicators do not include utilities infrastructure (WP630)

#### **Triggers for a Review**

- 11.19 Uncertainty over the triggers for review of the plan (WP630)

## **Theme 12**

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

### **Habitats Regulation Assessment**

12.1 This theme covers all comments on the Sustainability Appraisal and the Habitats Regulation Assessment.

12.2 Representations were received from the following consultees:

WP017	The Wallington Village Conservation Society	WP393	Natural England
WP022	New Forest National Park Authority	WP472	RSPB
WP095	John Hale	WP566	The Fareham Society
WP149	The Wickham Society	WP630	Funtley Village Society
WP158	Helen Coker	WP632	Hampshire Wildlife Trust
WP248	CPRE		

#### **General**

12.2 There is no justification in either the SA or HRA for the loss of prime agricultural land (WP- 017)

#### **Sustainability Appraisal**

12.3 Natural England has no comments on the SA. (WP- 393)

12.4 The SA recognises the landscape sensitivity of the lands to the east of the A32, but nonetheless employment uses are proposed in that location. (WP- 017)

12.5 The SA lacks firm data, in several areas, including the justification for 50 m buffers to support Welborne as a sustainable development. (WP- 017; WP- 149)

12.6 There is no proper assessment of air-quality in the SA, and a more detailed analysis is required particularly on the potential health impacts. (WP- 248; WP 630)

12.7 The SA supports concerns that Welborne will worsen traffic congestion (WP- 630)

12.8 The SA identifies the presence of great crested newts which need to be preserved their terrestrial habitat maintained, but this is not recognised in the Plan. (WP- 630)

12.9 Habitats/ biodiversity/ and protected species will all suffer as a result of the proposals. (WP- 630)

12.10 The Plan does not specifically demonstrate how it will comply with the Climate Change Act. (WP- 630)

12.11 There is no evidence as to how health issues will be addressed, including the need for adequate hospital facilities. (WP- 630)



- 12.12 Data used from ONS needs up-dating. (WP- 630)
- 12.13 There is no consistency in the number of houses proposed which varies from 6-6,500 dwellings. (WP- 630)
- 12.14 A light pollution assessment is required before the outline application stage (WP-630)
- 12.15 Soil conditions/contamination needs assessing; is the soil conducive for SUDS. (WP- 630)
- 12.16 The level of population growth and demographic change in Fareham does not justify the scale of development, which will harm quality of life for local residents. (WP- 630)
- 12.17 There is no achievable solution for waste water treatment, and flood risk particularly to communities downstream hasn't been properly addressed. (WP-630)

### **Habitats Regulation Assessment**

- 12.18 The New Forest National Park Authority note that approximately 84 hectares of SANG at Welborne is identified to meet the walking and dog walking needs of future Welborne residents which may avoid the majority of potential impacts on the New Forest. It is welcomed that should the additional studies being carried out show that additional mitigation is required, then further financial contributions towards New Forest mitigation will be sought. (WP- 022)
- 12.19 Natural England is satisfied that the mitigation measures are adequate, but are concerned that all the land might not be available, which puts the deliverability of the Plan at risk. (WP- 393)
- 12.20 There are concerns over the seeming uncertainties regarding waste water treatment, which need clarification (WP- 393)
- 12.21 The policy on biodiversity (WEL31) must be aligned with the outcomes of the HRA, and be independently verified (WP-248)
- 12.22 The HRA has not properly assessed the impact on the SDNP. (WP-248)
- 12.23 The HRA shows that there will be a further one million visits to the coast, by car which will significantly increase congestion, and contradicts the concept of self-containment (WP-095; WP- 630)
- 12.24 The HRA does not contain a proper record of the survey work undertaken at Welborne to identify protected species (WP- 158)
- 12.25 Planning Decisions should be based on up to date information on badger habitats, and aim to maintain and enhance them. (WP- 158)
- 12.26 The HRA refers to the need for 84 hectares of SANGS but the Plan only identifies 70.5 (WP-566)

- 12.27 There is no requirement to monitor that the HRA is being met. (WP- 632)
- 12.28 Until the waste water treatment and discharge issue is resolved, and the likely impacts properly assessed the Plan contravenes the Habitats Regulations. (WP- 630)
- 12.29 Until the junction arrangements are completed and modelling it is not possible to assess impacts on protected habitats. (WP- 630)
- 12.30 Welborne needs to be assessed against the overall level of growth in south Hampshire. (WP- 630)
- 12.31 Impacts on the SAC where it crosses the Hamble cannot be properly mitigated without significant costs. (WP- 630)

## Annex 1: Index of Representations Received

Respondent ID	Organisation	Forename	Surname
WP001	Coal Authority	Rachael	Bust
WP002	Resident	Fred	Lettice
WP003	Services for Young Children, HCC	Jayne	Godden
WP004	OFWAT	Angie	Swann
WP005	Resident	Michael	Berridge
WP006	Portsmouth Water	Paul	Sansby
WP007	Wickham PC	Michael	Bennett
WP008	Resident	Christopher	Arnold
WP009	Resident	Ian	Dean
WP010	Resident	Adele	Kane
WP011	Resident (e-panel)	Cliff	Williams
WP012	Resident	Nicholas	Cunningham
WP013	Resident	Amanda	Guest
WP014	Resident	Martin	Furlonger
WP015	Resident	M V	Brown
WP016	Resident	Mike	Burbridge
WP017	Wallington Village Community Association	David	Walton
WP018	Environment Agency	Laura	Lax
WP019	Resident	Barrie	Thomasson
WP020	Resident	Julie	Palmer
WP021	Resident	Kate	Ryan
WP022	New Forest National Park Authority	Helen	Patton
WP023	Resident	John	Race
WP024	Resident	Kenneth	Neely
WP025	Resident	Sarah	Woolnough
WP026	Resident	Sarah	Harwood
WP027	Resident	Emma	Rann
WP028	Resident	Pauline	Rann
WP029	Resident	Graham	Wood
WP030	Resident	Doug & Penny	Barnard
WP031	Resident	Shaun	Cunningham
WP032	Resident	Trevor	Shaw
		Janette	Blackman
WP033	Resident	Nigel	Buckley
WP034	Resident	Nina	Buckley
WP035	Resident	Gillian	Buckley
WP036	Wickham Parish Council	Nicki	Oliver
WP037	Resident	Christopher	Cook
WP038	Resident	F.W & A	Wood
WP039	Albion Water	David	Knaggs
WP040	Resident	Mike	Allen
WP041	Winchester City Council	Steve	Opacic
WP042	Resident	Janet	Reed
WP043	Resident	Daniel	Wink
WP044	Resident	Francis	Pakes
WP045	Resident	Suzanne	Pakes
WP046	Resident	Victoria	Moore
WP047	Resident	Susan	Hobbs
WP048	Resident	Alastair	Meads
WP049	Resident	Graham	Stewart
WP050	Resident	Pearl	Wiacek
WP051	Resident	Phillip	Day

WP052	Resident	Michael	Hutching
WP053	Resident	John	Harley
WP054	Resident	Darren	Harley
WP055	Resident	Helen	Shawyer
WP056	Resident	Heather	Wiacek
WP057	Resident	David	Owen
WP058	Resident	Adrian	Bradley
WP059	Resident	Maureen & Vic	Kimber
WP060	Resident	Anthony	Brander
WP061	Resident	Pamela	Chisham
WP062	Resident	Rosemary	Pettrazzini
WP063	Resident	Ronald & Florence	Cunningham
WP064	Resident	Roy	Hallett
WP065	Resident	Alexandra	Maclean-Dridje
WP066	Resident	Neil	Day
WP067	Resident	Barbara	Hallett
WP068	Resident	Barbara	Maclean
WP069	Resident	Bernadette	Hulk
WP070	Resident	Paul and Sarah	Barnard
WP071	Resident	Cedric	Colwell
WP072	Resident	Lea	Hallett
WP073	Resident	Roger and Janet	Smith
WP074	Resident	Mel and Paula	Harris
WP075	Resident	John	Rickett
WP076	Resident	Audrey	Sitch
WP077	Resident	Catherine	Stevens
WP078	Resident	Brian and Celia	Green
WP079	Resident	Richard	Humphries
WP080	Fareham Youth Council	Janine	Hensman
WP081	Resident	David	Sharp
WP082	Resident	Jean	Wood
WP083	Resident	Diana & Michael	Blyth
WP084	Resident	Julie	Luckett
WP085	Resident	Jean	Luckett
WP086	Resident	David	Luckett
WP087	Resident	Ian	Luckett
WP088	Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust	Peter	Mellor
WP089	Resident	Barry	Hirst
WP090	Resident	Anthony	Harris
WP091	Resident	Diane	Wild
WP092	Resident	Jill	Race
WP093	Resident	John	Hill
WP094	Resident	Jill	Hill
WP095	Resident	John	Hale
WP096	Resident	Donald	Gale
WP097	Resident	Joan	Gale
WP098	Resident	Edward	Wright
WP099	Resident	Wendy	Wright
WP100	Resident	Mary	Abraham
WP101	Resident	Nigel	Tulk
WP102	Resident	Kay	Ainsworth
WP103	Resident	Robin	Ingram
WP104	Resident	Sheila	Ingram
WP105	Resident	Michael	Hebard
WP106	Resident	Richard	March
WP107	Resident	Phyllis	Howell
WP108	Resident	Frank & Joyce	Lund

WP109	Resident	Matthew	Lund
WP110	Resident	Charlotte	Dixon
WP111	Resident	Michael	Dixon
WP112	Resident	Maureen	Ballard
WP113	Resident	Alan	Collins
WP114	Resident	Jacqueline	Collins
WP115	Resident	Ian & Denise	Blackman
WP116	Resident	Llinos	Edgeley
WP117	Resident	Lianne	Osborne
WP118	Resident	Carmen	Dore
WP119	Resident	Lewis	Lea
WP120	Resident	Karen	Beauchamp
WP121	Resident	Bobby	Wylde
WP122	Resident	Daphne	Wylde
WP123	Resident	Ivan	Johns
WP124	Resident	Gilian	Johns
WP125	Resident	Sarah	Merrett
WP126	Resident	Darren	Merrett
WP127	Resident	Samantha	Turner
WP128	Resident	Stuart	Turner
WP129	Resident	Clive & Jane	Street
WP130	Resident	David	Pearman
WP131	Resident	Douglas & Sandra	Adams
WP132	Resident	Darren Joan	Adams & Cole
WP133	Resident	Geoffrey	Harrison
WP134	Resident	Terrence	Gregory
WP135	Resident	Stephen	Whitear
WP136	Resident	Judith	Hale
WP137	Resident	Sonya	Newell
WP138	Resident	Dennis	Hough
WP139	Resident	Robert	Clements
WP140	Resident	Delia	Bailey
WP141	Resident	Mr & Mrs D	Grant
WP142	Resident	R A	Downing
WP143	Resident	Alan & Georgina	Woodland
WP144	Resident	Geoffrey	Hillam
WP145	Resident	R J	Warren
WP146	Resident	Raymond	Sullivan
WP147	Resident	Christine	Sale
WP148	Resident	Michael	Parsons
WP149	The Wickham Society	Michael	Carter
WP150	Resident	Piers	Austin
WP151	Resident	Scott	Jenkins
WP152	Resident	Bethan	Jenkins
WP153	Resident	Anne-Marie	Causer
WP154	Resident	John	Reed
WP155	Resident	Darren & Mandy	Coupland & Gardner
WP156	Resident	Maria	Illingworth
WP157	Resident	John	Thompson
WP158	Resident	Helen	Coker
WP159	Resident	Meridan	Tyler
WP160	Resident	Norman	Alterton
WP161	Resident	J	Cooke
WP162	Resident	Derrick	Cooke
WP163	Resident	Anne	Plunkett
WP164	Resident	Dennis	Stuart

WP165	Resident	Rachel	Fargher
WP166	Resident	Michael	Crawley
WP167	Resident	Katie	Chamberlain
WP168	Resident	Jon	Fargher
WP169	Resident	Dylis	Fargher
WP170	Resident	Sarah	Woolnough
WP171	Resident	John	Woolnough
WP172	Resident	James	Fullarton
WP173	Resident	Elizabeth	Fullarton
WP174	Resident	Marion	Gagliardini
WP175	Resident	John	Gagliardini
WP176	Resident	Terence & Shirley	Jenkins
WP177	Resident	Daniel	Tonkin
WP178	Resident	Steve	Millsom
WP179	Resident	Judith	Pearman
WP180	Resident	Karen	Churchill
WP181	Resident	Ann & Fred	Rowe
WP182	Resident	Russell & June	Gurney
WP183	Resident	James	Gordon
WP184	Resident	John	Matthews
WP185	Resident	Peter & Irene	Taylor
WP186	Resident	Jennifer	Emery
WP187	Resident	Garreth	Rigby
WP188	Resident	Sarah	Shrimpton
WP189	Resident	Amanda	Goddard
WP190	Resident	Julie	Arreghini
WP191	Resident	Robert	Cohen
WP192	Resident	Vanessa	Gordon
WP193	Resident	Sidney	Riley
WP194	Resident	Barbara	Matthews
WP195	Resident	Ruth	Bowie
WP196	Resident	Simon	Johnson
WP197	Resident	Beryl	Hawes
WP198	Resident	Keith	Lewis
WP199	Resident	John	Fagot
WP200	Resident	Patricia	Fagot
WP201	Resident	Barry	Frost
WP202	Resident	Susan	New
WP203	Resident	Roger	New
WP204	Resident	Shirley	Bridges
WP205	Resident	Jane and Mike	Purden
WP206	Resident	Ann	Pearson
WP207	Resident	Arthur and Georgina	Fleet
WP208	Resident	Sarah	Mackley
WP209	Resident	Peter	Taylor
WP210	Resident	Sarah	LeCornu
WP211	Resident	C.A & G.E	George
WP212	Resident		Durant
WP213	Resident	Noel	Thorpe
WP214	Resident	Helen	Thorpe
WP215	Resident	Barbara	Illingworth
WP216	Resident	Nigel	Cox
WP217	Resident	Margaret	Cox
WP218	Resident	Robert	Frost
WP219	Resident	Raymond	Waller
WP220	Resident	Nicholas & Brenda	Bates

WP221	Resident	Richard	Sibbald
WP222	Resident	Joyce	Toms
WP223	Resident	M B	Williams
WP224	Resident	A R	Williams
WP225	Resident	Jean	Ellsmore-Creed
WP226	Resident	Kathleen	Prout
WP227	Resident	Stella	Bell
WP228	Resident	Ruth	Mitchell
WP229	Resident	B.N.	Chappelle
WP230	Resident	WT	Phillips
WP231	Resident	Robert	Bellenger
WP232	Resident	David	Dickson
WP233	Resident	Sebastien	Dridje
WP234	Resident	Allan	Simpson
WP235	Resident	Valerie	Simpson
WP236	Resident	John	Maclean
WP237	Resident	Anne	Nash
WP238	Resident	Ivan & Dawn	Saunders
WP239	Resident	Maura	Kingsbury
WP240	Resident	Lucy	Sutton
WP241	Resident	Richard	Matthews
WP242	Resident	Susan	Hood
WP243	Resident	Barry	Glasgow
WP244	Resident	Antony	Boyes
WP245	Resident	Julia	Steele
WP246	Resident	Roger	Bunn
WP247	Resident	James & Joy	Reid
WP248	CPRE Hampshire	Caroline	Dibden
WP249	Resident	Tracey	Wickland
WP250	Resident		
WP251	Resident	Jane & Paul	Denley
WP252	Resident	Roland	Haselton
WP253	Resident	Janet	Causer
WP254	Resident	J	Mulholland
WP255	Resident	Maureen	Lettice
WP256	Resident	Sean	Busby
WP257	Resident	Mary	Busby
WP258	Resident	Edward	Tuckley
WP259	Resident	Hilda	Walters
WP260	Resident	Charles	Holder
WP261	Resident	Anthony	Crougan
WP262	Resident	Richard	Dickson
WP263	Resident	Elizabeth	Scales
WP264	Resident	Richard	Lawes
WP265	Resident	T	Ritney
WP266	Resident	Barry	Eades
WP267	Resident	David	Sharp
WP268	Resident	Peter	Jeffer
WP269		PH & WV	Wild
WP270	Resident	Richard	Weston
WP271	Resident	Dean	Stock
WP272	Fareham Labour Party	Andrew	Mooney
WP273	Resident	William	Samuel
WP274	Resident	Allen	Braines
WP275	Resident	Gillian	Braines
WP276	Resident	Peter	Trott
WP277	Fareham Borough Council	Katrina	Trott
WP278	Resident	Andrew	Ransom

WP279	Resident	Jane	Tandy
WP280	Atherfold Ltd	Kevin	Hoare
WP281	Resident	Heather & Edward	Shepherd
WP282	Resident	A E	Wilby
WP283	Resident	Stephen	Peters
WP284	Winchester City Council & Wickham Parish Council	Therese	Evans
WP285	Resident	Richard	Berridge
WP286	Resident	Nicholas	Guy
WP287	Resident	Michael	Turner
WP288	Resident	Donna	Scopes
WP289	Resident	Christine	Westcott
WP290	Resident	Kathy	Carstens
WP291	Resident	Phillippa	Homewood
WP292	Resident	Steve	Bissell
WP293	Resident	James	Palmer
WP294	Resident	Roger & Stella	Allison
WP295	Resident		West
WP296	Resident	James	Busby
WP297	Resident	Christopher	Nixon
WP298	Resident	Caroline	Perry
WP299	Resident	Caren	Ransom
WP300	Resident	T	Ubsdell
WP301	Resident	John	Bradley
WP302	Resident	Sheila	Doherty
WP303	Resident	J E	Bradley
WP304	Resident	A J	Bath
WP305	Resident	Beverley	Busby
WP306	Resident	Emma	Perry
WP307	Resident	Stephanie	Perry
WP308	Resident	Nigel	Perry
WP309	Resident	Lesley	Allen
WP310	Resident	Michael	Stevens
WP311	Resident	Piers	Austin
WP312	Resident	A	Cooke
WP313	Resident	Trevor	Page
WP314	Resident	J E	Christopher
WP315	Resident	S T	Christopher
WP316	Resident	Ian	Howes
WP317	Resident	Carole	Howes
WP318	Resident		Mills
WP319	Resident	John	Newman
WP320	Resident	Christopher	Matkin
WP321	Resident	Clive	Smith
WP322	Resident		Wedge
WP323	Resident	M	Hix
WP324	The Society of St. James	Barbara	Carstens
WP325	Resident	A	Hiskey
WP326	Winchester City Council & Wickham Parish Council	Angela	Clear
WP327	Knowle Village Residents Association	Sheila	Chambers
WP328	Resident	David	Wilson
WP329	Resident	Grant	Smith
WP330	Resident	Viki	Eldridge
WP331	Resident	Bethany	Saunders
WP332	Resident	Percy	O'Dell
WP333	Resident	Geoffrey & June	Barnes



WP334	Resident	Robert	Jempson
WP335	Resident	Georgina	Dominy
WP336	Resident	Katharine	Dominy
WP337	Resident	Helen & Patrick	Aylmer - Clarke
WP338	Resident	Timothy	Booth
WP339	Resident	John	Codling
WP340	Resident	Anne	Butcher
WP341	Resident	Philip	Durant
WP342	Resident	Katherine	Dartmouth
WP343	Resident	Stephen	Barton
WP344	Resident	John	Dartmouth
WP345	Resident	Carys	Dartmouth
WP346	Resident	Fiona	Cooke
WP347	Resident	Timothy	Gates
WP348	Resident	Sue	Richardson
WP349	Resident	Amy	Doherty
WP350	Resident		Mundie
WP351	Resident	Paul	Tyler
WP352	Resident	Dorothy	Ross
WP353	Resident	William	Ross
WP354	Resident	Thomas	Hynes
WP355	Resident	E	Webb
WP356	Resident	Ann	Burr
WP357	Resident	David	Savage
WP358	Resident	Malcolm	Shillabeer
WP359	Resident	J M	Shillabeer
WP360	Resident	Ruth	Elvery
WP361	Resident	Tony	Elvery
WP362	Resident	C	Sutcliffe
WP363	Resident	Diana	Stevens
WP364	Resident	Jayne	Jempson
WP365	Resident	Sheila	Collins
WP366	Resident	Anthony	Eastman
WP367	Resident	Susan	Bailey
WP368	Resident	George Malcolm	Race
WP369	Resident	John	Hale
WP370	Resident	Gerald	Everitt
WP371	Resident	Gareth	Jurd
WP372	Resident	Martin	Smallwood
WP373	Resident	Alec	Wise
WP374	Resident	Richard	Burgess
WP375	Resident	F J	Allen
WP376	Resident	M	Earl
WP377	Resident	Keith & Ann	Barnard
WP378	Resident	Colin	Knight
WP379	Resident	R F	Richardson
WP380	Resident	J	Lowes
WP381	Resident	Jean	Everitt
WP382	Resident	F	Burtenshaw
WP383	Resident	Margaret	Lane
WP384	Resident	Robert	Plunkett
WP385	Resident	Julie	Knight
WP386	Resident	Elizabeth	Dyer
WP387	Resident	Richard	Spears
WP388	Resident	Jonathan	Cox
WP389	Resident	Emma	Burstall
WP390	Resident	Michael & Jean	Fletcher
WP391	Resident	Daphne	Hynes

WP392	Resident	John	Manuel
WP393	Natural England	Charles	Routh
WP394	Resident	Ian	Lane
WP395	Standing Conference	Henry	Cleary
WP396	Resident	Ian G	Ogilvy
WP397	Resident	P W	Wild
WP398	Resident	P T & L C	Docherty
WP399	Resident	Rosemary	Kucel
WP400	Resident	Richard	Kendal
WP401	Resident	David & Anne	Wilcox
WP402	Resident	Patricia R	Stokes
WP403	Resident	Lucy	Burr
WP404	Resident	James	Burr
WP405	Resident	Malcolm	Burr
WP406	Resident	Jane	Burr
WP407	Resident	Susan	Ballard
WP408	Resident	Anthony	Cove
WP409	Resident	Susan	Cove
WP410	Resident	Sally	Donophy
WP411	Resident	Edward	Bentley
WP412	Resident	Sally	Harding
WP413	Resident	Graham	Harding
WP414	Resident	Kerry	McLean
WP415	Resident	Paul	McLean
WP416	Resident	Michaela	Slamaker
WP417	Resident	Brenda	Farmer
WP418	Resident	David	Jenkins
WP419	Resident	Laura	Jenkins
WP420	Resident	Michael	Deane
WP421	Resident	Geoffrey	Newbold
WP422	Resident	David	Saywell
WP423	Resident	Stuart M	Tennent
WP424	Resident	John	Hounslow
WP425	Resident	Brian	Stevens
WP426	Resident	Roger	Coles
WP427	Resident	Louis	Stephenson
WP428	Resident	Ann	Cloles
WP429	Resident	Rosemary	Billett
WP430	Resident	Patricia R	Hartley
WP431	Resident	Andrew	Hartley
WP432	Resident		Moss
WP433	Resident	Dean	Anscombe
WP434	Resident	Declan	Colclough
WP435	Resident		Stevens
WP436	Resident	Alan	Sargent
WP437	Resident	Anthony	Leeks
WP438	Resident	Laurence	Guymer
WP439	Resident	Amanda	Hartley
WP440	Resident	David & Lynda	Sutton
WP441	Resident	Chantry R T	Ward
WP442	Resident	L A	Ward
WP443	Resident	I J	Downing
WP444	Resident	Brian & Vivien	Jones
WP445	Resident	Pauline	Bentley
WP446	Resident	Angela	Bryant
WP447	Resident	Nigel	Ashdown-watts
WP448	Resident	Peggy	Pannell
WP449	Resident	Angela	Mitchell

WP450	Resident	Howard	Thomas
WP451	Resident	Lynda & Steve	Grenyer
WP452	Resident	George	Newton
WP453	Resident	Keith	Sandy
WP454	Resident	Glenda	Ashdown-watts
WP455	Resident	Graham	Hughes
WP456	Resident	Pamela	Hughes
WP457	Resident	P	Davies
WP458	Resident	Kirsten	Smith
WP459	Resident	C	Rickman
WP460	Resident	Jackie	Ralphson
WP461	Hampshire County Council	Laura	McCulloch
WP462	HCA	Kevin	Bourner
WP463	Resident	A T	Ediss
WP464	Local landowner	Graham	Moyse
WP465	Local landowner	Balvinder	Laly
WP466	Local landowner		Hastings
WP467	Resident	Rod	McMillan
WP468	Hallam Land Management	Robin	Shepherd
WP469	Resident	Paul	Perry
WP470	MP	George	Hollingbery MP
WP471	Buckland Development Ltd & BST Warehouses Ltd	David & John	Keene & Adams
WP472	RSPB	Carrie	Temple
WP473	English Heritage	Martin	Small
WP474	Persimmon Homes	Bryan	Jezeeph
WP475	Bovis Homes South East Region	Andrew	Dutton
WP476	Resident	Andrew	Griffin
WP477	Resident	Edward	Morell
WP478	Resident	David	Lee
WP479	Resident	Carolyn	Lee
WP480	Resident	Norman & Joyce	Baust
WP481	Resident	Alan	Webb
WP482	Resident	Sarah	Uptield
WP483	Resident	Emma	Monk
WP484	Resident	Graham & Ryth	Crosby
WP485	Resident	Michael	Hawkins
WP486	Resident	Linda	Hawkins
WP487	Resident	Mike	Milne
WP488	Resident	Alasdair	Ewing
WP489	Resident	Alan	Ricketts
WP490	Resident	Hannah	Cambell
WP491	Resident	Charlotte	May
WP492	Resident	Stephen	Banbury
WP493	Resident	David	Hayes
WP494	Resident	Lisa	Curtis
WP495	Resident	Jennifer	Chase
WP496	Resident	Shirley	Futcher
WP497	Resident	C M R	Gray
WP498	Resident	Fiona	Wade
WP499	Resident	David	Wilson
WP500	Resident	Paul	Wilmot
WP501	Resident	Jackie	Wilmot
WP502	Resident	Darren	Boden
WP503	Resident	Jackie	Edwards
WP504	Resident	Raymond	Edwards
WP505	Resident	Alan	Martin
WP506	Resident	Jean	Martin

WP507	Resident	Michael	Smith
WP508	Resident	Eileen	McManus
WP509	Resident	Peter	Wall
WP510	Resident	Mary	Ford
WP511	Resident	Ernest	Ford
WP512	Resident	Lisa-Marie	Martin
WP513	Resident	Christine	Wall
WP514	Resident	Janice	Wilson
WP515	Resident	Marjorie	Dalby
WP516	Resident	Robert	Mapes
WP517	Resident	Beverly	Mapes
WP518	Resident	Tim & Julia	Wilson
WP519	Resident	Janet	Rutter
WP520	Resident	Anthony	Rutter
WP521	Resident	Emma	Johnson
WP522	Resident	Phill	Johnson
WP523	Resident	Ruth	Brown
WP524	Resident	Sarah	Kennedy
WP525	Resident	Michelle	Brink
WP526	Resident	Gedoy	Wright
WP527	Resident	Anna	Wilby-Lopez
WP528	Resident	Raymond	Streid
WP529	Resident	Eileen	Snell
WP530	Resident	John & Hilary	Hutchings
WP531	Resident	Joan	Thornton
WP532	Resident	Jenna	Whittington
WP533	Resident	Graham	Bates
WP534	Resident	Maria	Marley
WP535	Resident	Patrick & Laura	Mullins
WP536	Resident	Linda	Kemp
WP537	Resident	F J & D A	Tull
WP538	Resident	Harry	Nockemapp
WP539	Resident	Alan	Huxford
WP540	Resident	Maureen	Blackwell
WP541	Resident	Shelagh	Butler
WP542	Resident	Richard	Butler
WP543	Resident	Sylvia	Chambers
WP544	Resident	Sian	Edey
WP545	Resident	Allan	Sitch
WP546	Resident	Michael	Murphy
WP547	Resident	Simon	Bower
WP548	Resident	Caryl	Goldstone
WP549	Resident	Joy	Perry
WP550	Resident	Lin	Woodhams
WP551	Resident	George	Proudfoot
WP552	Resident	Julie	Fancey
WP553	Resident	June	Smith
WP554	Resident	Brenda	Crowley
WP555	Resident	Hilary	Atkins
WP556	Resident	Sally	Mathers
WP557	Resident	Sylvia	Cannon
WP558	Resident	Patrick & Laura	Woodward
WP559	Resident	Norman & Joyce	Wheeler
WP560	Resident	Maureen	Shugme
WP561	Resident	Marie	Wragg
WP562	Resident	Alison	Brodigan
WP563	Resident	Robert	Walters
WP564		Anon	

WP565	Resident	R	Edmunds
WP566	The Fareham Society	Brenda	Clapperton M.B.E
WP567	Resident	Caroline	Sullivan
WP568	Resident	Brian	Sullivan
WP569	Resident	Gary	Blatch
WP570	Resident	Ian	Whettingsteel
WP571	Fareham Borough Council	John	Bryant
WP572	Fareham Borough Council	Pamela	Bryant
WP573	Resident	Trevor	Willcocks
WP574	Resident	S M	Russell
WP575	Resident	Ian	Russell
WP576	Resident	G	Rawlings
WP577	Resident	Wendy	Roscoe
WP578	Resident	Julie	Willcocks
WP579	Resident	Ed	Gutteridge
WP580	Resident	S M	Martin
WP581	Resident	Jonathan	Baldry
WP582	Resident	Christopher	Matkin
WP583	Resident	Mary	Ho
WP584	Resident	Stephen	Tull
WP585	Resident	Lynne	Tull
WP586	Resident	Helen & Christopher	Cobb
WP587	Resident	Ann	Redwood
WP588	Resident	Harvey	Griffiths
WP589	Resident	John	Saunders MBE
WP590	Resident	Ken	Neely
WP591	Resident	Margaret	Wellington
WP592	Resident	John	Wellington
WP593	Resident	P	Hymers
WP594	Resident	Trevor	Drake
WP595	Resident	Jean	Drake
WP596	Resident	Katharine	Lancey
WP597	Resident	KJ	Westcott
WP598	Resident	Maurice	Shergold
WP599	Resident	Barrie	Bourne
WP600	Resident	Ann	Bourne
WP601	Resident	Denise	Hardwick
WP602	Resident	Betty	Gibson
WP603	Resident	Jacob	Harrison
WP604	Resident	Alex	Bourne
WP605	Resident	Fiona	Bourne
WP606	Resident	David	Woolgar
WP607	Resident	Teresa	Woolgar
WP608	Resident	Alison	Ling
WP609	Resident	Richard	Ling
WP610	Resident	Stuart	Davies
WP611	Resident	Helen	Stansby
WP612	Resident	Katie	Butler
WP613	Resident	Mark	Butler
WP614	Resident	Michael	Stephenson
WP615	Resident	Mary	Johnson
WP616	Resident	Anon	
WP617	Resident	John & Sheila	King
WP618	Resident	Stephen	Roberts
WP619	Resident	Anthony	Latimer-Hawkins
WP620	Resident	Patricia	Latimer-Hawkins
WP621	Resident	Bernard	Smith

WP622	Resident	Paul & Jackie	Wilmot
WP623	Resident	Robert	Roberts
WP624	Resident	Christopher	Wickland
WP625	Resident	Wilma	Lawrence
WP626	Resident	Robert	Chambers
WP627	Resident	Anne	Gould
WP628	Resident	Adrian	Saunders
WP629	Resident	Ruth	Saunders
WP630	Funtley Village Society	Edward	Morell
WP631	Resident	Shirley	Broughton
WP632	Hampshire Wildlife Trust	Pauline	Holmes
WP633	PUSH	Gloria	Ighodaro
WP634	Resident	Diane	Harper
WP635	Highways Agency	Helen	Batty

---

## Annex 2: Standard Letter or Aide Memoir Representations

### Standard Letter

WP023	John Race	WP097	Joan Gale
WP027	Emma Rann	WP098	Edward Wright
WP028	Pauline Rann	WP099	Wendy Wright
WP029	Graham Wood	WP101	Nigel Tulk
WP032	Trevor Shaw & Janette Blackman	WP102	Kay Ainsworth
WP033	Nigel Buckley	WP103	Robin Ingram
WP034	Nina Buckley	WP104	Sheila Ingram
WP035	Gillian Buckley	WP105	Michael Hebard
WP043	Daniel Wink	WP106	Richard March
WP045	Suzanne Pakes	WP107	Phyllis Howell
WP046	Victoria Moore	WP108	Frank & Joyce Lund
WP049	Graham Stewart	WP109	Matthew Lund
WP050	Pearl Wiacek	WP110	Charlotte Dixon
WP051	Phillip Day	WP111	Michael Dixon
WP052	Michael Hutching	WP112	Maureen Ballard
WP053	John Harley	WP113	Alan Collins
WP054	Darren Harley	WP114	Jacqueline Collins
WP055	Helen Shawyer	WP115	Ian & Denise Blackman
WP056	Heather Wiacek	WP116	Llinos Edgeley
WP057	David Owen	WP117	Lianna Osborne
WP058	Adrian Bradley	WP118	Carmen Dore
WP059	Maureen & Vic Kimber	WP119	Lewis Lea
WP060	Anthony Brander	WP120	Karen Beauchamp
WP061	Pamela Chisham	WP121	Bobby Wylde
WP062	Rosemary Pettrazzini	WP122	Daphne Wylde
WP063	Ronald & Florence Cunningham	WP123	Ivan Johns
WP064	Roy Hallett	WP124	Gilian Johns
WP065	Alexandra Maclean-Dridje	WP125	Sarah Merrett
WP066	Neil Day	WP126	Darren Merrett
WP067	Barbara Hallett	WP127	Samantha Turner
WP068	Barbara Maclean	WP128	Stuart Turner
WP069	Bernadette Hulk	WP129	Clive & Jane Street
WP071	Cedric Colwell	WP130	David Pearman
WP072	Lea Hallett	WP131	Douglas & Sandra Adams
WP073	Roger & Janet Smith	WP132	Darren Adams & Joan Cole
WP074	Mel & Paula Harris	WP133	Geoffrey Harrison
WP075	John Rickett	WP134	Terrence Gregory
WP076	Audrey Sitch	WP135	Stephen Whitear
WP077	Catherine Stevens	WP136	Judith Hale
WP078	Brian & Celia Green	WP137	Sonya Newell
WP081	David Sharp	WP138	Dennis Hough
WP082	Jean Wood	WP139	Robert Clements
WP083	Diana & Michael Blyth	WP140	Delia Bailey
WP084	Julie Lockett	WP141	Mr & Mrs D Grant
WP085	Jean Lockett	WP143	Alan & Georgina Woodland
WP086	David Lockett	WP146	Raymond Sullivan
WP087	Ian Lockett	WP147	Christine Sale
WP090	Anthony Harris	WP151	Scott Jenkins
WP091	Diane Wild	WP152	Bethan Jenkins
WP092	Jill Race	WP155	Darren Coupland & Mandy Gardner
WP093	John Hill	WP156	Maria Illingworth
WP094	Jill Hill	WP157	Mr & Mrs J Thompson
WP095	John Hale	WP159	Meridan Tyler
WP096	Donald Gale	WP160	Norman Alterton

WP161	J Cooke	WP223	Mrs M B Williams
WP162	Derrick Cooke	WP224	Mr A R Williams
WP164	Dennis Stuart	WP225	Jean Ellsmore-Creed
WP165	Rachel Fargher	WP226	Kathleen Prout
WP166	Michael Crawley	WP227	Stella Bell
WP168	Jon Fargher	WP228	Ruth Mithcell
WP169	Dylis Fargher	WP229	BN Chappelle
WP170	Sarah Woolnough	WP230	WT Phillips
WP171	John Woolnough	WP231	Robert Bellenger
WP172	James Fullarton	WP232	David Dickson
WP173	Elizabeth Fullarton	WP233	Sebastien Dridje
WP174	Marion Gagliardini	WP234	Allan Simpson
WP175	John Gagliardini	WP235	Valerie Simpson
WP176	Terence & Shirley Jenkins	WP236	John Maclean
WP177	Daniel Tonkin	WP237	Anne Nash
WP178	Steve Millsom	WP238	Ivan & Dawn Saunders
WP179	Judith Pearman	WP239	Maura Kingsbury
WP180	Karen Churchill	WP240	Lucy Sutton
WP181	Ann & Fred Rowe	WP241	Richard Matthews
WP182	Russell & June Gurney	WP242	Susan Hood
WP183	James Gordon	WP243	Barry Glasgow
WP184	John Matthews	WP244	Antony Boyes
WP185	Peter & Irene Taylor	WP245	Julia Steele
WP186	Jennifer Emery	WP246	Roger Bunn
WP187	Garreth Rigby	WP247	James & Joy Reid
WP188	Sarah Shrimpton	WP249	Tracey Wickland
WP189	Amanda Goddard	WP250	<i>Anonymous (address only)</i>
WP190	Julie Arreghini	WP251	Jane & Paul Denley
WP191	Robert Cohen	WP252	Roland Haselton
WP192	Vanessa Gordon	WP253	Janet Causer
WP193	Sidney Riley	WP255	Maureen Lettice
WP194	Barbara Matthews	WP256	Sean Busby
WP195	Ruth Bowie	WP257	Mary Busby
WP196	Simon Johnson	WP259	Hilda Walters
WP197	Beryl Hawes	WP260	Charles Holder
WP198	Keith Lewis	WP261	Anthony Crougan
WP199	John Fagot	WP262	Richard Dickson
WP200	Patricia Fagot	WP263	Elizabeth Scales
WP201	Barry Frost	WP264	Richard Lawes
WP202	Susan New	WP265	T Rittey
WP203	Roger New	WP266	Barry Eades
WP204	Shirley Bridges	WP267	David Sharp
WP205	Jane & Mike Purden	WP269	PH & WV Wild
WP206	Ann Pearson	WP271	Dean Stock
WP207	Arthur & Georgina Fleet	WP274	Allen Braines
WP208	Sarah Mackley	WP275	Gillian Braines
WP209	Peter Taylor	WP281	Heather & Edward Shepherd
WP210	Sarah LeCornu	WP282	AE Wilby
WP211	CA & GE George	WP283	Stephen Peters
WP212	Mr & Mrs Durant	WP285	Richard Berridge
WP213	Noel Thorpe	WP287	Michael Turner
WP214	Helen Thorpe	WP288	Donna Scopes
WP215	Barbara Illingworth	WP289	Christine Westcott
WP216	Nigel Cox	WP290	Kathy Carstens
WP217	Margaret Cox	WP291	Phillippa Homewood
WP218	Robert Frost	WP293	James Palmer
WP219	Raymond Waller	WP294	Roger & Stella Allison
WP222	Joyce Toms	WP295	Mr West



WP296	James Busby	WP363	Diana Stevens
WP300	Mr & Mrs Ubsdell	WP364	Jayne Jempson
WP301	John Bradley	WP366	Anthony Eastman
WP302	Sheila Doherty	WP367	Susan Bailey
WP303	JE Bradley	WP368	George Malcolm Race
WP305	Beverley Busby	WP369	John Hale
WP306	Emma Perry	WP370	Gerald Everitt
WP307	Stephanie Perry	WP371	Gareth Jurd
WP308	Nigel Perry	WP372	Martin Smallwood
WP309	Lesley Allen	WP373	Alec Wise
WP310	Michael Stevens	WP374	Richard Burgess
WP311	Piers Austin	WP375	FJ Allen
WP312	A Cooke	WP376	M Earl
WP313	Trevor Page	WP377	Keith & Ann Barnard
WP314	JE Christopher	WP378	Colin Knight
WP315	ST Christopher	WP379	RF Richardson
WP316	Ian Howes	WP380	J Lowes
WP317	Carole Howes	WP381	Jean Everitt
WP321	Clive Smith	WP382	F Burtenshaw
WP322	Mr & Mrs Wedge	WP383	Margaret Lane
WP324	The Society of St. James	WP384	Robert Plunkett
WP325	Mr & Mrs Hiskey	WP385	Julie Knight
WP328	David Wilson	WP386	Elizabeth Dyer
WP329	Grant Smith	WP387	Richard Spears
WP330	Viki Eldridge	WP388	Jonathan Cox
WP331	Bethany Saunders	WP389	Emma Burstall
WP333	Geoffrey & June Barnes	WP390	Michael & Jean Fletcher
WP334	Robert Jempson	WP391	Daphne Hynes
WP335	Georgina Dominy	WP392	John Manuel
WP336	Katharine Dominy	WP394	Ian Lane
WP337	Helen & Patrick Aylmer-Clarke	WP396	Ian Ogilvy
WP338	Timothy Booth	WP397	PW Wild
WP339	John Codling	WP399	Rosemary Kucel
WP340	Anne Butcher	WP400	Richard Kendal
WP341	Philip Durant	WP401	David & Anne Wilcox
WP342	Katherine Dartmouth	WP402	Patricia Stokes
WP343	Stephen Barton	WP403	Lucy Burr
WP344	John Dartmouth	WP404	James Burr
WP345	Carys Dartmouth	WP405	Malcolm Burr
WP346	Fiona Cooke	WP406	Jane Burr
WP347	Timothy Gates	WP407	Susan Ballard
WP348	Sue Richardson	WP408	Anthony Cove
WP349	Amy Doherty	WP409	Susan Cove
WP350	Mr & Mrs Mundie	WP410	Sally Donophy
WP351	Paul Tyler	WP411	Edward Bentley
WP412	Sally Harding	WP415	Paul McLean
WP413	Graham Harding	WP416	Michaela Slamaker
WP414	Kerry McLean	WP417	Brenda Farmer
WP352	Dorothy Ross	WP418	David Jenkins
WP353	William Ross	WP419	Laura Jenkins
WP354	Thomas Hynes	WP420	Michael Deane
WP356	Ann Burr	WP422	David Saywell
WP357	David Savage	WP425	Brian Stevens
WP358	Malcolm Shillabeer	WP426	Roger Coles
WP359	JM Shillabeer	WP427	Louis Stephenson
WP360	Ruth Elvery	WP428	Ann Choles
WP361	Tony Elvery	WP430	Patricia Hartley
WP362	C Sutcliffe	WP431	Andrew Hartley

WP432	Mr Moss	WP505	Alan Martin
WP433	Dean Anscombe	WP506	Jean Martin
WP434	Declan Colclough	WP507	Michael Smith
WP435	Mrs Stevens	WP508	Eileen McManus
WP436	Alan Sargent	WP509	Peter Wall
WP437	Anthony Leeks	WP510	Mary Ford
WP438	Laurence Guymer	WP511	Ernest Ford
WP439	Amanda Hartley	WP512	Lisa-Marie Martin
WP440	David & Lynda Sutton	WP513	Christine Wall
WP441	Chantry Ward	WP514	Janice Wilson
WP442	LA Ward	WP515	Marjorie Dalby
WP444	Brian & Vivien Jones	WP516	Robert Mapes
WP445	Pauline Bentley	WP517	Beverly Mapes
WP446	Angela Bryant	WP518	Tim & Julia Wilson
WP447	Nigel Ashdown-Watts	WP519	Janet Rutter
WP448	Peggy Pannell	WP520	Anthony Rutter
WP449	Angela Mitchell	WP521	Emma Johnson
WP450	Howard Thomas	WP522	Phill Johnson
WP451	Lynda & Steve Grenyer	WP523	Ruth Brown
WP453	Keith Sandy	WP524	Sarah Kennedy
WP454	Glenda Ashdown-Watts	WP525	Michelle Brink
WP455	Graham Hughes	WP526	Gedoy Wright
WP456	Pamela Hughes	WP527	Anna Wilby-Lopez
WP458	Kirsten Smith	WP528	Raymond Streid
WP459	C Rickman	WP529	Eileen Snell
WP460	Jackie Ralphson	WP530	John & Hilary Hutchings
WP469	Paul Perry	WP531	Joan Thornton
WP478	David Lee	WP532	Jenna Whittington
WP479	Carolyn Lee	WP533	Graham Bates
WP480	Norman & Joyce Baust	WP534	Maria Marley
WP481	Alan Webb	WP535	Patrick & Laura Mullins
WP482	Sarah Uptield	WP536	Linda Kemp
WP483	Emma Monk	WP537	FJ & DA Tull
WP485	Michael Hawkins	WP538	Harry Nockemapp
WP486	Linda Hawkins	WP539	Alan Huxford
WP487	Mike Milne	WP540	Maureen Blackwell
WP489	Alan Ricketts	WP541	Shelagh Butler
WP490	Hannah Campbell	WP542	Richard Butler
WP491	Charlotte May	WP543	Sylvia Chambers
WP547	Simon Bower	WP544	Sian Edey
WP548	Caryl Goldstone	WP545	Allan Sitch
WP549	Joy Perry	WP546	Michael Murphy
WP550	Lin Woodhams	WP553	June Smith
WP551	George Proudfoot	WP554	Brenda Crowley
WP552	Julie Fancey	WP555	Hilary Atkins
WP492	Stephen Banbury	WP556	Sally Mathers
WP493	David Hayes	WP557	Sylvia Cannon
WP494	Lisa Curtis	WP558	Patrick & Laura Woodward
WP495	Jennifer Chase	WP559	Norman & Joyce Wheeler
WP496	Shirley Fatcher	WP560	Maureen Shugme
WP497	CMR Gray	WP561	Marie Wragg
WP498	Fiona Wade	WP562	Alison Brodigan
WP499	David Wilson	WP563	Robert Walters
WP500	Paul Wilmot	WP567	Caroline Sullivan
WP501	Jackie Wilmot	WP568	Brian Sullivan
WP502	Darren Bodie	WP569	Gary Blatch
WP503	Jackie Edwards	WP570	Ian Whettingsteel
WP504	Raymond Edwards	WP581	Jonathan Baldry

WP582	Christopher Matkin	WP608	Alison Ling
WP583	Mary Ho	WP609	Richard Ling
WP584	Stephen Tull	WP610	Stuart Davies
WP585	Lynne Tull	WP612	Katie Butler
WP586	Helen & Christopher Cobb	WP613	Mark Butler
WP587	Ann Redwood	WP615	Mary Johnson
WP591	Margaret Wellington	WP616	<i>Anonymous</i>
WP592	John Wellington	WP617	John & Sheila King
WP594	Trevor Drake	WP618	Stephen Roberts
WP595	Jean Drake	WP619	Anthony Latimer-Hawkins
WP596	Katharine Lancey	WP620	Patricia Latimer-Hawkins
WP598	Maurice Shergold	WP621	Bernard Smith
WP599	Barrie Bourne	WP623	Robert Roberts
WP600	Ann Bourne	WP624	Christopher Wickland
WP601	Denise Hardwick	WP625	Wilma Lawrence
WP602	Betty Gibson	WP626	Robert Chambers
WP603	Jacob Harrison	WP627	Anne Gould
WP604	Alex Bourne	WP628	Adrian Saunders
WP605	Fiona Bourne	WP629	Ruth Saunders
WP606	David Woolgar	WP631	Shirley Broughton
WP607	Teresa Woolgar	WP634	Diane Harper (late representation)

### **Aide Memoir**

WP573	Trevor Willcocks	WP577	Wendy Roscoe
WP574	SM Russell	WP578	Julie Willcocks
WP575	Ian Russell	WP579	Ed Gutteridge
WP576	G Rawlings	WP580	SM Martin