



7 December, 2017

Consultations
Fareham Borough Council
Civic Offices
Fareham PO16 7AZ

Comment on the Draft Fareham Local Plan 2036

Dear Sirs,

Please find enclosed my comments on site allocation for housing and employment in the Wallington area.

Yours sincerely,



Comment on the Draft Fareham Local Plan 2036

How to have your say

Complete this form and submit it to the Council by Friday 8 December 2017. Please return to Consultations, Fareham Borough Council, Civic Offices, Fareham PO16 7AZ.

You will need to provide your contact details at the end of this survey. This is a legal requirement in order for your comments to be taken into account and your address may be published. It will not be used for any other purposes.

What would you like to comment on?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Environment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Design |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strategic Policies | <input type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure (including Transport) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Development Allocations (chapter introduction) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Implementation and monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Retail | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Facilities and Open Space | |

Please provide the name of the site allocation or policy you want to comment on:

Land at Pinks Hill HA5.33 HA8 p151 80 dwellings

What do you want to do?

Support

☐

Object

☒

Comment

☐

Please provide your comment below:

Please see overleaf

NAME of the site allocation: Land at Pinks Hill HA 5.33 – 80 dwellings (HA8 p 151)

Objectit

The site is unsuitable for development for a number of reasons:

- **Open Space**
 - The land is an important open space for local people, particularly in the light of the extra development planned for the area north of the M27 (Welborne)
 - It balances the undeveloped land on the Downend side of the A27 slip road
- **Absence of public transport in the area** – residents would have to use private cars or walk on unlit roads with, in places, no pavements. This would put children at particular risk.
- **Highway access**
 - Pinks Hill is very narrow, unlit and steep with no pavements and if replaced it would still have to be very steep because of the topography
 - In snow and ice it is impassable
 - The Type FW3/25 pillbox which is currently a pinch point should not be removed as it is the only example of an intact anti-invasion pillbox left on Portsdown
 - Additional traffic exiting onto the A27 link road would prove a traffic hazard
 - Military Road / Standard Way would not be able to cope with additional vehicle or pedestrian movements because of:
 - The lack of pavements and street lighting at the top of the hill
 - The blind bends from the top of Pinks Hill to the brow of Standard Way which are currently very dangerous
 - The HGVs entering and exiting the Suez site currently prove a traffic hazard
 - The heavy traffic exiting and entering Fort Wallington do cause problems, having to swing wide onto the wrong side of the road
 - Many lorries currently park, (both by day and by night) at the bottom of Standard Way (after junction with North Wallington) – making passing difficult
 - Traffic using this route would have to turn into Broadcut and cause jams at the Standard Way /Broadcut junction (already traffic queues here at rush hour)
 - Wallington Village is a conservation area with narrow roads and significant on-road parking
 - Part of the road has no pavements and poor lighting
 - There is a very dangerous bend at the Cobb and Penn public house
 - Vehicles using this route would have problems at the already congested Delme roundabout

- **Flooding**

- Currently during heavy rain, rainwater rushes down Pinks Hill and down from the M27 junction 12, leading to deep water on the side of the A27 slip road. Additional development will mean a reduction in land to soak up the rain.

- **Pollution Issues – traffic noise and air pollution**

- I believe that the government has already drawn attention to vehicle pollution in the area.
- The site would be adjacent to a road network which is already heavily congested and will carry even more traffic with the Welborne development and inevitable future growth in Gosport

Make another comment

What would you like to comment on?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Environment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Design |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strategic Policies | <input type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure (including Transport) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Development Allocations (chapter introduction) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Implementation and monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Retail | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Facilities and Open Space | |

Please provide the name of the site allocation or policy you want to comment on:

Military Road HA 2.17 (HA 16 p167) 28 dwellings (Gauntlett's Field)

What do you want to do?

Support

☐

Object

☒

Comment

☐

Please provide your comment below:

Please see overleaf

NAME of the site allocation: Military Road HA 2.17 – 26 dwellings (Gauntlett's field) (HA 16 p167)

Object

The site is unsuitable for development for a number of reasons:

- **Drainage / Flooding**
 - The reduction in the area of land that can absorb rainwater will increase the danger of flooding to properties to the south of the field. Residents of East Hill House have on occasions had to take emergency action to prevent rainwater pouring off the field from entering their property.
 - I understand there are springs on the site as well as Blue Slipper Clay, which make the site unsuitable for development
 - Any change to the local water table may have significant detrimental consequences
 - Major new sewerage arrangements will be required.
- **Ecology /open space**
 - As a green field site it is an important open space which will become even more important to local people with the Welborne development
 - A number of previous inquiries have held that it should not be developed
- **Absence of public transport in the area** – residents would have to use private cars or walk on unlit roads with, in places, no pavements. This would put children at particular risk.

- **Highways / access**

The local road network is unable to take additional traffic:

- Military Road / Drift Road are both very narrow with no pavements or lighting (Military Road) and cannot be widened
- Wallington Village is a Conservation Area and is unable to cope with the current traffic, let alone new traffic
- Access to the Delme roundabout from Wallington Village could not cope with additional traffic
- Access via Military Road / Standard Way would be very dangerous for both vehicles and pedestrians
 - Absence of pavements and street lighting
 - The blind bends (from the top of Pinks Hill to the slope down – Standard Way are already far too dangerous
 - The HGVs at the Suez site currently prove a traffic hazard, having to swing across the road (on a blind bend) when entering or exiting the site Similarly, heavy traffic exiting and entering Fort Wallington have to swing wide onto the wrong side of the road

- HGVs currently park, (both by day and by night) at the bottom of Standard Way (after junction with North Wallington) – making passing difficult
 - Traffic using this route would have to turn into Broadcut and cause jams at the Standard Way /Broadcut junction (already traffic queues here at rush hour)
-
- Pinks Hill is very narrow, lacking pavements or lighting and is incapable of taking extra traffic
 - In snow and ice it is impassable
 - A replacement road would still be steep because of the topography
 - The Type FW3/25 pillbox as the only example of an intact anti-invasion pillbox left on Portsdown should not be removed
 - Traffic exiting onto the already busy and fast moving A27 link road would prove a traffic hazard
 - During ice and snow Military Road has been cut off with Military Road, Drift Road, Standard Way and Pinks Hill all becoming impassable for a number of days.

Make another comment

What would you like to comment on?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Environment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Design |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strategic Policies | <input type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure (including Transport) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Development Allocations (chapter introduction) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Implementation and monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Retail | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Facilities and Open Space | |

Please provide the name of the site allocation or policy you want to comment on:

North Wallington and Standard Way HA0.87 21dwellings HA2Op175

What do you want to do?

Support

☐

Object

☒

Comment

☐

Please provide your comment below:

Please see overleaf

NAME of the site allocation: North Wallington and Standard Way HA 0.87 EA5 – 21 dwellings (Horses' Field) (HA20 p175)

Object

The site is unsuitable for development for a number of reasons:

- **Ecology /open space**

- The site is green field and an important open space, close to the water meadows which will be even more vital to local residents with the Welborne development
- It has significant ecological value
- It is believed that main aquifer pipes linked to Portsmouth Water Company pumping station run diagonally across the site

- **Drainage / Flooding**

Building on the site will reduce the amount of land that can absorb rainwater, increasing the risk of flooding both to the Riverdale Cottages, the river Wallington and down-stream to Wallington Village.

- **Pollution issues – vehicle noise and air pollution**

The site is even closer to the motorway than the proposed Welborne development and being an elevated site is unlikely that the vehicle noise or emissions can be mitigated.

- **Absence of public transport in the area – residents of the new development would have to use private cars and children would have to walk on unlit roads with, in places, no pavements.**

- **Highways /Access**

- Access via Wallington Village
 - Wallington is a conservation area with narrow roads and significant on-road parking
 - Part of North Wallington has no pavements and poor lighting
 - There is a very dangerous bend at the Cobb and Penn public house
 - Vehicles using this route would have difficulties at the already congested Delme roundabout
- Access via Standard Way / Broadcut would be very dangerous for both vehicles and pedestrians
 - Many lorries currently park at the bottom of Standard Way (after junction with North Wallington) – making passing difficult
 - Traffic using this route would have to turn into Broadcut and cause further congestion at busy times at the Wallington Way roundabout
- Access via Standard Way/ Pinks Hill
 - Absence of pavements and street lighting
 - The blind bends (from the top of Pinks Hill to the slope down – Standard Way are already far too dangerous

- The HGVs at the Suez site currently prove a traffic hazard, having to swing across the road (on a blind bend) when entering or exiting the site. Similarly, heavy traffic exiting and entering Fort Wallington have to swing wide onto the wrong side of the road
- HGVs currently park, (both by day and by night) at the bottom of Standard Way (after junction with North Wallington) – making passing difficult
- Traffic using this route would have to turn into Broadcut and cause jams at the Standard Way /Broadcut junction (already traffic queues here at rush hour)

Make another comment

What would you like to comment on?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Natural Environment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A site allocated for employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Design |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strategic Policies | <input type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure (including Transport) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Development Allocations (chapter introduction) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employment | <input type="checkbox"/> Implementation and monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Retail | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community Facilities and Open Space | |

Please provide the name of the site allocation or policy you want to comment on:

Standard Way EA4 (EA5 p198)

What do you want to do?

Support

☐

Object

☒

Comment

☐

Please provide your comment below:

Please see overleaf

NAME of the site allocation: Standard Way EA4 (Business and employment) (EA5 p198)

Object

- **Open space / visual impact**

This is currently a green field site and its loss would be significant to local people in light of the Welborne development.

- **Pollution Issues**

The site is close to the A27 and M27 junction 11 which are recognised as having too high pollution levels. The activities at the Suez site might give rise to pollution issues.

- **Absence of public transport** – those working at, or visiting, the new development would have to use private cars increasing vehicle movements in an area with inadequate roads.

- **Highway access**

- Pinks Hill is very narrow, unlit and steep with no pavements and if replaced it would still have to be very steep because of the topography
 - In snow and ice it is impassable
 - The Type FW3/25 pillbox which is currently a pinch point should not be removed as it is the only example of an intact anti-invasion pillbox left on Portsdown
 - Additional traffic exiting onto the A27 link road would prove a traffic hazard
- Standard Way would not be able to cope with additional vehicle or pedestrian movements because of:
 - The lack of pavements and street lighting at the top of the hill
 - The blind bends from the top of Pinks Hill to brow of Standard Way which are currently very dangerous
 - The HGVs entering and exiting the Suez site currently prove a traffic hazard
 - The heavy traffic exiting and entering Fort Wallington do cause problems, having to swing wide onto the wrong side of the road
 - Many lorries currently park, (both by day and by night) at the bottom of Standard Way (after junction with North Wallington) – making passing difficult
 - Traffic using this route would have to turn into Broadcut and cause jams at the Standard Way /Broadcut junction (already traffic queues here at rush hour)

A bit about you

Please enter your contact details below. We have to ask for these by law. Your comments will form part of the public record and therefore cannot be treated as confidential. Your information will not be used for any other purposes.

Name

Address Line 1

Address Line 2

Address Line 3

Town

Postcode

Email

Thank you for having your say on the Draft Local Plan.



FAREHAM LOCAL PLAN 2036

