



Opinion Research Services

*Excellent research for the public, voluntary and private sectors*



*Basingstoke  
and Deane*



**East  
Hampshire**  
DISTRICT COUNCIL

**FAREHAM**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL



**GOSPORT**  
Borough Council



**Hampshire**  
County Council



**Havant**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL



**New Forest**  
DISTRICT COUNCIL



NEW FOREST  
NATIONAL PARK

**RUSHMOOR**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL



South Downs  
National Park Authority

**Test Valley**  
Borough Council



**Winchester**  
City Council

**Hampshire**  
**Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople**  
**Accommodation Assessment Summary**  
**2016-2036**

October 2017



Opinion Research Services The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF  
Steve Jarman, Ciara Small and Claire Thomas  
Enquiries: 01792 535300 · [info@ors.org.uk](mailto:info@ors.org.uk) · [www.ors.org.uk](http://www.ors.org.uk)

© Copyright September 2017

Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0

Contains OS Data © Crown Copyright (2017)

## Introduction and Methodology

- 1.1 Opinion Research Services (ORS) have now completed new or updated Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments (GTAAs) covering all local authorities in the County of Hampshire, with the exception of Rushmoor Borough Council. Information was requested from Officers at Rushmoor who were able to confirm details of their current and future accommodation needs. Whilst need from households living in the South Downs National Park areas of Winchester were not included in the Hampshire Consortium GTAA, interviews were completed with these households that have allowed for need to be assessed. The new or updated assessments were:
- » Hart GTAA (August 2016)
  - » Eastleigh GTAA (February 2017)
  - » Basingstoke and Deane GTAA (April 2017)
  - » Hampshire Consortium<sup>1</sup> GTAA (May 2017)
  - » East Hampshire<sup>2</sup> GTAA (September 2017)
- 1.2 All of the assessments of need have been completed following the same methodology and as such it has been requested that this short summary report be prepared that sets out the overall need for additional pitches and plots for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople across Hampshire. Please note that this summary report is for illustrative purposes only and that individual GTAA reports should be relied upon to support the determination of planning applications, planning appeals and Local Plan Examinations.
- 1.3 The GTAAs sought to understand the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population in Hampshire through a combination of desk-based research, stakeholder interviews and engagement with members of the travelling community living on all known sites and yards.

---

<sup>1</sup> Comprising Fareham, Gosport, Havant, New Forest District, New Forest National Park, Test Valley, Winchester and the South Downs National Park areas of Winchester.

<sup>2</sup> Including the South Downs National Park areas.

## Key Findings

### Gypsy and Traveller Sites and Travelling Showpeople Yards

- 1.4 Overall the assessments identified a total of 268 Gypsy and Traveller pitches on 113 sites, and a total of 113 Travelling Showpeople plots on 38 yards<sup>3</sup>. The table below gives a breakdown by local authority and a full breakdown can be found in **Appendix A**, together with the baseline date for each assessment.

Figure 1 – Breakdown of sites and yards by local authority

Local Authority	Gypsies and Travellers		Travelling Showpeople	
	Sites	Pitches	Yards	Plots
Basingstoke & Deane	13	22	1	2
East Hampshire	13	22	6	31
Eastleigh	17	29	2	4
Fareham	4	11	0	0
Gosport	1	1	0	0
Hart	7	49	2	5
Havant	1	1	0	0
New Forest DC	6	27	4	11
New Forest NP	3	3	1	8
Rushmoor	0	0	2	2
South Downs NP (East Hants)	3	4	1	1
South Downs NP (Winchester)	4	12	1	3
Test Valley	17	22	5	20
Winchester	24	65	13	26
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>113</b>

### Household Interviews

- 1.5 A total of 218 interviews were completed with Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households living on sites and yards across Hampshire. The reasons for not completing interviewed included households not being present during the fieldwork period (71); refusal to be interviewed (53); pitches or plots not occupied by ethnic Gypsies or Travellers (8); vacant pitches or plots (33); and unimplemented pitches or plots (7). Overall this represents an adjusted response rate<sup>4</sup> of 66%, with individual rates ranging from 100% in the South Downs National Park areas of Winchester to 0% in Gosport.

Figure 2 – Breakdown of household interview response rates for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople

Local Authority	Occupied Pitches	Interviews	Response Rate
Basingstoke & Deane	23	13	56.5
East Hampshire	50	40	80.0

<sup>3</sup> Excluding Carousel Park in Winchester. See Hampshire Consortium Report for further details.

<sup>4</sup> Baseline excludes pitches or plots that were vacant, unimplemented or not occupied by ethnic Gypsies and Travellers.

Eastleigh	23	8	34.8
Fareham	8	3	37.5
Gosport	1	0	0.0
Hart	54	36	66.7
Havant	1	1	100.0
New Forest DC	37	20	54.1
New Forest NP	4	3	75.0
Rushmoor	2	0	0.0
South Downs NP (East Hants)	5	3	60.0
South Downs NP (Winchester)	15	15	100.0
Test Valley	36	20	55.6
Winchester	73	57	78.1
<b>TOTAL HAMPSHIRE</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>65.7</b>

### PPTS (2015) Planning Definition

- 1.6 Households that were interviewed were assessed against the revised planning definition of a Traveller that is set out in PPTS (2015). Overall, this found that a total of 74 Gypsy and Traveller households and 63 Travelling Showpeople households in Hampshire met the planning definition in that they were able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes or have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age. This represents an overall split of 48% of Gypsies and Travellers and 89% of Travelling Showpeople that met the planning definition. These figures are higher than the ORS national average figures as Hampshire, along with parts of Surrey, was found to be a part of the country with a far higher proportion of households that met the planning definition. The tables below provide an overall summary for Hampshire and a summary for each local authority.

Figure 3 – Overall breakdown of households in Hampshire meeting the Planning Definition by site and yard status

	Meet Planning Definition	Total Interviews	% Meeting Planning Definition
Private Sites	46	113	41
Temporary Sites	9	11	82
Tolerated Sites	1	3	33
Unauthorised Sites	16	24	67
Private Transit Sites	0	0	0
Bricks and Mortar	1	1	100
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>48</b>
TSP - Private	46	53	89
TSP - Temporary	0	0	0
TSP - Tolerated	7	7	100
TSP - Unauthorised	9	10	90
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>61</b>

Figure 4 –Breakdown of households meeting the Planning Definition by local authority – Gypsies and Travellers

Gypsies and Travellers	Meet Planning Definition	Total Interviews	% Meeting Planning Definition
Basingstoke and Deane	11	12	92
East Hampshire	12	14	86
Eastleigh	4	8	50
Fareham	2	3	67
Gosport	0	0	0
Hart	4	33	12
Havant	1	1	100
New Forest DC	1	17	6
New Forest NP	2	3	67
Rushmoor	0	0	0
SDNP (East Hants)	2	2	100
SDNP (Winchester)	9	12	75
Test Valley	5	8	63
Winchester	20	39	51
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>48</b>

Figure 4 –Breakdown of households meeting the Planning Definition by local authority – Travelling Showpeople

Travelling Showpeople	Meet Planning Definition	Total Interviews	% Meeting Planning Definition
Basingstoke and Deane	1	1	100
East Hampshire	24	26	92
Eastleigh	0	0	0
Fareham	0	0	0
Gosport	0	0	0
Hart	3	3	100
Havant	0	0	0
New Forest DC	2	3	67
New Forest NP	6	6	100
Rushmoor	0	0	0
SDNP (East Hants)	1	1	100
SDNP (Winchester)	3	3	100
Test Valley	10	12	83
Winchester	17	20	85
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>89</b>

## Additional Pitch and Plot Needs – Gypsies and Travellers

- 1.7 The overall additional pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers from 2016-2036 are set out below. This breakdown includes an overall summary for Hampshire as well as an individual breakdown for each local authority. These figures may differ from individual GTAA Reports as they have all been adjusted to meet the 20 year period from 2016-2036 that was used for the wider Hampshire Consortium Assessment.
- 1.8 Additional needs are set out for those households that meet the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller, for those unknown households where an interview was not able to be completed (either due to households refusing to be interviewed, or not being present despite 3 visits to each site) who may meet the planning definition, and for those households that do not meet the planning definition (even though this is no longer a requirement for a GTAA). The accommodation need for the households who do not meet the planning definition will need to be addressed through other means such as the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) or Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (HEDNA).

### Basingstoke and Deane

- 1.9 There were 11 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Basingstoke and Deane that meet the planning definition, 8 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 1 household that does not meet the planning definition.
- 1.10 The GTAA identifies a need for **9 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 5 from new household formation, 1 pitch arising in the next 5 years for a teenage child and 3 from households on unauthorised developments.
- 1.11 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 11 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of new household formation of up to 10 from a maximum of 8 households and need from 1 household on an unauthorised development. If the ORS national average<sup>5</sup> of 10% were applied this could result in a need for 1 additional pitch.
- 1.12 Although it is not now a requirement to include in a GTAA the study identified a need for 3 additional pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households that do not meet the planning definition – 1 from an unauthorised pitch and 2 through new household formation.
- 1.13 In addition the Council will need to closely monitor the situation regarding the unauthorised site that was established on land off Little London Road in Silchester after the baseline date for the GTAA. A High Court Injunction has been served in relation to this site by the Council, and court proceedings in relation to this are ongoing. In addition, there is currently insufficient information available regarding to extent to which the site may currently be occupied. In light of these issues it was not considered feasible to attempt to incorporate this site into the study at this stage. .

---

<sup>5</sup> Based on the outcomes of over 1,800 interviews that have been completed with Gypsies and Travellers by ORS since changes to PPTS in 2015.

Figure 5 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Basingstoke and Deane 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	9
Unknown	0-11 (10% = 1)
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	3

## East Hampshire

- 1.14 There were 12 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in East Hampshire District (excluding the area covered by the SDNP) that meet the planning definition, 12 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 2 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.15 The GTAA identified a need for **25 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of 5 pitches from concealed or doubled up households, 1 pitch from a household that wants to move from bricks and mortar, 5 pitches for teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years, 5 pitches from in-migration, and 9 from new household formation.
- 1.16 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 7 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of new household formation of 4 from a maximum of 12 households, and 3 from in-migration. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for 1 additional pitch.
- 1.17 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for 6 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition.

Figure 6 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in East Hampshire District 2017-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	25
Unknown	0-7 (10% = 1)
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	6

## Eastleigh

- 1.18 There were 4 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Eastleigh that meet the planning definition, 11 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 4 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.19 The GTAA identified a need for **5 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of 3 unauthorised pitches and 2 from new household formation.
- 1.20 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 4 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of new household formation of 4 from a maximum of 11 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for no additional pitches.
- 1.21 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for 6 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition.



Figure 7 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Eastleigh 2017-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	5
Unknown	0-4 (10% = 0)
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	6

## Fareham Borough

- 1.22 There were 2 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Fareham Borough that meet the planning definition, 6 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 1 household that does not meet the planning definition.
- 1.23 The GTAA identifies a need for **3 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 2 concealed households or adults and 1 teenage child in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years. There was no further need identified through new household formation.
- 1.24 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 2 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of new household formation of up to 2 from a maximum of 6 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for no additional pitches.
- 1.25 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for 3 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition.

Figure 8 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Fareham Borough 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	3
Unknown	0-2 (10% = 0)
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	3

## Gosport Borough

- 1.26 There were no Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Gosport Borough that meet the planning definition, 1 unknown household that may meet the planning definition and no households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.27 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 1 additional pitch for the unknown household and this is made up of new household formation of up to 1 from a maximum of 3 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for no additional pitches.

Figure 9 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Gosport Borough 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	0
Unknown	0-1 (10% = 0)
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0

## Hart

- 1.28 There were 4 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Hart that meet the planning definition, 16 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 29 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.29 The GTAA identifies a need for **-4 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 1 concealed household or adult and 3 from new household formation. This is netted off against an identified supply of 8 pitches.
- 1.30 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 6 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of new household formation of up to 6 from a maximum of 16 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for 1 additional pitch.
- 1.31 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for 13 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition.

**Figure 10 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Hart District 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>-4</b>
Unknown	<b>0-6 (10% = 1)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>13</b>

## Havant Borough

- 1.32 At baseline date for the GTAA there were no identified Gypsy and Traveller sites in Havant. However an application was made in March 2016 for 2 pitches on a site in Havant that is owned by households who were believed to spend the majority of their time travelling for work, but who are understood to have a postal address in Portsmouth. The land does currently have planning consent for stables but the application for residential pitches was refused and is the subject of an appeal that has been held in abeyance whilst a revised planning application for a single pitch is considered. The site is now occupied on an unauthorised basis.
- 1.33 An interview conducted in March 2017 identified that the household living on the site do meet the planning definitions. It also identified that the household have links to the area and have no alternative accommodation. As such there is a need for **1 additional pitch** in Havant as a result of the occupied pitch being unauthorised. There is no other current or future need.

**Figure 11 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Havant Borough 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>1</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

## New Forest District

- 1.34 There was 1 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in New Forest District that meets the planning definition, 11 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 16 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.35 The GTAA identifies a need for **1 additional pitch** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of new household formation based on the site demographics.
- 1.36 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 4 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up new household formation of 4 from a maximum of 11 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for no additional pitches.

Figure 12 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in New Forest District 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>1</b>
Unknown	<b>0-4 (10% = 0)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>13</b>

## New Forest National Park

- 1.37 There were 2 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in New Forest National Park that meet the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 1 household that does not meet the planning definition.
- 1.38 There is **need for 1 additional pitch** for households that meet the planning definition. This is due to a pitch being unauthorised. There is no other current or future need identified.

Figure 13 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in New Forest National Park 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>1</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

## Rushmoor

- 1.39 Whilst no new assessment of need was completed for Rushmoor, discussions did take place with Council Officers who are responsible for planning for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. This confirmed that there are no Gypsy and Traveller sites in Rushmoor and that there is no current or future need for additional pitches.

Figure 14 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Rushmoor 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

### South Downs National Park Authority (East Hampshire)

- 1.40 There were 2 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in the area of East Hampshire District that is covered by the SDNP that meet the planning definition, 5 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and no households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.41 The GTAA identified a need for **2 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of 1 pitch from a household on a site with temporary planning permission and 1 pitch from new household formation based on the site demographics.
- 1.42 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 7 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of new household formation of 2 from a maximum of five households using a formation of 1.50%, as well as 5 pitches from households who are currently living on sites with temporary planning permission. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for 1 additional pitch.
- 1.43 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for no additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition.

**Figure 15 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in South Downs National Park Authority area of East Hampshire 2017-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>2</b>
Unknown	<b>0-7 (10% = 1)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

### South Downs National Park Authority (Winchester)

- 1.44 There were 9 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in the area of Winchester that is covered by the SDNP that meet the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 3 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.45 The GTAA identified a need for **15 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of 2 teenagers in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years, 4 pitches with temporary planning permission, 5 unauthorised pitches, and 4 pitches from new household formation.
- 1.46 The GTAA identifies a need of no additional pitches for unknown households as interviews were completed on all pitches occupied by Gypsies and Travellers.
- 1.47 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for 2 additional pitches for households that do not meet the planning definition – both arising from unauthorised pitches.

**Figure 16 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in South Downs National Park Authority area of Winchester 2017-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>15</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>2</b>

## Test Valley Borough

- 1.48 There were 5 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Test Valley Borough that meet the planning definition, 14 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 3 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.49 The GTAA identifies a need for **3 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 1 concealed household or adult, 1 for a teenage child in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years, and 1 from new household formation based on the site demographics.
- 1.50 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 11 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of 5 unauthorised pitches, 1 pitch that has temporary planning permission, and new household formation of 5 from a maximum of 14 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for 1 additional pitch.

**Figure 17 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Test Valley Borough 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>3</b>
Unknown	<b>0-11 (10% = 1)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>6</b>

## Winchester City

- 1.51 There were 20 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Winchester that meet the planning definition, 11 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 18 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.52 The GTAA identifies a need for **19 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 7 pitches with temporary planning permission, 3 unauthorised pitches, a need for 5 additional pitches for concealed households or adults, a need for 4 additional pitches for older teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years, and new household formation of 10 using a rate of 1.95% derived from the site demographics. In addition, there is a supply of 10 pitches from the previously Council owned site at Tynefield that have been closed for refurbishment but are due to reopen in 2017.
- 1.53 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 11 additional pitches for unknown households and this is made up of 7 pitches with temporary planning permission, and new household formation of 4 from a maximum of 11 households. If the ORS national average of 10% were applied this could result in a need for 1 additional pitch.

**Figure 18 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Winchester 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>19</b>
Unknown	<b>0-11 (10% = 1)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>29</b>

## Hampshire County

- 1.54 Taking all of these figures into consideration there are a total of 73 Gypsy and Traveller households in Hampshire that meet the planning definition; 95 unknown Gypsy and Traveller households that may meet the planning definition; and 78 Gypsy and Traveller households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.55 Overall need has been identified for 80 additional pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households that meet the planning definition; for up to 64 additional pitches for unknown Gypsy and Traveller households that may meet the planning definition; and for 81 additional pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households that do not meet the planning definition.

Figure 19 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Hampshire 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>80</b>
Unknown	<b>0-64 (10% = 6)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>81</b>

## Additional Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople

- 1.56 The overall additional plot needs for Travelling Showpeople from 2016-2036 are set out below. This breakdown includes an overall summary for Hampshire as well as an individual breakdown for each local authority.
- 1.57 Additional needs are set out for those households that meet the planning definition of a Travelling Showperson, for those unknown households where an interview was not able to be completed (either due to households refusing to be interviewed, or not being present despite 3 visits to each site) who may meet the planning definition, and for those households that do not meet the planning definition (even though this is no longer a requirement for a GTAA). The accommodation need for the households who do not meet the planning definition will need to be addressed through other means such as the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) or Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (HEDNA).

## Basingstoke and Deane

- 1.58 There was 1 Travelling Showperson household identified in Basingstoke and Deane that met the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and no households that did not meet the planning definition.
- 1.59 The GTAA identifies a need for **no additional plots** based on the demographics of the residents and the outcomes of the household interview.

Figure 20 – Additional need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Winchester 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

## East Hampshire

- 1.60 There were 24 Travelling Showpeople households identified in East Hampshire district that meet the planning definition, 4 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 2 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.61 The GTAA identifies a need for **31 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 15 concealed families or adults, 5 older teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next five years and new household formation of 14 (using a formation rate of 1.25%) based on a maximum of 52 households (existing households plus concealed/over-crowded households and 5 year need from teenage children). There is also supply from three plots that are vacant.
- 1.62 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 1 additional plot for unknown households and this is made up new household formation of 1 from a maximum of 4 households (using a formation rate of 1.00%). If the ORS national average of 70% were applied this could result in a need for one additional plot.
- 1.63 Whilst no longer a requirement to include in a GTAA there is a need for no additional plots for households that do not meet the planning definition.

**Figure 21 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in East Hampshire District 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>31</b>
Unknown	<b>0-1 (70% = 1)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

## Eastleigh

- 1.64 Households living at the Travelling Showman’s Yards at The Fairlings and Botley Road were not available for interviews. Therefore there are no (confirmed) Travelling Showpeople households that meet the planning definition living in Eastleigh but there is a need for **3 additional pitches** for households that meet the planning definition from identified in-migration; a need for up to 2 additional plots for the 4 Travelling Showpeople households (at The Fairlings, Botley Road) that may meet the planning definition; and there are no households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.65 The need for **3 additional plots** is a result of in-migration for 3 households currently living on unauthorised yards in Hampshire who have demonstrated strong local connections to Eastleigh as a result of work and family. They have been in regular discussions with the Council to identify suitable land to develop as a private Showman’s yard. These are the same households that were included in the previous GTAA.
- 1.66 The need of up to 2 additional plots for unknown households is made up from new household formation of 2 from a maximum of 4 households. If the ORS national average of 70% were applied this could result in a need for 2 additional plots.

Figure 22 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Eastleigh 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	3
Unknown	0-2 (70% = 2)
Do not meet Planning Definition	0

## Fareham Borough

- 1.67 There were no Travelling Showpeople households identified in Fareham Borough that meet the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and no households that do not meet the planning definition. Therefore there is no need for any additional plots.

Figure 23 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Fareham Borough 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	0
Unknown	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0

## Gosport Borough

- 1.68 There were no Travelling Showpeople households identified in Gosport Borough that meet the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and no households that do not meet the planning definition. Therefore there is no need for any additional plots.

Figure 24 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Gosport Borough 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	0
Unknown	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0

## Hart

- 1.69 There are 2 small Travelling Showpeople yards in Hart. Through the site interviews it has been determined that 3 households meet the planning definition and that none of these households have any current or future accommodation needs. In addition information provided by other residents for the 2 plots where interviews were not able to be completed also suggested that there are no current or future accommodation needs as the residents are of retirement age.

Figure 25 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Hart 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	0
Unknown	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0



## Havant Borough

- 1.70 There were no Travelling Showpeople yards identified in Havant Borough so this suggests that there is no current or future need for accommodation in the GTAA period.

**Figure 26 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Havant Borough 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

## New Forest District

- 1.71 There were 2 Travelling Showpeople households identified in New Forest District that meet the planning definition, 8 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 1 household that does not meet the planning definition.
- 1.72 The GTAA identifies a need for **4 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition as a result of 2 unauthorised plots and 2 for concealed households or adults. There was no further accommodation need as a result of new household formation.
- 1.73 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 4 additional plots for unknown households and this is made up of 2 unauthorised plots and 2 from new household formation from a maximum of 8 households. If the ORS national average<sup>6</sup> of 70% were applied this could result in a need for 3 additional plots.

**Figure 27 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in New Forest District 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>4</b>
Unknown	<b>0-4 (70% = 3)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

## New Forest National Park

- 1.74 There were 6 Travelling Showpeople households identified in New Forest National Park that meet the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 2 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.75 The GTAA identifies a need for **21 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition as a result of 2 concealed families or single adults, 15 for older teenage children in need of a plot of their own in the next 5 years, and 4 as a result of new household formation based on the demographics of the other children living on the yard.

<sup>6</sup> Based on the outcomes of over 300 interviews completed with Travelling Showpeople by ORS since September 2015. See Paragraph 3.27 for further details.

Figure 28 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in New Forest National Park 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	21
Unknown	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0

## Rushmoor

- 1.76 Whilst no new assessment of need was completed for Rushmoor, discussions did take place with Council Officers who are responsible for planning for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. This confirmed that there 2 small Travelling Showpeople yards in Rushmoor and that there is no current or future need for additional plots.

Figure 29 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households Rushmoor 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	0
Unknown	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0

## South Downs National Park (East Hampshire)

- 1.77 There was 1 Travelling Showperson household identified in the South Downs National Park Authority areas of East Hampshire that met the planning definition, no unknown households that may meet the planning definition and no households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.78 The GTAA identifies a need for **9 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of 1 household living on an unauthorised development, 1 concealed family/adult, 3 older teenage children in need of a plot of their own in the next five years and 4 plots from new household formation, based on the household demographics.
- 1.79 The GTAA identifies a need of no additional plots for unknown households or households that do not meet the planning definition.

Figure 30 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in South Downs National Park Authority area of east Hampshire 2017-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	9
Unknown	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0

## South Downs National Park (Winchester)

- 1.80 There were 3 Travelling Showperson household identified in the South Downs National Park Authority areas of Winchester that met the planning definition, 1 unknown household that may meet the planning definition and no households that do not meet the planning definition.

- 1.81 The GTAA identifies a need for **3 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition and this is made up of new household formation, based on the household demographics.
- 1.82 The GTAA identifies a need of no additional plots for unknown households or households that do not meet the planning definition.

**Figure 31 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in South Downs National Park Authority area of Winchester 2017-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>3</b>
Unknown	<b>0</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

### Test Valley Borough Council

- 1.83 There were 10 Travelling Showpeople households identified in Test Valley Borough that meet the planning definition, 2 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 2 households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.84 The GTAA identifies a need for **14 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of 7 concealed households or adults, 3 older teenage children in need of a plot of their own in the next 5 years, and 4 from new household formation using a rate of 1.75% derived from the yard demographics.
- 1.85 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 1 additional plot for unknown households and this is made up new household formation of 1 from a maximum of 2 households.

**Figure 32 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Test Valley Borough 2016-2036**

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>14</b>
Unknown	<b>0-1 (70% = 1)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>0</b>

### Winchester City Council

- 1.86 There were 17 Travelling Showpeople households identified in Winchester that meet the planning definition, 12 unknown households that may meet the planning definition and 2 households that do not meet the planning definition. This excludes a yard at Carousel Park which is the subject of an ongoing planning appeal that is due to be resolved in early 2018.
- 1.87 The GTAA identifies a need for **27 additional plots** for households that meet the planning definition. This is made up of 2 unauthorised plots, 6 concealed households or adults, 10 older teenage children in need of a plot of their own in the next 5 years, and 9 from new household formation using a rate of 1.70% derived from the yard demographics.
- 1.88 The GTAA identifies a need of up to 2 additional plots for unknown households and this is made up new household formation of 2 from a maximum of 12 households.

Figure 33 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Winchester 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>27</b>
Unknown	<b>0-2 (70% = 2)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>2</b>

## Hampshire County

- 1.89 Taking all of these figures into consideration there are a total of 67 Travelling Showpeople households in Hampshire that meet the planning definition; 33 unknown Travelling Showpeople households that may meet the planning definition; and 9 Travelling Showpeople households that do not meet the planning definition.
- 1.90 Overall need has been identified for 112 additional plots for Travelling Showpeople households that meet the planning definition; for up to 10 additional plots for unknown Travelling Showpeople households that may meet the planning definition; and for 2 additional plots for Travelling Showpeople households that do not meet the planning definition.

Figure 34 – Additional need for Travelling Showpeople households in Hampshire 2016-2036

Status	Total
Meet Planning Definition	<b>112</b>
Unknown	<b>0-10 (70% = 7)</b>
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	<b>2</b>

## Transit Requirements

- 1.109 All of the public sites, including transit pitches, formerly owned and managed by Hampshire County Council were recently sold to Somerset and Hampshire Parks Ltd. As such there is now no public transit provision in Hampshire. It is recommended that whilst there may be some historic evidence suggesting that formal transit provision may be required in local authorities in Hampshire, no further provision is needed at present and the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments throughout the area should be monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop. In addition the use of the transit site that was sold should also be monitored.
- 1.110 As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area; and whether their travelling is a result of changes to PPTS (2015). This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment.
- 1.111 A review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments, including the monitoring referred to above, should be undertaken in autumn 2018 once there is a new 3 year evidence base following the changes to PPTS in 2015. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in any new formal transit sites or emergency stopping places. If this is found to be the case consideration of any new public transit provision should be addressed on a Hampshire-wide basis.

<sup>1.112</sup>In the short-term, the Councils should consider the use of management arrangements for dealing with unauthorised encampments and could also consider the use of Negotiated Stopping Agreements, as opposed to taking forward an infrastructure-based approach.

<sup>1.113</sup>The term 'negotiated stopping' is used to describe agreed short term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent 'built' transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides. Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold water supply; portaloos; sewage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.

# Appendix A: Site and Yard Lists

Basingstoke and Deane (January 2017)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Blackberries, Salters Heath Road, Monk Sherborne	1	-
Eddwoods, Pamber Heath	2	-
Land Adjoining Forest Farm, Sandy Lane, Tadley	1	-
Forest Lodge, Sandy Lane, Tadley	1	-
Land South Of Harroway Organic Gardens, Whitchurch	2	-
Meadowview Caravan Site, Bishops Green	3	-
Stable View, Sandy Lane, Pamber Heath	2	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
Land East Of Church Brook, Tadley	-	3
Plot 1, Forest Farm, Sandy Lane, Pamber Heath	-	1
<b>Unauthorised Developments<sup>7</sup></b>		
Crux Easton, Ashmansworth	-	2
Land at Cufaude Lane, Bramley	-	1
Land north of Pelican Road, Pamber Heath	-	2
Woodlands View, Forest Farm, Sandy Lane, Pamber Heath	-	1
<b>Undetermined sites<sup>8</sup></b>		
Land at Violet Lane, Boughurst	-	-
The Stables, Sherfield on Loddon	-	-
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Swings & Roundabouts, Chineham	2	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

<sup>7</sup> Excluding the new unauthorised site at Silchester.

<sup>8</sup> Neither of these two undetermined sites have been established and are not occupied by travellers.

Eastleigh (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Bay Farm, Bursledon	3	-
Home Farm, Netley Abbey	3	-
Land Adjacent to Woodleigh, Bursledon	2	-
Land North West of Pennywise Nursery, Netley Abbey	1	-
Little Acre, West End	4	-
Longacre Farm, Bursledon	2	-
Romany Way, Hedge End	1	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
Birch Dean, Hedge End	-	1
Land near Kestrel Farm, Netley Abbey	-	1
Land near Salisbury Bungalow, Bursledon	-	2
Land rear of St Mary's Church, Netley Abbey	-	3
Sunnyside, Hedge End	-	1
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Costalot Stables, Horton Heath	-	1
Heath End, Hedge End	-	1
Homeleigh, Lower Upham <sup>9</sup>	-	1
Land adjacent to Woodleigh, Bursledon	-	1
Tansfield Stud	-	1
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Botley Road	2	-
The Fairlings	2	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

<sup>9</sup> Site granted full planning permission in February 2017 after the base date for the GTAA.



East Hampshire - excluding South Downs National Park (March 2017)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Briar Lodge, Four Marks	1	-
East of Queens Road, Liphook	1	-
Five Oaks, Liphook	1	-
Fordlands, Four Marks	1	-
Greengate, Liphook	1	-
Hill Top Stables, Liphook	2	-
Janeland, Four Marks	1	-
Land adj. Bentley Sewage Works, Bentley	2	-
Land adj. Heathcroft, Liphook	2	-
Land east of Alderwood Cottage, Liphook (Eagles Place)	6	-
Main Road, Kingsley	1	-
Sidewater Stables, Oakhanger	1	-
The Laurels, Liphook	2	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Alderwood Cottage, Liphook	1	-
Fairland Drive, Headley Down	14	
Outlaw, Headley	1	
Fairland, Headley	13	
Roads Hill, Horndean	1	
Stallions of Sustance Lodge, Headley Down	1	
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

Fareham Borough (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Land adjacent to 293 Titchfield Road, Titchfield	1	-
Land rear of 302a Southampton Road, Titchfield	5	-
Land south west of Burr ridge Road, Burr ridge	1	-
The Retreat, Fareham	4	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

Gosport Borough (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Land at Fareham Road <sup>10</sup>	0	1
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	0	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

<sup>10</sup> This site does not currently have planning permission but it is allocated in the Local Plan for Gypsies and Travellers under Policy LP26 to meet the identified need from the last GTAA.

Hart (August 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Fouroaks, Crookham	3	-
Greenacres Stables, Winchfield	1	-
Guide Hut, Yateley	1	-
Oaktree Paddock, Odiham	2	-
Penny Hill Park, Blackwater	20	-
Star Hill Park, Hartley Wintney	20	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Land off Sandhurst Road, Yateley	-	2
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Walls, Hook	3	-
Small Acre Farm, Dogmersfield	2	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
Fouroaks, Crookham (private)	3	-

Havant Borough (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Stables Adjacent to Hollybank Cottage, Emsworth	-	1
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

New Forest District (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Blossom Farm, Ower	1	-
Bury Brickfields, Marchwood	20	-
Four Oaks, Ringwood	1	-
Lake View, Ringwood	1	-
Little Testwood Farm, Totton	2	
The Paddocks, Wellow	2	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
50A Hammonds Green, Totton	3	-
59 Hammonds Lane, Totton	1	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Commercial Road, Totton	-	4
59 Hammonds Lane, Totton	-	3
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
Little Testwood Farm, Totton (private)	12	-

New Forest National Park (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Forest View, Broomhill	1	-
Summer Leah, Nomansland (permanent personal permission)	1	--
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Brambly Hedge, Landford	-	1
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Coles Yard, Netley Marsh <sup>11</sup>	1	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

<sup>11</sup> There are 8 household groups living on this yard.

South Downs National Park - East Hampshire (March 2017)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
New Barn Stables, Binstead	1	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
Fern Farm, Liss	2	-
Half Acre, Hawkley	1	
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Warren Barn, Priors Dean	-	1
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-



South Downs National Park – Winchester (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Eastwood Yard, Soberton	1	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
Land at Peststead Lane, Soberton (Copperfields)	3	-
Ruperts Roost, Soberton	1	
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Four Acres, Droxford	-	7
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Pointers Paddock, Meonstoke (tolerated)	-	3
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-

Test Valley Borough (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Beechcroft, Weyhill	1	-
Furb, Awbridge	1	-
Jactar, Awbridge	1	-
Little Acorns, West Wellow	1	-
Love Acre, Awbridge	1	-
Paddock, Ampfield	1	-
The Firs, Weyhill	1	-
The Orchard, West Wellow	2	
The Stables, Lopcombe	1	
Treetops, East Wellow	1	
Wellow Wood Paddock, West Wellow	1	
Woodview Farm, Romsey	1	
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
The Atchen Tan, Netherton	1	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
Grateley Drove, Quarley	-	1
Ox Drove, Thruxton	-	2
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Leckford Lane, Stockbridge	-	1
Wellow Way, West Wellow		4
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Lakeside, Awbridge	1	-
Land Adjacent The Firs, Picket Piece	4	
Land Adjacent To Valley View Business Park, (My Way)	6	-
Land At Halls Copse, East Wellow	7	-
Land south of Halls Wood, East Wellow	2	-
<b>Tolerated Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
None	-	-
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Undetermined Planning Applications</b>		
The Paddock, Nursling	-	-
Wellow Wood Paddock, West Wellow	-	-

Winchester City - excluding South Downs National Park (September 2016)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
<b>Public Sites</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Private Sites with Permanent Permission</b>		
Ash Farm, Wickham	2	-
Ashbrook Stables, Colden Common	1	-
Beacon Haven, Swanmore	6	-
Big Muddy Farm	1	-
Bowen Farm	3	-
Land Opposite Woodward Farm, Upham	1	-
Land west of Lasek, Mislingford	1	-
Little Ranch, Fishers Pond	1	-
Plot 4, The Nurseries, Shedfield	3	-
Rambling Renegade, Shedfield	2	-
Riverside, Highbridge	1	-
The Ranch, Denmead	1	-
Travellers Rest, Bishops Sutton	1	-
Tynefield	18	-
Westfork, Hambledon	1	-
Windy Ridge, Denmead	1	-
<b>Private Sites with Temporary Permission</b>		
Barn Farm Caravan Park, Swanmore	5	-
Joymont Farm, Southampton	1	-
Ourlands, Knowle	3	-
The Piggeries, North Boarhunt	4	-
<b>Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
None	-	-
<b>Unauthorised Developments</b>		
Cushty Tan, Wickham	-	1
Land Adj Gravel Hill, Swanmore	-	3
Stablewood Farm, Swanmore	-	1
The Old Piggery, North Boarhunt	-	3
<b>TOTAL PITCHES</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Carousel Park, Winchester <sup>12</sup>	n/a	-
Grig Ranch, Wickham	1	-
The Bungalow, North Boarhunt	2	-
The Haven, Denmead	1	-

<sup>12</sup> Carousel Park is not included in this GTAA as set out earlier in the Hampshire Consortium Report..

The Orchard, Swanmore	4	-
The Vardo, Swanmore	1	-
<b>Tolerated Yards – Long-term without Planning Permission</b>		
Firgrove Lane, North Boarhunt	-	8
Plot 3, The Nurseries, Shedfield	-	1
Plot 6, The Nurseries, Shedfield	-	2
Plot 7, The Nurseries, Shedfield	-	2
Stokes Yard, Waltham Chase	-	1
<b>Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards</b>		
Plot 1, The Nurseries, Shedfield	-	1
Plot 2, The Nurseries, Shedfield	-	1
5 The Nurseries, Shedfield	-	1
<b>TOTAL PLOTS</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Transit Provision</b>		
None	-	-